1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR. Attorney General of California
2	I INDA K SCHNEIDER
3	NICOLE R. COOK
4	State Dat 140. 205007
5	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101
6	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266
7	Telephone: (619) 645-2143 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061
8	Attorneys for Complainant
9	BEFORE THE STRUCTURAL PEST CONTROL BOARD
10	DEPARTMENT OF PESTICIDE REGULATION STATE OF CALIFORNIA
11	
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:
13	DAVE MICHAEL MAYLOTT
14	10692 Chestnut AvenueA C C U S A T I O NStanton, CA 90680Image: Constant of the standard standa
15	Field Representative License No. FR 28789
16	Respondent.
17	
18	Complainant alleges:
19	PARTIES
20	1. Kelli Okuma (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as
21	the Registrar/Executive Officer of the Structural Pest Control Board, Department of Pesticide
22	Regulation.
23	2. On or about December 4, 1997, the Structural Pest Control Board issued Field
24	Representative License Number FR 28789 to Dave Michael Maylott (Respondent). The Field
25	Representative License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought
26	herein and will expire on June 30, 2012, unless renewed.
27	
28	
	1

ler 1

v

1	JURISDICTION
2	3. This Accusation is brought before the Structural Pest Control Board (Board),
3	Department of Pesticide Regulation, under the authority of the following laws. All section
4	references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
5	4. Section 8620 of the Business and Professions Code (Code) provides, in pertinent part,
6	that the Board may suspend or revoke a license when it finds that the holder, while a licensee or
7	applicant, has committed any acts or omissions constituting cause for disciplinary action or in lieu
8	of a suspension may assess a civil penalty.
9	5. Section 8625 of the Code states:
10	The lapsing or suspension of a license or company registration by operation
11	of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, or the voluntary surrender of a license or company registration shall not deprive the board of
12	jurisdiction to proceed with any investigation of or action or disciplinary proceeding against such licensee or company, or to render a decision suspending
13	or revoking such license or registration.
14	6. Section 118(b), of the Code provides that the suspension, expiration, surrender, or
15	cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary
16	action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or
17	reinstated.
18	STATUTORY PROVISIONS
19	7. Section 482 of the Code states:
20	Each board under the provisions of this code shall develop criteria to
21	evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when:
22	(a) Considering the denial of a license by the board under Section 480; or
23	(b) Considering suspension or revocation of a license under Section 490.
. 24	Each board shall take into account all competent evidence of rehabilitation
25	furnished by the applicant or licensee.
26	8. Section 490 of the Code states, in pertinent part, that the Board may suspend or
27	revoke a license when it finds that the licensee has been convicted of a crime.
28	
	2

ß,

ļĮ.

17

9. Section 493 of the Code states:

1

ļ

2	Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a
3	board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a
4	person who holds a license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and
5	duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact,
6	and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of
7	the crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in
8	question.
9	As used in this section, "license" includes "certificate," "permit,"
10	"authority," and "registration."
11	10. Section 8642 of the Code states:
12	The commission of any grossly negligent or fraudulent act by the licensee
13	as a pest control operator, field representative, or an applicator or by a registered company is a ground for disciplinary action.
14	
15	11. Section 8649 of the Code states:
16	Conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions,
17	and duties of a structural pest control operator, field representative, applicator, or registered company is a ground for disciplinary action. The certified record of
18	conviction shall be conclusive evidence thereof.
19	REGULATORY PROVISIONS
20	12. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1937.1 states:
21	For the purposes of denial, suspension or revocation of a license or
22	company registration pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the code, a crime or act shall be considered to be substantially related to the
23	qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registered company under Chapter 14 of Division 3 of the code if to a substantial degree it evidences present
24	or potential unfitness of such licensee or registered company to perform the functions authorized by the license or company registration in a manner consistent
25	with the public health, safety, or welfare. Such crimes or acts shall include, but not be limited to, the following:
26	(a) Any violation of the provisions of Chapter 14 of Division 3 of the code.
27	(b) Commission of any of the following in connection with the practice of
28	structural pest control:
	3

