1	Kamala D. Harris Attorney General of California		
2	JANICE K. LACHMAN		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General LORRIE M. YOST Deputy Attorney General Date 3414 By		
4	State Bar No. 119088		
5	1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255		
6	Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 445-2271		
7	Facsimile: (916) 327-8643 Attorneys for Complainant		
8	BEFORE THE STRUCTURAL PEST CONTROL BOARD DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
9			
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11	In the Metter of the Association Assistant Co. N. Co. N.		
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 2014-44		
	BRUCE ELVIN CURTIS 11001 Gingerwood Way ACCUSATION		
13	Rancho Cordova, California 95670		
14	Field Representative License No. FR 33041		
15	Respondent.		
16			
17	Susan Saylor ("Complainant") alleges:		
18	PARTIES		
19	1. Complainant brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as the		
20	Registrar/Executive Officer of the Structural Pest Control Board ("Board"), Department of		
21	Consumer Affairs.		
22	Applicator/Field Representative License		
23	2. On or about December 10, 1997, the Board issued Applicator License No. RA 8464 ir		
24	Branch 2 to Bruce Elvin Curtis ("Respondent"), as an employee of Terminix International		
25	Company L P. On or about January 12, 2001, that license was cancelled from the Board's files		
26	and the Board issued Field Representative License Number FR 33041 in Branch 2 to Respondent		
27	as an employee of Clark Pest Control. On or about April 11, 2011, Respondent's Field		
28	Representative License was upgraded to include Branches 2 and 3. On or about June 22, 2012,		

Respondent left the employ of Clark Pest Control and the license was placed on inactive status.

The license was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on June 30, 2015, unless renewed.

STATUTORY AND REGULATORY PROVISIONS

3. Business and Professions Code ("Code") section 8620 provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may suspend or revoke a license when it finds that the holder, while a licensee or applicant, has committed any acts or omissions constituting cause for disciplinary action or in lieu of a suspension may assess a civil penalty.

4. Code section 8654 states:

Any individual who has been denied a license for any of the reasons specified in Section 8568, or who has had his or her license revoked, or whose license is under suspension, or who has failed to renew his or her license while it was under suspension, or who has been a member, officer, director, associate, qualifying manager, or responsible managing employee of any partnership, corporation, firm, or association whose application for a company registration has been denied for any of the reasons specified in Section 8568, or whose company registration has been revoked as a result of disciplinary action, or whose company registration is under suspension, and while acting as such member, officer, director, associate, qualifying manager, or responsible managing employee had knowledge of or participated in any of the prohibited acts for which the license or registration was denied, suspended or revoked, shall be prohibited from serving as an officer, director, associate, partner, qualifying manager, or responsible managing employee of a registered company, and the employment, election or association of such person by a registered company is a ground for disciplinary action.

5. Code section 8641 states:

Failure to comply with the provisions of this chapter, or any rule or regulation adopted by the board, or the furnishing of a report of inspection without the making of a bona fide inspection of the premises for wood-destroying pests or organisms, or furnishing a notice of work completed prior to the completion of the work specified in the contract, is a ground for disciplinary action.

6. Code section 8642 states, "The commission of any grossly negligent or fraudulent act by the licensee as a pest control operator, field representative, or applicator or by a registered company is a ground for disciplinary action."

7. Code section 8593 states:

The board shall require as a condition to the renewal of each operator's and field representative's license that the holder submit proof satisfactory to the board that he or she has informed himself or herself of developments in the field of pest control either by completion of courses of continuing education in pest control approved by the board or equivalent activity approved by the board. In lieu of submitting that proof,

the licenseholder, if he or she so desires, may take and successfully complete an examination given by the board, designed to test his or her knowledge of developments in the field of pest control since the issuance of his or her license.

The board shall develop a correspondence course or courses with any educational institution or institutions as it deems appropriate. This course may be used to fulfill the requirements of this section. The institution may charge a reasonable fee for each course.

The board may charge a fee for the taking of an examination in each branch of pest control pursuant to this section in an amount sufficient to cover the cost of administering each examination, provided, however, that in no event shall the fee exceed fifty dollars (\$50) for each examination.

