1 2 3 4 5 6 7	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California MARC D. GREENBAUM Supervising Deputy Attorney General ZACHARY T. FANSELOW Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 274129 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-2562 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804 Attorneys for Complainant
8 9	BEFORE THE STRUCTURAL PEST CONTROL BOARD DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 2016-60
11	JOE RUSKIN BECK, JR.
12	10510 East Zamora Avenue Los Angeles, CA 90002A C C U S A T I O N
13 14	Field Representative's License No. FR 47357, Br. 2
15	Respondent.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	Complainant alleges:
21	PARTIES
22	1. Susan Saylor ("Complainant") brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as
23	the Registrar/Executive Officer of the Structural Pest Control Board, Department of Consumer
24	Affairs.
25	2. On or about January 12, 2012, the Structural Pest Control Board issued Field
26	Representative's License Number FR 47357 in Branch 2 to Joe Ruskin Beck, Jr. ("Respondent").
27	The Field Representative's License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges
28	brought herein and will expire on June 30, 2017, unless renewed.
	1
	ACCUSATION

1	JURISDICTION
2	3. This Accusation is brought before the Structural Pest Control Board ("Board"),
3	Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references
4	are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
5	4. Section 8620 provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may suspend or revoke a
6	license when it finds that the holder, while a licensee or applicant, has committed any acts or
7	omissions constituting cause for disciplinary action or in lieu of a suspension may assess a civil
8	penalty.
9	5. Section 8625 of the Code states:
10	"The lapsing or suspension of a license or company registration by operation of law or by
11	order or decision of the board or a court of law, or the voluntary surrender of a license or
12	company registration shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to proceed with any investigation
13	of or action or disciplinary proceeding against such licensee or company, or to render a decision
14	suspending or revoking such license or registration."
15	STATUTORY PROVISIONS
16	6. Section 8593 states:
17	"(a) The board shall require as a condition to the renewal of each operator's and field
18	representative's license that the holder submit proof satisfactory to the board that he or she has
19	informed himself or herself of developments in the field of pest control either by completion of
20	courses of continuing education in pest control approved by the board or equivalent activity
21	approved by the board. In lieu of submitting that proof, the licenseholder, if he or she so desires,
22	may take and successfully complete an examination given by the board, designed to test his or her
23	knowledge of developments in the field of pest control since the issuance of his or her license.
24	"(b) The board shall develop a correspondence course or courses with any educational
25	institution or institutions as it deems appropriate. This course may be used to fulfill the
26	requirements of this section. The institution may charge a reasonable fee for each course.
27	111
28	111
	2

"(c) The board may charge a fee for the taking of an examination in each branch of pest control pursuant to this section in an amount sufficient to cover the reasonable regulatory cost of administering each examination." 3

Section 8637 states that "[m]isrepresentation of a material fact by the applicant in 7. 4 obtaining a license or company registration is a ground for disciplinary action." 5

> 8. Section 8654 states:

"Any individual who has been denied a license for any of the reasons specified in Section 7 8568, or who has had his or her license revoked, or whose license is under suspension, or who has 8 failed to renew his or her license while it was under suspension, or who has been a member, 9 officer, director, associate, qualifying manager, or responsible managing employee of any 10 partnership, corporation, firm, or association whose application for a company registration has 11 been denied for any of the reasons specified in Section 8568, or whose company registration has 12 been revoked as a result of disciplinary action, or whose company registration is under suspension, 13 and while acting as such member, officer, director, associate, qualifying manager, or responsible 14 managing employee had knowledge of or participated in any of the prohibited acts for which the 15 license or registration was denied, suspended or revoked, shall be prohibited from serving as an 16 officer, director, associate, partner, qualifying manager, or responsible managing employee of a 17 registered company, and the employment, election or association of such person by a registered 18 company is a ground for disciplinary action." 19

20

1

2

6

9. Section 8641 states:

"Failure to comply with the provisions of this chapter, or any rule or regulation adopted by 21 the board, or the furnishing of a report of inspection without the making of a bona fide inspection 22 of the premises for wood-destroying pests or organisms, or furnishing a notice of work completed 23 prior to the completion of the work specified in the contract, is a ground for disciplinary action." 24

25

### **REGULATORY PROVISIONS**

California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1950, states, in pertinent part: 26 10. "(a) Except as provided in section 1951, every licensee is required, as a condition to 27 renewal of a license, to certify that he or she has completed the continuing education requirements 28

3

ACCUSATION

set forth in this article. A licensee who cannot verify completion of continuing education by producing certificates of activity completion, whenever requested to do so by the Board, may be subject to disciplinary action under section 8641 of the code.