1	(1) Fiscal dishonesty
2	(2) Fraud
3	(3) Theft
4	(4) Violations relating to the misuse of pesticides.
5	
6	13. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1937.2 states, in part:
7	
8	(b) When considering the suspension or revocation of a structural pest control license or company registration on the grounds that the licensee or
9	registered company has been convicted of a crime, the board, in evaluating the rehabilitation of such person or company and his or her or its present
10	eligibility for a license or company registration will consider the following:
11	(1) Nature and severity of the act(s) or offense(s).
	(2) Total criminal record.
12 13	(3) The time that has elapsed since commission of the act(s) or offense(s).
14	(4) Whether the licensee or registered company has complied with any terms of parole, probation, restitution or any other sanctions lawfully imposed against the licensee or registered company.
15 16	(5) If applicable, evidence of expungement proceedings pursuant to Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code.
10	(6) Evidence, if any of rehabilitation submitted by the licensee or registered company.
18	
19	COST RECOVERY
20	14. Section 125.3 of the Code states, in pertinent part, that a Board may request the
21	administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of
22	the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and
23	enforcement of the case.
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	4
	Accusation

p,

## FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(January 29, 2010 Convictions for Grand Theft, Forgery, and Receipt of Items as Forgery on August 11, 2009)

15. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 490 and 8649 of the Code in that he was convicted of crimes that are substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a structural pest control field representative. The circumstances are as follows:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

On or about January 29, 2010, in a criminal proceeding entitled People of a. 8 the State of California v. Dave Michael Maylott in the Orange County Superior Court case 9 number 10NM00673, Respondent was convicted on his plea of guilty of violation of Penal Code 10 section 487(a), grand theft, Penal Code section, 470(d), acts constituting forgery, and Penal Code 11 section 475(a), possession or receipt of items as forgery. On his change of plea form, Respondent 12 admitted that on August 11, 2009 in Orange County, he willfully and unlawfully, with the intent 13 to defraud, possessed and cashed a check that he forged, amounting to \$1,345 dollars and "took 14 that money belonging to Dynamic Termite Pest Control with the intent to permanently deprive." 15

b. The facts that led to the conviction are that Respondent was employed as a 16 termite and fumigation salesperson from early 2008 until August 31, 2009 with Dynamic Termite 17 & Pest Control, located in Anaheim, California. During that time, Respondent inspected 18 properties and sold termite fumigations, as well as other treatments against wood destroying 19 organisms. Respondent's duties included collecting final payment for the completion of the 20 fumigations from his customers. On or around August 5, 2009, Respondent inspected a property 21 and sold a fumigation service to L.S. and his step-father, D.N. After the property was fumigated, 22 Respondent collected a check from L.S. and D.N. in the total sum of \$1,345.00, for the 23 completion of the job. D.N. filled out check number 675 for \$1,345.00, leaving the payee line 24 and "for" information line blank. The check was given to Respondent. Respondent's name was 25 written on the payee line and "Dynamic Termite" was written on the "for" information line. 26 Respondent endorsed the back of the check. Respondent received the check on August 10, 2009 27 and cashed the check on August 12, 2009 at Union Bank of California. 28

5

. []	
1	c. As a result of his conviction, Respondent was sentenced to serve 1 day in
2	custody, ordered to pay all fines, fees, and restitution, and sentenced to 3 years of informal
3	probation.
4	SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
5	(Commission of a Fraudulent Act By Licensee)
6	16. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 8642 of the Code in that
.7	he committed a fraudulent act as a field representative, as set forth in detail above in paragraph
8	15, incorporated herein by reference.
9	PRAYER
10	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,
11	and that following the hearing, the Structural Pest Control Board issue a decision:
12	1. Revoking or suspending Field Representative License Number FR 28789, issued to
13	Dave Michael Maylott;
14	2. Ordering Dave Michael Maylott to pay the Structural Pest Control Board the
15	reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and
16	Professions Code section 125.3;
17	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.
18	
19	
20	DATED: 6/17/10 Belli Okuma
21	KELLI OKUMA Registrar/Executive Officer
22	Structural Pest Control Board Department of Pesticide Regulation
23	State of California Complainant
24	Comptantante
25	SD2010701052
26	70288618.doc
27	
28	
	6
	Accusat

4

5