1	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California				
2	KENT D. HARRIS Supervising Deputy Attorney General				
3		= ability & war			
4	State Bar No. 117576 1300 I Street, Suite 125	Santa			
5	P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550				
6	Telephone: (916) 324-5337 Facsimile: (916) 327-8643				
7	Attorneys for Complainant				
8	BEFORE THE STRUCTURAL PEST CONTROL BOARD				
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
10	STATE OF CALIFOR	1			
11	In the Matter of the First Amended Accusation Against:	Case No. 2013-39			
12	MARK ANTHONY GRUBERT 6278 Prentis Court	FIRST AMENDED			
13	Magalia, CA 95954	ACCUSATION			
14	Field Representative License No. FR 37981 Branches 2 and 3 ¹				
15	Dranches 2 and 5				
16	Respondent.				
17	Susan Saylor ("Complainant") alleges:	•			
18	. <u>PARTIES</u>				
19	1. Complainant brings this First Amended Accusa	ation solely in her official capacity as			
20	the Registrar/Executive Officer of the Structural Pest Control Board ("Board"), Department of				
21	Consumer Affairs.				
22	2. On or about November 29, 2004, the Board issued Field Representative License				
23.	Number FR 37981 in Branch 3, to Mark Anthony Grubert ("Respondent"), as an employee of				
24	Terminix International Company L.P. On or about December 6, 2004, the license was upgraded				
25	1	•			
26	Pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 8560, subdivision (a), Branch 2, general pest, is "[T]he practice relating to the control of household pests, excluding fumigation				
27	with poisonous or lethal gases," and Branch 3, termite, is "[T]he practice relating to the control of wood-destroying pests or organisms by the use of insecticides, or structural repairs and				
28	corrections excluding fumigation with poisonous or lethal	gases."			

to include Branch 2. On or about May 20, 2005, Respondent left the employ of Terminix International Company L P. On or about December 2, 2010, Respondent became employed with Hunters Pest Control, Inc. On or about June 2, 2011, Respondent left the employ of Hunters Pest Control, Inc. and became employed with Hunters Services, Inc. On or about March 5, 2012, Respondent left the employ of Hunters Services, Inc. and his license was placed on an inactive² status. The license will expire on June 30, 2016, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

- 3. Business and Professions Code ("Code") section 8620 provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may suspend or revoke a license when it finds that the holder, while a licensee or applicant, has committed any acts or omissions constituting cause for disciplinary action or in lieu of a suspension may assess a civil penalty.
- 4. Code section 8623 provides that the board may revoke, suspend, or deny at any time a license on any of the grounds for disciplinary action under the Structural Pest Control Operators law [Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 8500 set seq.].
 - 5. Code section 8625 states:

The lapsing or suspension of a license or company registration by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, or the voluntary surrender of a license or company registration shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to proceed with any investigation of or action or disciplinary proceeding against such licensee or company, or to render a decision suspending or revoking such license or registration.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

6. Code section 8506.1 states, in pertinent part:

A "registered company" is any sole proprietorship, partnership, corporation, or other organization or any combination thereof that is registered with the Structural Pest Control Board to engage in the practice of structural pest control.

A registered company may secure structural pest control work submit bids, or otherwise contract for pest control work. A registered company may employ licensed field representatives and licensed operator to identify infestations or infections, make inspections, and represent the company in the securing of pest control work.

² "Inactive" means that the licensee is not actively employed in structural pest control. The licensee is not permitted to practice while the license is inactive.

7. Code section 8507 states, in pertinent part:

(a) "Structural pest control field representative" is any individual who is licensed by the board to secure structural pest control work, identify infestations or infections, make inspections, apply pesticides, submit bids for or otherwise contract, on behalf of a registered company.

A pest control field representative shall not contract for pest control work or perform pest control work on his or her own behalf.

