1 2 3 4 5 6 7 BEFORE THE 8 STRUCTURAL PEST CONTROL BOARD DEPARTMENT OF PESTICIDE REGULATION 9 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 12 Case No. 2012-32 In the Matter of the Accusation Against: 13 14 **DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER** AMADOR G. HERNANDEZ 2518 South Tiara Avenue 15 Ontario, CA 91761 Field Representative License No. FR 33755 [Gov. Code, §11520] 16 17 Respondents. 18 19 20 21 FINDINGS OF FACT On or about January 31, 2012, Complainant William Douglas, in his official capacity 22 1. as the former Interim Registrar/Executive Officer of the Structural Pest Control Board, 23 Department of Pesticide Regulation, filed Accusation No. 2012-32 against Amador G. Hernandez 24 (Respondent) before the Structural Pest Control Board. (Accusation attached as $\mathbf{Exhibit} \mathbf{A}$.) 25 On or about August 20, 2001, the Structural Pest Control Board (Board) issued Field 26 2. Representative License No. FR 33755 to Respondent. The Field Representative License was in 27 28

2.5

full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 2012-32 and will expire on June 30, 2013, unless renewed.

3. On or about February 8, 2012, Respondent was served by Certified and First Class Mail copies of the Accusation No. 2012-32, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7) at Respondent's address of record which, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 136, is required to be reported and maintained with the Board. Respondent's address of record was and is:

2518 South Tiara Avenue Ontario, CA 91761

- 4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c) and/or Business & Professions Code section 124.
- 5. On or about February 23, 2012, the aforementioned documents were returned by the U.S. Postal Service marked "No Forwarding Address." The address on the documents was the same as the address on file with the Board. Respondent failed to maintain an updated address with the Board and the Board has made attempts to serve the Respondent at the address on file. Respondent has not made himself available for service and therefore, has not availed himself of his right to file a notice of defense and appear at hearing.
 - 6. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:
 - (c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.
- 7. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon him of the Accusation, and therefore waived his right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. 2012-32.
 - 8. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:
 - (a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions

or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent.

- 9. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on the relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this matter, as well as taking official notice of all the investigatory reports, exhibits and statements contained therein on file at the Board's offices regarding the allegations contained in Accusation No. 2012-32, finds that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 2012-32, are separately and severally, found to be true and correct by clear and convincing evidence.
- 10. Taking official notice of its own internal records, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3, it is hereby determined that the Respondent's allocation of reasonable costs for Investigation and Enforcement is \$1,618.75 as of January 9, 2013.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

- 1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Amador G. Hernandez has subjected his Field Representative License No. FR 33755 to discipline.
 - 2. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.
- 3. The Structural Pest Control Board is authorized to revoke Respondent's Field Representative License No. FR 33755 based upon the following violations alleged in the Accusation which are supported by the evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this case.:
- a. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 8620 and 8639, in that Respondent aided and abetted an unlicensed company by performing fumigations for Respondent 5 Star Fumigation, Inc. even though Respondent 5 Star Fumigation, Inc. was on suspension for not having a Qualifying Manager and failing to maintain an insurance policy.
- b. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 8620 and 8641 in conjunction with Cal. Code of Regs. title 16, section 1911, in that Respondent failed to notify the Board of his change of address within 10 days of the change.

ORDER

IT IS SO ORDERED that Field Representative License No. FR 33755, heretofore issued to Respondent Amador G. Hernandez, is revoked.

Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute.

This Decision shall become effective on March 27, 2013

It is so ORDERED February, 25, 2013

FOR THE STRUCTURAL PEST CONTROL BOARD

DEPARTMENT OF PESTICIDE REGULATION

51217899.DOC DOJ Matter ID:LA2011505800

Attachment: Exhibit A: Accusation

б

2:8