1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	DEPARTMENT OF C	T CONTROL BOARD CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
10	STATE OF C	CALIFORNIA		
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 2010-1		
12	DAVID E. WHITE			
13	78-465 Via Sevilla La Quinta, CA 92247	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER		
14				
15	Field Representative License No FR 35441 Branches 2 & 3	[Gov. Code, §11520]		
16	Respondent.			
17	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u>ا</u>		
18	FINDINGS OF FACT			
19	1 On or shout July 1, 2009 Complain	ant Kelli Okuma, in her official capacity as the		
20	1. On or about July 1, 2009, Complainant Kelli Okuma, in her official capacity as the			
21	Registrar/Executive Officer of the Structural Pest Control Board, Department of Consumer			
22	Affairs, filed Accusation No. 2010-1 against David E. White (Respondent) before the Structural			
23	Pest Control Board.			
24	2. On or about January 2, 2003, the Structural Pest Control Board (Board) issued Field			
25	Representative License No. FR 35441 to Respondent. The Field Representative License was in			
26	full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on June 30,			
27	2011, unless renewed.			
28				
	1			
	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER			

1	3. On or about July 7, 2009, S. Sotelo, an employee of the Department of Justice, served			
2	by Certified and First Class Mail a copy of the Accusation No. 2010-1, Statement to Respondent,			
3	Notice of Defense, Request for Discovery, and Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and			
4	11507.7 to Respondent's address of record with the Board, which was and is 78-465 Via Sevilla,			
5	La Quinta, CA 92247. A copy of the Accusation is attached as Exhibit A, and is incorporated			
6	herein by reference.			
7	4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of			
8	Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c).			
9	5. On or about July 16, 2009, the aforementioned documents mailed by first class mail			
10	were returned by the U.S. Postal Service marked "Return to Sender."			
11	6. On or about August 12, 2009, the aforementioned documents mailed by certified mai	1		
12	were returned by the U.S. Postal Service marked "Unclaimed."			
13	7. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:			
14	(c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the			
15	respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of			
16	defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.			
17	8. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon him			
18	of the Accusation, and therefore waived his right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No.			
19	2010-1.			
20	9. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:			
21	(a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the			
22				
23				
24				
25				
26	evidence on file herein, finds that the allegations in Accusation No. 2010-1 are true.			
27	11. The total cost for investigation and enforcement in connection with the Accusation			
28	are \$2,437.50 as of August 13, 2009.			
	2			
	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORD	ER		

1	DETERMINATION OF ISSUES		
2	1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent David E. White has subjected his		
3	Field Representative License No. FR 35441 to discipline.		
4	2. A copy of the Accusation is attached.		
5	3. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.		
6	4. The Structural Pest Control Board is authorized to revoke Respondent's Field		
7	Representative License based upon the following violations alleged in the Accusation:		
8	a. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Business and Professions		
9	Code sections 490, 493, and 8649 for three criminal convictions. Respondent was convicted on		
10	June 29, 2005 for embezzlement in 2004 and 2005. Respondent was convicted on November 17,		
11	2008 for embezzlement in 2008. Respondent was convicted on May 11, 2009 of forgeries in		
12	January of 2009.		
13	b. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Business and Professions		
14	Code section 8620 for committing acts of dishonesty for embezzlement and forgery.		
15	ORDER		
16	IT IS SO ORDERED that Field Representative License No. FR 35441, heretofore issued to		
17	Respondent David E. White, is revoked.		
18	Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a		
19	written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within		
20	seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may		
21	vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute.		
22	This Decision shall become effective on <u>November 11, 2009</u> .		
23	It is so ORDERED October 12, 2009		
24			
25	FOR THE STRUCTURAL PEST CONTROL BOARD		
26	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
27	70200369.DOC DOJ docket number:SD2008803389	ļ	
28	Attachment: Exhibit A Accusation No.2010-1		
	3		
	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER	ł	

Exhibit A Accusation No. 2010-1

1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR. Attorney General of California		
2	JIM LEDAKIS		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General KAREN L. GORDON Deputy Attorney General		
4			
5	State Bar No. 137969 110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266 Date 7/1/09 By Helli Okuma		
6	P.O. Box 85266 7 San Diego, CA 92186-5266		
7	Telephone: (619) 645-2073 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061		
8	Attorneys for Complainant		
	BEFORE THE		
9	STRUCTURAL PEST CONTROL BOARD DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 2010-1		
12	DAVID E. WHITE A C C U S A T I O N		
13	78-465 Via Sevilla		
14	La Quinta, CA 92247		
15	Field Representative License No. FR 35441 Branches 2 & 3		
16	Réspondent.		
17			
18	Complainant alleges:		
19	PARTIES		
20	1. Kelli Okuma (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as		
21	the Registrar/Executive Officer of the Structural Pest Control Board, Department of Consumer		
22	Affairs.		
22			
23			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	1		
	Accusation		

į

· . .