4

5

6

7

8

9

3

1

2

"(b) Each licensee is required to complete a certain number of continuing education hours during the three year renewal period. The number of hours required depends on the number of branches of pest control in which licenses are held. The subject matter covered by each activity shall be designated as "technical" or "general" by the Board when the activity is approved. Hour values shall be assigned by the Board to each approved educational activity, in accordance with the provisions of section 1950.5.

10 ||

"(d) Field representatives licensed in one branch of pest control shall have completed 16 11 continuing education hours, field representatives licensed in two branches of pest control shall 12 have completed 20 continuing education hours, field representatives licensed in three branches of 13 pest control shall have completed 24 continuing education hours during each three year renewal 14 period. In each case, a minimum of four continuing education hours in a technical subject directly 15 related to each branch of pest control held by the licensee must be completed for each branch of 16 pest control licensed, a minimum of two hours in Integrated Pest Management must be completed 17 by Branch 2 and/or 3 licensees renewing on or after June 30, 2010, and a minimum of eight hours 18 must be completed from Board approved courses on the Structural Pest Control Act, the Rules 19 and Regulations, or structural pest control related agencies' rules and regulations." 20

21

#### COST RECOVERY

11. Section 125.3 states, in pertinent part, that a Board may request the administrative law
judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to
pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

- 25
- 26

(Failure to Verify Completion of Continuing Education Requirements)

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

12. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 8641 in conjunction with
section 8593 and California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1950, subdivisions (a) and (d)

inclusive, in that Respondent failed to verify completion of continuing education requirements, as
 follows:

a. On or about July 8, 2014, Respondent signed his Field Representative license renewal
application under the penalty of perjury, attesting that he had completed the Board's continuing
education requirements.

b. The July 8, 2014, license renewal application submitted by Respondent and received
by the Board was damaged and illegible, so it was unclear exactly how many hours of continuing
education Respondent was certifying that he had completed.

9 c. On or about August 28, 2014, the Board, seeking clarification due to the damaged
10 renewal application, sent Respondent a letter asking him to verify the number of continuing
11 education hours he had completed.

d. On or about September 29, 2014, Respondent returned the previous letter and
attested, under penalty of perjury, that he had completed 16 hours of continuing education.

e. On or about November 3, 2014, the Board mailed Respondent a letter notifying him
that he had been selected for a continuing education ("CE") audit ("Audit"). The Audit directed
Respondent to submit copies of certificates of course completion to verify his completed CE hours
within fourteen (14) days of November 3, 2014. Respondent did not submit any certificates of
course completion to the Board in response to this letter.

f. On or about March 3, 2015, the Board mailed Respondent a second letter directing
him to submit copies of certificates of course completion to verify his completed CE hours within
fourteen (14) days of March 3, 2015. Respondent did not submit any certificates of course
completion to the Board in response to this letter.

g. On or about May 8, 2015, the Board mailed Respondent a "FINAL NOTICE"
directing him to submit copies of certificates of course completion to verify his completed CE
hours within fourteen (14) days of May 8, 2015. This letter was returned to the Board and
Respondent did not submit any certificates of course completion to the Board in response to this
letter.

28 ///

h. On or about July 1, 2015, the Board mailed Respondent a second "FINAL NOTICE"
 to Respondent's work address, directing him to submit copies of certificates of course completion
 to verify his completed CE hours within fourteen (14) days of July 1, 2015. This letter was
 returned to the Board and Respondent did not submit any certificates of course completion to the
 Board in response to this letter.

i. On or about July 17, 2015, the Board mailed Respondent a third "FINAL NOTICE"
to a third address, directing him to submit copies of certificates of course completion to verify his
completed CE hours within fourteen (14) days of July 17, 2015. Respondent did not submit any
certificates of course completion to the Board in response to this letter

j. On or about August 13, 2015, the Board sent Respondent a letter notifying him that
the Board had neither received any proof of completion of CE requirements nor any explanation as
to why he does not have the requested documents.