- 8. Code section 8550 states, in pertinent part:
- (a) It is unlawful for any individual to engage or offer to engage in the business or practice of structural pest control, as defined in Section 8505, unless he or she is licensed under this chapter. Each registered company shall designate an individual or individuals who hold an operator's license to act as its qualifying manager or managers. The qualifying manager or managers must be licensed in each branch of pest control in which the company engages in business. The designated qualifying manager or managers shall supervise the daily business of the company and shall be available to supervise and assist all employees of the company, in accordance with regulations which the board may establish.
- (e) It is unlawful for any firm, sole proprietorship, partnership, corporation, association, or other organization or combination thereof to engage or offer to engage in the practice of structural pest control unless registered in accordance with Article 6 (commencing with Section 8610).

9. Code section 8552 states, in pertinent part:

It is unlawful for any person to advertise or represent in any manner that any pest control work, in whole or in part, has been done upon any structure, unless the work has been performed by a company registered under this chapter.

10. Code section 8553 states:

Any person who violates any provision of this chapter, or who conspires with another person to violate any provision of this chapter, is guilty of a misdemeanor, and is punishable by a fine of not less than one hundred dollars (\$100) nor more than one thousand dollars (\$1,000) or by imprisonment in the county jail for not more than six months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

11. Code section 8610, states, in pertinent part:

(a) Every company that engages in the practice of structural pest control as a sole proprietorship, partnership, corporation, or other organization or any combination thereof, shall be registered with the Structural Pest Control Board. Each application for a company registration shall include the name of the company's owner if it is a sole proprietorship, the name of the partners, if it is partnership, or the names of its officers and shareholders with 10 percent or more ownership interest, if it a corporation, and the address of the company's principal office in this states.

12. Code section 8641 states:

Failure to comply with the provisions of this chapter, or any rule or regulation adopted by the board, or the furnishing of a report of inspection without the making of a bona fide inspection of the premises for wood-destroying pests or organisms, or furnishing a notice of work completed prior to the completion of the work specified in the contract, is a ground for disciplinary action.

Code section 8642 states:

The commission of any grossly negligent or fraudulent act by the licensee as a pest control operator, field representative, or applicator or by a registered company is a ground for disciplinary action.

Code section 8648 states:

Authorizing, directing, conniving at or aiding in the publication, advertisement, distribution or circulation of any material by false statement or representation concerning a registered company's business is a ground for disciplinary action.

Code section 8649 of the Code states:

Conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions. and duties of a structural pest control operator, field representative, applicator, or registered company is a ground for disciplinary action. The certified record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence thereof.

Code section 8655 of the Code states:

A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere made to a charge substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a structural pest control operator, field representative, applicator, or registered company is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this article of Section 8568 of this chapter. The board may order the license or registration suspended or revoked, or may decline to issue a license when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 12031.4 of the Penal Code allowing the individual or registered company to withdraw a plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information or indictment.

17. Code section 8650 states:

Acting in the capacity of a licensee or registered company under any of the licenses or registrations issued hereunder except:

(a) In the name of the licensee or registered company as set forth upon the license or registration, or

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

part:

28

(b) At the address and location or place or places of business as licensed or registered or as later changed as provided in this chapter is a ground for disciplinary action.

18. Code section 490 states, in pertinent part:

- (a) In addition to any other action that a board is permitted to take against a licensee, a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime, if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.
- (b) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a board may exercise any authority to discipline a licensee for conviction of a crime that is independent of the authority granted under subdivision (a) only if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the licensee's license was issued.
- (c) A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. An action that a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code.

19. Section 17500 of the Code states, in pertinent part:

It is unlawful for any person, firm, corporation or association, or any employee thereof with intent directly or indirectly. . . to perform services, professional or otherwise, or anything of any nature whatsoever or to induce the public to enter into any obligation relating thereto, to make or disseminate or cause to be made or disseminated before the public in this state, or to make or disseminate or cause to be made or disseminated from this state before the public in any state, in any newspaper or other publication, or any advertising device, or by public outcry or proclamation, or in any other manner or means whatever, including over the Internet, any statement, concerning . . . those services, professional or otherwise, or concerning any circumstance or matter of fact connected with the proposed performance or disposition thereof, which is untrue or misleading, and which is known, or which by the exercise of reasonable care should be known, to be untrue or misleading, . . . Any violation of the provisions of this section is a misdemeanor punishable by imprisonment in the county jail not exceeding six months, or by a fine not exceeding two thousand five hundred dollars (\$2,500), or by both that imprisonment and fine.