1	JURISDICTION			
2	3. This Accusation is brought before the Structural Pest Control Board (Board),			
3	Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section			
4	references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.			
5	4. Section 118 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration of a license			
6	shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary proceeding against the			
7	licensee or to render a decision imposing discipline on the license.			
8	5. Section 490 of the Code states:			
9 10 11	A board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime, if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued. A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action which a board is			
12	permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the			
12	appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code.			
15				
15	6. Section 493 of the Code states, in pertinent part:			
16 17 18 19 20	Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who holds a license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact, and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related to the qualifications,			
21	7. Section 8620 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may suspend or			
22				
23	acts or omissions constituting cause for disciplinary action or in lieu of a suspension may assess	a		
24	civil penalty.			
25	5 ///			
20	5 ///			
2	7 ///			
2	8 ///			
	2			
	Accusati	on		

8. Section 8625 of the Code states:

The lapsing or suspension of a license or company registration by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, or the voluntary surrender of a license or company registration shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to proceed with any investigation of or action or disciplinary proceeding against such licensee or company, or to render a decision suspending or revoking such license or registration.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

9. Section 8649 of the Code states:

Conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a structural pest control operator, field representative, applicator, or registered company is a ground for disciplinary action. The certified record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence thereof."

10

10.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

.22

23

24

25

26

Section 8654 of the Code states:

Any individual who has been denied a license for any of the reasons specified in Section 8568, or who has had his or her license revoked, or whose license is under suspension, or who has failed to renew his or her license while it was under suspension, or who has been a member, officer, director, associate, qualifying manager, or responsible managing employee of any partnership, corporation, firm, or association whose application for a company registration has been denied for any of the reasons specified in Section 8568, or whose company registration has been revoked as a result of disciplinary action, or whose company registration is under suspension, and while acting as such member, officer, director, associate, qualifying manager, or responsible managing employee had knowledge of or participated in any of the prohibited acts for which the license or registration was denied, suspended or revoked, shall be prohibited from serving as an officer, director, associate, partner, qualifying manager, or responsible managing employee of a registered company, and the employment, election or association of such person by a registered company is a ground for disciplinary action.

11. Section 8655 of the Code states:

A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere made to a charge substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a structural pest control operator, field representative, applicator, or registered company is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this article or Section 8568 of this chapter. The board may order the license or registration suspended or revoked, or may decline to issue a license, when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the individual or registered company to withdraw a plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting side the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information or indictment.

- 27 || ///
- 28 || ///

12. Pena	l Code	section	476	states:
----------	--------	---------	-----	---------

Every person who makes, passes, utters, or publishes, with intent to defraud any other person, or who, with the like intent, attempts to pass, utter, or publish, or who has in his or her possession, with like intent to utter, pass, or publish, any fictitious or altered bill, note, or check purporting to be the bill, note, or check, or other instrument in writing for the payments of money or property of any real or fictitious financial institution as defined in Section 186.9 is guilty of forgery.

13. Penal Code section 476 states:

Embezzlement is the fraudulent appropriation of property by a person to whom it has been instrusted.

COST RECOVERY

14. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that a Board may request the

administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations

11 || of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and

enforcement of the case.

REGULATORY PROVISIONS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

28

15. California Code of Regulations, Title 16, section 1937.1, states, in pertinent part:

For the purposes of denial, suspension or revocation of a license or company registration . . . a crime or act shall be considered to be substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registered company . . . if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of such licensee or registered company to perform the functions authorized by the license or company registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare. Such crimes or acts shall include, but not be limited to, the following:

(a) Any violation of the provisions of Chapter 14 of Division 3 of the code.

(b) Commission of any of the following in connection with the practice of structural pest control:

(1) Fiscal dishonesty

(2) Fraud

(3) Theft

(4) Violations relating to the misuse of pesticides.

27 ///

111

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

16

17

(June 29, 2005 Criminal Conviction – Embezzlement in 2004 and 2005)

16. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code sections 490, 493, and 8649 in that on or about June 29, 2005, in the criminal proceeding entitled *People v. David Edward White*, Superior Court Riverside County Case No. INF050035, Respondent pled guilty to⁻ Penal Code section 503 (embezzlement), a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a field representative. The circumstances of the crime are as follows:

17. Respondent, while employed as a manager for Sunglass Hut in Palm Desert, CA,
willfully and unlawfully appropriated to his own use, money in the amount of \$188.51 on or
about October 31, 2004, \$536.57 on or about January 1, 2005, \$495.59 on or about January 6,
2005, \$527.92 on or about January 9, 2005, \$554.86 on or about January 15, 2005, and \$441.67
on or about January 16, 2005 for a total of \$2,745.12.