13

# 14

## SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Misrepresentation of Material Fact on License Renewal Application)

Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 8637 in conjunction with 15 13. section 8593 in that Respondent misrepresented a material fact on his license renewal application. 16 On or about July 8, 2014, Respondent certified under the penalty of perjury on his license renewal 17 application that he had completed the Board's CE requirements. In a letter received by the Board 18 on or about September 29, 2014, Respondent certified under the penalty of perjury that he had 19 completed sixteen (16) hours of CE requirements. Yet, Respondent failed to provide proof to the 20Board of his actual completion of these hours after multiple Board requests. Complainant refers 21 to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations contained in paragraph 12, subparagraphs (a) 22 through (j) inclusive, as though set forth fully. 23

24

#### **DISCIPLINE CONSIDERATIONS**

14. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent,
Complainant alleges that on or about March 11, 2011, the Structural Pest Control Board brought a
disciplinary action against Respondent entitled In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against
Joe Ruskin Beck, Jr., in Board Case Number 2011-43 and Office of Administrative Hearings Case

Number 2011050040. In a decision effective January 12, 2012, Respondent's application for
 licensure was granted, however Respondent's license was immediately revoked, the revocation
 was stayed and Respondent was placed on probation for three years for the conviction of several
 crimes substantially related to the qualifications, functions and duties of a field representative. The
 circumstances of the convictions are as follows:

a. On or about April 25, 1985, in the criminal proceeding entitled *People v. Jose Ruskin Beck* (Super. Ct. Los Angeles County, 1985, Case No. A910886), Respondent was convicted of
violating Penal Code section 211 (robbery, a felony) and was sentenced to serve 5 years in state
prison.

b. On or about January 17, 1996, in the criminal proceeding entitled *People v. Jose Ruskin Beck* (Super. Ct. Los Angeles County, 1996, Case No. BA178998), Respondent was
convicted of violating Penal Code section 215, subdivision (a) (carjacking, a felony) and was
sentenced to serve 9 years in state prison.

c. On or about September 2, 2004, in the criminal proceeding entitled *People v. Jose Ruskin Beck* (Super. Ct. Los Angeles County, 2004, Case No. VA084567), Respondent pled
guilty to violating Penal Code section 666 (petty theft with prior prison sentences for robbery and
carjacking, a felony) and was sentenced to serve 44 months in state prison.

d. On or about March 6, 2008, in the criminal proceeding entitled *People v. Jose Ruskin Beck* (Super. Ct. Los Angeles County, 2008, Case No. TA095869), Respondent pled guilty to
violating Vehicle Code section 10851, subdivision (a) (unlawful driving or taking of a vehicle, a
felony). Respondent was sentenced to serve a total of 28 months in state prison.

e. The decision in Board Case Number 2011-43 is now final and is incorporated by
reference as if fully set forth.

### 24

#### **OTHER MATTERS**

7

15. Section 8620 provides, in pertinent part, that a respondent may request that a civil
penalty of not more than \$5,000 be assessed in lieu of an actual suspension of 1 to 19 days, or not
more than \$10,000 for an actual suspension of 20 to 45 days. Such request must be made at the

1	time of the hearing and must be noted in the proposed decision. The proposed decision shall not
2	provide that a civil penalty shall be imposed in lieu of a suspension.
3	16. Pursuant to section 8654, if discipline is imposed on Respondent's Field
4	Representative's License Number FR 47357 in Branch 2, Respondent shall be prohibited from
5	serving as an officer, director, associate, partner, qualifying manager, or responsible managing
6	employee for any registered company during the time the discipline is imposed, and any registered
7	company which employs, elects, or associates Respondent shall be subject to disciplinary action.
8	PRAYER
9	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,
10	and that following the hearing, the Structural Pest Control Board issue a decision:
11	1. Revoking or suspending Field Representative's License Number FR 47357 in Branch
12	2, issued to Joe Ruskin Beck, Jr.;
13	2. Ordering Joe Ruskin Beck, Jr. to pay the Structural Pest Control Board the reasonable
14	costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code
15	section 125.3; and,
16	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.
17	
18	
19	
20	DATED: 5/10/10 SUSAN SAYLOR
21	Registrar/Executive Officer Structural Pest Control Board
22	Department of Consumer Affairs State of California
23	Complainant
24	LA2016601008
25	52081684.doc
26	
27	
28	
	8
I	ACCUSATION