REGULATORY PROVISION

20. California Code of Regulations, Title 16, section 1937.1 states, in pertinent

For the purposes of denial, suspension or revocation of a license or company registration pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the code, a crime or act shall be considered to be substantially related to the qualifications,

functions or duties of a licensee or registered company under Chapter 14 of Division 3 of the code if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of such licensee or registered company to perform the functions authorized by the license or company registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare. Such crimes or acts shall include, but not be limited to, the following:

(a) Any violation of the provisions of Chapter 14 of Division 3 of the code.

COST RECOVERY AND RESTITUTION

- 21. Code section 125.3 provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.
- 22. Government Code section 11519, subdivision (d), provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may require restitution of damages suffered as a condition of probation in the event probation is ordered.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

- 23. On or about April 4, 2012, the Board received a complaint from C.S., the owner of Hunters Pest Control Inc. ("Hunters"). C.S. stated that Respondent, who was terminated from his company on March 2, 2012, had been selling, soliciting, and performing termite treatments on his own behalf without being properly licensed, and had been soliciting his pest control customers after being terminated. C.S. provided the Board representative with a list of customers/real estate agents who Respondent had done work for while employed at his company, and with the name, address, and an invoice dated March 12, 2012, bearing the name "Mark Grubert Services" for one of his customers by the name of C.W., located at 741 Roberts Rd., Paradise, California, for whom Respondent had performed termite treatment on his own behalf.
- 24. On or about May 9, 2012, a Board representative went to C.W.'s property and spoke with C.W. She stated that she hired Hunters to do an inspection of her home. After C.W. received the inspection report and bid from Hunters, she was contacted by Respondent, who told her that he had left the employment of Hunters and started his own business using a friend's license and could do the work for less than the original bid from Hunters. C.W. hired Respondent to do the work, which was performed on March 13, 2012. C.W. paid Respondent \$800.00.

25. On or about June 27, 2012, a Board representative contacted Respondent to discuss his work and activities. Respondent admitted that he had been doing inspections, repair work, termite treatments, and general pest control work. In addition, Respondent admitted that he had performed 10-12 inspections for real estate agents since being terminated from Hunters, using the name and company registration number of John Moss Pest Control, PR 6242, on inspection reports without J.M.'s knowledge or authorization and without disclosing to the real estate agents that he was not properly licensed.

26. On or about July 17, 2012, Respondent provided a Board representative with three Wood Destroying Pests and Organisms Inspection Reports (Inspection Reports) and one Standard Notice of Work Completed and Not Completed ("NOC") that he had performed at the following addresses, bearing the name "John Moss Pest Control" and company registration number PR 6242.

Property	Dated	Ordered by
508-945 Stone Rd., Susanville, California	March 18, 2012	Susan River Realty – L.S.
478-825 Alta Dr., Susanville, California NOC	March 23, 2012 April 2, 2012	Town & Country – T.C.
709 North St., Susanville, California	April 2, 2012	Susan River Realty – "D"

- 27. On or about July 18, 2012, a Board representative met with J.M. J.M. stated that he did not give Respondent authorization to use his name, company registration number, or issue inspection reports, or any documents with his name or company registration number on it.
- 28. On or about July 19, 2012, a Board representative called and spoke to various real estate companies based on information received during the investigation, including the list of customers and real estate agents provided by Hunters, who may have used Respondent to perform inspections. The Board representative found that Respondent performed inspections and prepared Inspection Reports using J.M.'s name and company registration number at the following locations:

Property	Dated	Ordered by
8648 Cohasset Rd., Cohasset, California	May 7, 2012	I.C.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