- 8. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section ("Regulation") 1950 states, in pertinent part:
 - (a) Except as provided in section 1951, every licensee is required, as a condition to renewal of a license, to certify that he or she has completed the continuing education requirements set forth in this article. A licensee who cannot verify completion of continuing education by producing certificates of activity completion, whenever requested to do so by the Board, may be subject to disciplinary action under section 8641 of the code.
 - (b) Each licensee is required to complete a certain number of continuing education hours during the three year renewal period. The number of hours required depends on the number of branches of pest control in which licenses are held. The subject matter covered by each activity shall be designated as "technical" or "general" by the Board when the activity is approved. Hour values shall be assigned by the Board to each approved educational activity, in accordance with the provisions of section 1950.5.
 - (d) Field representatives licensed in one branch of pest control shall have completed 16 continuing education hours, field representatives licensed in two branches of pest control shall have completed 20 continuing education hours, field representatives licensed in three branches of pest control shall have completed 24 continuing education hours during each three year renewal period. In each case, a minimum of four continuing education hours in a technical subject directly related to each branch of pest control held by the licensee must be completed for each branch of pest control licensed, a minimum of two hours in Integrated Pest Management must be completed by Branch 2 and/or 3 licensees renewing on or after June 30, 2010, and a minimum of eight hours must be completed from Board approved courses on the Structural Pest Control Act, the Rules and Regulations, or structural pest control related agencies' rules and regulations. . . .

COST RECOVERY

9. Code section 125.3 states, in pertinent part, that a Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Complete and Verify Completion of Continuing Education)

- 10. In or around June of 2012, Respondent submitted a license renewal application to the Board. On or about June 22, 2012, Respondent certified under penalty of perjury on the application form that he completed 134 hours of continuing education during his last renewal period.
- 11. On November 27, 2012, January 9, 2013, and October 24, 2013, a Board representative sent Respondent written requests for copies of his continuing education certificates for the renewal period July 1, 2009, through June 30, 2012. Respondent was advised that his failure to verify his continuing education hours or to supply the requested information could subject his Field Representative License to disciplinary action.
- 12. On or about October 28, 2013, Respondent informed a Board representative that he did not possess the continuing education requirements necessary for the renewal period July 1, 2009, through June 30, 2012.
- 13. Respondent is subject to discipline pursuant to Code section 8641, in that he failed to comply with Code and/or Regulations, as follows:
- a. <u>Code Section 8593 and Regulation 1950, subdivision (a)</u>: Respondent failed to verify that he completed courses of continuing education in pest control approved by the Board. Specifically, Respondent failed to submit copies of his continuing education certificates for the renewal period July 1, 2009, through June 30, 2012, as requested by the Board representative.
- b. Regulation 1950, subdivisions (b): Respondent failed to complete the continuing education hours required by Regulation 1950, subdivision (d), for the renewal period July 1, 2009, through June 30, 2012.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Fraud)

14. Respondent is subject to discipline pursuant to Code section 8642, in that he committed a fraudulent act with the intent to substantially benefit himself or another, or substantially injure another. Specifically, as set forth in paragraphs 10 through 12, above, on or

about June 22, 2012, Respondent represented under the penalty of perjury on his license renewal application that he successfully completed 134 hours of continuing education during his last renewal period, July 1, 2009, through June 30, 2012, when he had not.

OTHER MATTERS

- 15. Code section 8620 provides, in pertinent part, that a respondent may request that a civil penalty of not more than \$5,000 be assessed in lieu of an actual suspension of 1 to 19 days, or not more than \$10,000 for an actual suspension of 20 to 45 days. Such request must be made at the time of the hearing and must be noted in the proposed decision. The proposed decision shall not provide that a civil penalty shall be imposed in lieu of a suspension.
- 16. Pursuant to Code section 8654, if discipline is imposed on Field Representative License Number FR 33041, issued to Respondent Bruce Elvin Curtis, Bruce Elvin Curtis shall be prohibited from serving as an officer, director, associate, partner, qualifying manager, or responsible managing employee for any registered company during the time the discipline is imposed, and any registered company which employs, elects, or associates him shall be subject to disciplinary action.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Structural Pest Control Board issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Field Representative License Number FR 33041, issued to Bruce Elvin Curtis;
- 2. Prohibiting Bruce Elvin Curtis from serving as an officer, director, associate, partner, qualifying manager or responsible managing employee of any registered company during the period that discipline is imposed on Field Representative License Number FR 33041, issued to Bruce Elvin Curtis;
- 3. Ordering Bruce Elvin Curtis to pay the Structural Pest Control Board the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3; and,

///

1	4. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.		
2		,	
3	DATED: 3/4/14	Susan Saular	
. 4	\ \ \	SUSAN SAYLOR Registrar/Executive Officer	
5		Structural Pest Control Board Department of Consumer Affairs State of California	
6		State of California Complainant	
7			
8	SA2014114486 11283979.doc		
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			