# 2 3 4 5 6 PEST CONTROL BOARD DEPARTMENT OF PESTICIDE REGULATION 7 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 8 In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 2010-29 9 WILLIAM J. PENE Orkin Exterminating Company, Inc. 10 DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER 16171 Springdale 11 Huntington Beach, CA 92649. 12 [Gov. Code, §11520] Field Representative License No. FR 35256 13 14 Respondent. 15 FINDINGS OF FACT 16 On or about November 9, 2009, Complainant Kelli Okuma, in her official capacity as 17 1. the Executive Officer of the Structural Pest Control Board, Department of Pesticide Regulation, 18 filed Accusation No. 2010-29 against William J. Pene (Respondent) before the Structural Pest 19 20 Control Board. On or about October 28, 2002, the Structural Pest Control Board (Board) issued Field 21 Representative License No. FR 35256 to Respondent. The Field Representative License was in 22 23 full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on June 30, 24 2011, unless renewed. On or about December 22, 2009, S. Sotelo, an employee of the Department of Justice, 25 served by Certified and First Class Mail a copy of the Accusation No. 2010-29, Statement to 26 27 ///

28

///

6

7

9

1112

1314

15

1617

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2627

28

## DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

- 1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent William J. Pene has subjected his Field Representative License No. FR 35256 to discipline.
  - 2. A copy of the Accusation is attached.
  - 3. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.
- 4. The Structural Pest Control Board is authorized to revoke Respondent's Field Representative License based upon the following violations alleged in the Accusation:
- a. Business and Professions Code sections 8641 and 8593, Failure to Verify Completion of Continuing Education.

## ORDER

IT IS SO ORDERED that Field Representative License No. FR 35256, heretofore issued to Respondent William J. Pene, is revoked.

Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute.

This Decision shall become effective on May 22, 2010

It is so ORDERED

April 22, 2010

FOR THE STRUCTURAL PEST CONTROL BOARD DEPARTMENT OF PESTICIDE REGULATION

80442909.DOC

DOJ docket number: SD2009702589

Attachment:

Exhibit A: Accusation No. 2010-29

Exhibit A Accusation No. 2010-29

11/9/09 Helli Okumi EDMUND G. BROWN JR. 1 Attorney General of California JAMES M. LEDAKIS 2 Supervising Deputy Attorney General KAREN L. GORDON 3 Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 137969 4 110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101 5 P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266 6 Telephone: (619) 645-2073 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061 7 Attorneys for Complainant 8 BEFORE THE STRUCTURAL PEST CONTROL BOARD 9 DEPARTMENT OF PESTICIDE REGULATION STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 Case No. 2010-29 In the Matter of the Accusation Against: 12 WILLIAM J. PENE 13 16171 Springdale Street, Suite 330 ACCUSATION Huntington Beach, CA 92649 14 Field Representative License No. FR 35256 15 Respondent. 16 17 Complainant alleges: 18 **PARTIES** 19 Kelli Okuma (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as 20 the Registrar/Executive Officer of the Structural Pest Control Board, Department of Pesticide 21 Regulation. 22 On or about October 28, 2002, the Structural Pest Control Board issued Field 2. 23 Representative License Number FR 35256 in Branch 2 to Bill J. Pene (Respondent). The Field 24 Representative License was upgraded to include both Branches 2 and 3 on January 15, 2003 and 25 reflected a change of name to William J. Pene. The Field Representative License was in full 26 force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on June 30, 27 2011, unless renewed. 28

1

3. This Accusation is brought before the Structural Pest Control Board (Board),
Department of Pesticide Regulation, under the authority of the following laws. All section
references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.

- 4. Section 118 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary proceeding against the licensee or to render a decision imposing discipline on the license.
- 5. Section 8620 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may suspend or revoke a license when it finds that the holder, while a licensee or applicant, has committed any acts or omissions constituting cause for disciplinary action or in lieu of a suspension may assess a civil penalty.
  - 6. Code section 8641 states:

Failure to comply with the provisions of this chapter, or any rule or regulation adopted by the board, or the furnishing of a report of inspection without the making of a bona fide inspection of the premises for wood-destroying pests or organisms, or furnishing a notice of work completed prior to the completion of the work specified in the contract, is a ground for disciplinary action.

### STATUTORY PROVISIONS

7. Code section 8593 states, in pertinent part:

The board shall require as a condition to the renewal of each operator's and field representative's license that the holder submit proof satisfactory to the board that he or she has informed himself or herself of developments in the field of pest control either by completion of courses of continuing education in pest control approved by the board or equivalent activity approved by the board. In lieu of submitting that proof, the license holder, if he or she so desires, may take and successfully complete an examination given by the board, designed to test his or her knowledge of developments in the field of pest control since the issuance of his or her license.

8. Section 8625 of the Code states:

The lapsing or suspension of a license or company registration by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, or the voluntary surrender of a license or company registration shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to proceed with any investigation of or action or disciplinary proceeding against such licensee or company, or to render a decision suspending or revoking such license or registration.

#### COST RECOVERY

Code section 125.3 provides, in pertinent part, that a Board may request the 9. administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

#### REGULATORY PROVISIONS

California Code of Regulations, Title 16, section 1950(a), states: 10.

> Except as provided in section 1951, every licensee is required, as a condition to renewal of a license, to certify that he or she has completed the continuing education requirements set forth in this article. A licensee who cannot verify completion of continuing education by producing certificates of activity completion, whenever requested to do so by the Board, may be subject to disciplinary action under section 8641 of the code.

#### BACKGROUND INFORMATION

- On or about July 21, 2008, Respondent submitted a License Renewal Application 11. (application) to the Board. Respondent certified under penalty of perjury on the application that he had successfully completed the continuing education hours required to renew his license in accordance with regulations during the last renewal period.
- On or about December 15, 2008, the Board sent Respondent a written request 12. instructing him to submit copies of his continuing education certificates to the Board within fourteen (14) days. Respondent was advised that if he failed to comply with the request, his license would be subject to disciplinary action. Respondent was unable to provide the Board with any continuing education certificates for the renewal period of July 1, 2005 through June 30, 2008.

III27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

28

111

~11

# FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE (Failure to Verify Completion of Continuing Education)

Respondent is subject to discipline under Code sections 8641 and 8593, in that Respondent failed to comply with California Code of Regulation, title 16, section 1950(a), by failing to submit verification of completing continuing education courses by producing certificates of completion for the renewal period of July 1, 2005 through June 30, 2008, as requested by the Board on December 15, 2008, May 6, 2009, May 7, 2009, and June 18, 2009.

## OTHER MATTERS

- 14. Code section 8620 provides, in pertinent part, that a respondent may request that a civil penalty of not more than \$5,000 be assessed in lieu of an actual suspension of 1 to 19 days, or not more than \$10,000 for an actual suspension of 20 to 45 days. Such request must be made at the time of the hearing and must be noted in the proposed decision. The proposed decision shall not provide that a civil penalty shall be imposed in lieu of a suspension.
- 15. Pursuant to Code section 8654, if discipline is imposed on Field Representative's License Number FR 35256, issued to Respondent, then Respondent shall be prohibited from serving as an officer, director, associate, partner, qualifying manager, or responsible managing employee for any registered company during the time the discipline is imposed, and any registered company which employs, elects, or associates Respondent shall be subject to disciplinary action.

#### **PRAYER**

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Structural Pest Control Board issue a decision:

1. Revoking or suspending Field Representative License Number FR 35256, issued to William J. Pene.

Accusation