28

Property	Dated	Ordered by
101 5 th St., Orland, California	May 25, 2012	Keller Williams- K.H.
1095 Overlook, Susanville, California	July 5, 2012	Town & Country- T.C.
470-930 Wingfield Rd., Susanville, California	April 27, 2012	Town & Country – T.C.
2695 Johnstoneville Rd., #2, Susanville, California	July 5, 2012	Town & Country – A.J.
710-205 Center Rd., Susanville, California	July 18, 2012	Town & Country – A.J.
220 N. McDow St., Susanville, California Supplemental Inspection and NOC	May 8, 2012 May 15, 2012	Century 21 – N.H-R.
820 Ellen Ave., Portola, California NOC	April 20, 2012 May 4, 2012	Coldwell Banker – L.B.
12 Graeagle Meadows, Blairsden-Graeagle, CA	April 27, 2012	Coldwell Banker – L.B.
1145 Cherry Cr., Portola, California NOC	May 15, 2012 June 7, 2012	Coldwell Banker – B.H.
28 White St., Loyalton, California	May 22, 2012	Coldwell Banker – L.B.
333 Sixth Ave., Portola, California	July 5, 2012	Coldwell Banker – L.B.
708 Roundup Rd., Loyalton, California	July 24, 2012	Coldwell Banker – L.B.
69950 Mule Deer Rd., Clio, California	July 24, 2012	Coldwell Banker – L.B.
437 Cedar Ridge, Portola, California	July 31, 2012	F.M.
250 Monument Ct., Chilcoot, California	July 31, 2012	Coldwell Banker – L.B.
515 Lincoln, Sierraville, California	July 31, 2012	Coldwell Banker – L.B.
209 Calpine Ave., Calpine, California	July 31, 2012	Coldwell Banker – L.B.

29. On or about July 11, 2013, Respondent performed a complete inspection of the property located at 126 Poplar Valley Road, Blairsden-Graeagle, California, owned by J.L.

Respondent issued an Inspection Report under the name "Big Country Pest Services Inc.," 6278

Prentis Court, Magalia, California, with the typed statement "A Licensed Pest Control Operator is an Expert in His/Her Field. Questions Should Be Referred to Him/Herself," and Respondent's printed name and signature. Big Country Pest Services Inc. is not a licensee of the Board.

Respondent issued a NOC to J.L. stating Big Country Pest Services Inc., dated July 11, 2013, noting items completed, and an invoice for \$615.00.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Contracting for and Performing Pest Control Work on Own Behalf)

30. Respondent's field representative's license is subject to discipline under Code section 8641, in that between March 13 and July 31, 2012, and on July 11, 2013, Respondent secured structural pest control work, identified infestations or infections, performed inspections, and submitted bids on his own behalf, without holding a company registration, in violation of Code section 8507, subdivision (a), as alleged in paragraphs 13 through 29, above, incorporated herein by reference.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unlicensed Activity)

- 31. Respondent's field representative's license is subject to discipline under Code section 8641, in that between March 13 and July 31, 2012, and on July 11, 2013, as follows:
- a. Section 8550: Respondent violated this section in that he engaged in the business or practice of structural pest control on his own behalf without being properly licensed as an operator and/or registered company by the Board, as alleged in paragraphs 23 through 29, above, incorporated herein by reference.
- b. Section 8552: Respondent violated this section in that he made representations that pest control work performed had been performed by a properly licensed operator and/or registered company when, in fact, it was not, as alleged in paragraphs 23 through 29, above, incorporated herein by reference.

///

///

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Acting in the Capacity of a Registered Company)

32. Respondent's field representative's license is subject to discipline under Code section 8641, in that between March 13 and July 31, 2012, and on July 11, 2013, Respondent failed to comply with Code section 8610, subdivision (a), by engaging in the practice of structural pest control without being registered with the Board, as alleged in paragraphs 23 through 29, above, incorporated herein by reference.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Fraudulent Act)

- 33. Respondent's field representative's license is subject to discipline under Code section 8642, in that between March 13 and July 31, 2012, and on July 11, 2013, as follows:
- a. Respondent committed acts constituting fraud by engaging in or offering to engage in the practice of structural pest control work on his own behalf without being properly licensed or registered with the Board and performing structural pest control inspections, as alleged in paragraphs 23 through 29, above, incorporated herein by reference.
- b. Respondent fraudulently represented himself to consumers as an entity of John Moss Pest Control, in that Respondent used the name and company registration number (PR 6242) of John Moss Pest Control on inspection reports and NOC without J.M.'s knowledge or authorization, as alleged in paragraphs 25 through 28, above, incorporated herein by reference.