18. Pursuant to the Plea Agreement, on or about June 29, 2005, Respondent was
sentenced to three (3) years of probation, 121 days in jail, and ordered to pay fines, and
restitution.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(November 17, 2008 Criminal Conviction – Embezzlement in 2008)

Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code sections 490, 493, and 19. 18 8649 in that on or about November 17, 2008, in the criminal proceeding entitled People v. David 19 Edward White, Superior Court Riverside County Case No. INF063549, Respondent pled guilty to 20 Penal Code section 503 (embezzlement), a crime substantially related to the qualifications, 21 functions, and duties of a field representative. The circumstances of the crime are as follows: 22 During 2008 Respondent, while employed as a field technician and book keeper for 20. 23 Fernando's Bust A Bug in La Quinta, CA, willfully and unlawfully appropriated to his own use, 24

money in three separate transactions in the amounts of \$180.00, \$650.00, and \$105.00 for a total
of \$935.00.

27 21. Pursuant to the Plea Agreement, on or about November 17, 2008, Respondent was
28 sentenced to three (3) years of probation, 120 days in jail, and ordered to pay fines and restitution.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(May 11, 2009 Criminal Conviction – Forgeries in January 2009)

22. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code sections 490, 493, and 8649 in that on or about May 11, 2009, in the criminal proceeding entitled *People v. David Edward White*, Superior Court Riverside County, Indio, Case No. INF065028, Respondent pled guilty to Penal Code section 476 (forgery), a felony, a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a field representative. The circumstances of the crime are as follows:

23. Respondent deposited into a checking account, three forged checks that he unlawfully
made with intent to defraud in the amount of \$915.00 on January 5, 2009, \$915.00 on January 6,
2009, and \$3,750.00 on January 9, 2009, for a total of \$5,580.00.

24. Pursuant to the Plea Agreement, on or about November 17, 2008, Respondent was
sentenced to three (3) years of probation, 120 days in jail, and ordered to pay fines and restitution.
Respondent's sentence was to run concurrently with his sentences in Case Nos. INF063549 and
INF050035.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Acts Involving Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit)

25. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 8620 in that
Respondent committed acts of dishonesty when he embezzled \$2,745.12 from his employer
Sunglass Hut in 2004 and 2005 as set out more fully in paragraphs 16 and 17 above.

21 26. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 8620 in that
22 Respondent committed acts of dishonesty when he embezzled \$935.00 from his employer
23 Fernando's Bust A Bug in 2008 as set out more fully in paragraphs 19 and 20 above.

24 27. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 8620 in that
25 Respondent committed acts of dishonesty when he unlawfully made checks in the amount of
26 \$5,580 and deposited those forged checks in his bank in Janaury of 2009 as set out more fully in
27 paragraphs 22 and 23 above.

28 ////

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

16

17

б

1	OTHER MATTERS			
2	28. Code section 8620 provides, in pertinent part, that a respondent may request that a			
3	civil penalty of not more than \$5,000 be assessed in lieu of an actual suspension of one to 19			
4	days, or not more than \$10,000 for an actual suspension of 20 to 45 days. Such request must be			
5	made at the time of the hearing and must be noted in the proposed decision. The proposed			
6	decision shall not provide that a civil penalty shall be imposed in lieu of a suspension.			
7	29. Pursuant to Code section 8654, if discipline is imposed on Field Representative's			
8	License Number FR 35441, issued to Respondent David White, he shall be prohibited from			
9	serving as an officer, director, associate, partner, qualifying manager, or responsible managing			
10	employee for any registered company during the time the discipline is imposed, and any			
-11	registered company which employs, elects, or associates David White shall be subject to			
12	disciplinary action.			
13	PRAYER			
14	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,			
15	and that following the hearing, the Structural Pest Control Board issue a decision:			
16	1. Revoking or suspending Field Representative License Number FR 35441, issued to			
17	David E. White;			
18	2. Ordering David E. White to pay the Structural Pest Control Board the reasonable			
19	costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions			
20	Code section 125.3;			
21	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.			
22	DATED: 7/1/09 Kelli Okuma			
23				
24				
25				
26				
27	3D2000005507			
28	B accusation.rtf			
	77Accusa	+;,		
		er C		

usation