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(False Statement; False Advertising)

- 34. Respondent's field representative's license is subject to discipline under Code section 8641, in that between March 13 and July 31, 2012, and on July 11, 2013, as follows:
- a. Section 8648: Respondent violated this section in that he committed acts of authorizing, directing, conniving at or aiding in the publication, advertisement, distribution or circulation of materials by false statement or representation concerning John Moss Pest Control (PR6242) in that he falsely advertised and made false statements using J.M.'s name and company registration number to perform numerous inspections and issued Inspection Reports and a NOC,

as alleged in paragraphs 25 through 28, above, and performed an inspection and issued an Inspection Report under "Big Country Pest Services Inc.," an unlicensed entity, as alleged in paragraph 29, above, all of which are incorporated herein by reference.

b. Section 17500: Respondent violated this section in that he committed acts constituting false advertising by performing structural pest control work on his own behalf without being properly licensed or registered with the Board, performed structural pest control inspections, and disseminated to the public publications falsely advertising that he was a licensee of the Board or giving the false impression that he was a Board licensee, as alleged in paragraphs 23 through 29, above, incorporated herein by reference. Respondent knew that his statements were untrue and/or misleading, or with the exercise of reasonable care should have known them to be untrue or misleading.

SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Criminal Conviction)

- 35. Respondent's field representative's license is subject to discipline under Code sections 8649 and 490, in that on or about October 9, 2013, Respondent was convicted in the case titled *People v. Mark Grubert*, Lassen County Superior Court Case No. CR030921, on his plea of guilty to one count of violating Business and Professions Code ("Code") sections 8550 [engaging in structural pest control services without a license], one count of violating Code section 8552 [representing pest control work was done by a licensed company], both misdemeanors under Code section 8553 [conviction and penalty]. Said crimes are substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensed structural pest control operator.
- a. The underlying circumstances of the crime are: On or about January 1, 2012, and July 18, 2012, Respondent engaged in acts violating Code section 8550 in that he willfully and unlawfully engaged in and offered to engage in the business of structural pest control with a license, and, further, engaged in acts violating Code section 8552 in that he willfully and unlawfully advertised and represented that pest control work had been done on a structure when it was not performed by a licensed company. Paragraphs 23 through 28, above, state Respondent's unlawful acts and are incorporated herein by this reference.

8

5

11

12 13

14

16

15

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

OTHER MATTERS

- 36. Notice is hereby given that section 8620 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that a Respondent may request that a civil penalty of not more than \$5,000 be assessed in lieu of an actual suspension of 1 to 19 days, or not more than \$10,000 for an actual suspension of 20 to 45 days. Such request must be made at the time of the hearing and must be noted in the proposed decision. The proposed decision shall not provide that a civil penalty shall be imposed in lieu of a suspension.
- 37. Pursuant to Code section 8654, if discipline is imposed on Field Representative's License Number FR 37981, issued to Mark Anthony Grubert, the Respondent shall be prohibited from serving as an officer, director, associate, partner, qualifying manager, or responsible managing employee for any registered company during the time the discipline is imposed, and any registered company which employs, elects, or associates him, shall be subject to disciplinary action.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Structural Pest Control Board issue a decision:

- Revoking or suspending Field Representative's License Number 37981, issued to Mark Anthony Grubert;
- Revoking or suspending any other license for which Mark Anthony Grubert is furnishing the qualifying experience or appearance;
- Ordering restitution of all damages according to proof suffered by any persons as a condition of probation in the event probation is ordered;
- 4. Prohibiting Mark Anthony Grubert from serving as an officer, director, associate, partner, qualifying manager or responsible managing employee of any registered company during the period that discipline is imposed on Field Representative's License Number FR 37981, issued to Mark Anthony Grubert;

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27	.	

- 5. Ordering Mark Anthony Grubert to pay the Structural Pest Control Board the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3; and,
 - 6. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: 22114

Registrar/Executive Officer
Structural Pest Control Board
Department of Consumer Affairs
State of California
Complainant

SA2013110317 / 11285769.docx