BEFORE THE STRUCTURAL PEST CONTROL BOARD DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against:

Case No. 2016-26

OAH No. 2015110233

MATTHEW ALEXANDER SANCHEZ P.O. Box 221328 Newhall, CA 91322

Residence: 25656 Ramada Dr. Valencia, CA 91355

Field Representative License Applicant

Respondent.

DECISION AND ORDER

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted by the Structural Pest Control Board, Department of Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in this matter.

This Decision shall	become	effect	ive on	Мау	19,	2016	,	
It is so ORDERED	April	19,	2016					

FOR THE STRUCTURAL PEST CONTROL BOARD DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS

1 2 3 4 5	Kamala D. Harris Attorney General of California THOMAS L. RINALDI Supervising Deputy Attorney General HEATHER VO Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 223418 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013					
6	Telephone: (213) 897-2574 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804					
7	Attorneys for Complainant					
8	BEFORE THE STRUCTURAL PEST CONTROL BOARD					
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA					
10						
11	In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against:	Case No. 2016-26				
12	MATTHEW ALEXANDER SANCHEZ	OAH No. 2015110233				
13	P.O. Box 221328 Newhall, CA 91322	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND DISCIPLINARY ORDER				
14	Residence:					
15	25656 Ramada Dr. Valencia, CA 91355					
16	Pi-14 D					
17	Field Representative License Applicant					
18	Respondent.					
19	·					
20	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the above-					
21	entitled proceedings that the following matters are true:					
22	PARTIES					
23	1. Susan Saylor ("Complainant") is the Registrar/Executive Officer of the Structural					
24	Pest Control Board. She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is represented in					
25	this matter by Kamala D. Harris, Attorney General of the State of California, by Heather Vo,					
26	Deputy Attorney General.					
27	2. Respondent Matthew Alexander Sanchez ("Respondent") is representing himself in					
28	this proceeding and has chosen not to exercise his right to be represented by counsel.					

3. On or about October 6, 2014, Respondent filed an application dated October 2, 2014, with the Structural Pest Control Board to obtain a Field Representative License.

JURISDICTION

- 4. Statement of Issues Case No. 2016-26 was filed before the Structural Pest Control Board (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, and is currently pending against Respondent. The Statement of Issues and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on October 28, 2015.
- 5. A copy of Statement of Issues Case No. 2016-26 is attached as exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 6. Respondent has carefully read, and understands the charges and allegations in Statement of Issues Case No. 2016-26. Respondent has also carefully read, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.
- 7. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Statement of Issues; the right to be represented by counsel at his own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 8. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

CULPABILITY

- 9. Respondent admits the truth of each and every charge and allegation in Statement of Issues Case No. 2016-26.
- 10. Respondent agrees that his Field Representative License is subject to denial and he agrees to be bound by the Board's probationary terms as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

.15

CONTINGENCY

- 11. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Structural Pest Control Board. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Structural Pest Control Board may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- 12. The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including PDF and facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 13. This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is intended by the parties to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final, and exclusive embodiment of their agreement. It supersedes any and all prior or contemporaneous agreements, understandings, discussions, negotiations, and commitments (written or oral). This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order may not be altered, amended, modified, supplemented, or otherwise changed except by a writing executed by an authorized representative of each of the parties.
- 14. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Respondent Matthew Alexander Sanchez shall be issued a Field Representative License, Branch 2, which shall be immediately revoked. The revocation will be stayed and the Respondent placed on five (5) years probation on the following terms and conditions.

- 1. **Obey All Laws.** Respondent shall obey all Federal, State and Local laws and all laws and rules relating to the practice of structural pest control.
- 2. Quarterly Reports. Respondent shall file quarterly reports with the Board during the period of probation.
- 3. Tolling of Probation. Should Respondent leave California to reside outside this state, Respondent must notify the Board in writing of the dates of departure and return. Periods of residency or practice outside the state shall not apply to reduction of the probationary period.
- 4. **Notice to Employers.** Respondent shall notify all present and prospective employers of the decision in Case No. 2016-26 and the terms, conditions and restriction imposed on Respondent by said decision.

Within 30 days of the effective date of this decision, and within 15 days of Respondent undertaking new employment, Respondent shall cause his employer to report to the Board in writing acknowledging the employer has read the decision in Case No. 2016-26.

- 5. Notice to Employees. Respondent shall, upon or before the effective date of this decision, post or circulate a notice to all employees involved in structural pest control operations which accurately recite the terms and conditions of probation. Respondent shall be responsible for said notice being immediately available to said employees. "Employees" as used in this provision includes all full-time, part-time, temporary and relief employees and independent contractors employed or hired at any time during probation.
- 6. Completion of Probation. Upon successful completion of probation, Respondent's license will be fully restored.
- 7. Violation of Probation. Should Respondent violate probation in any respect, the Board, after giving Respondent notice and an opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order which was stayed. If a petition to revoke probation is filed against Respondent during probation, the Board shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final.

661 -- 255 - 9677

. 24

- 8. Random Inspections. Respondent shall reimburse the Board for one random inspection per quarter by Board specialists during the period of probation not to exceed \$125 per inspection.
- 9. Prohibited from Serving as Officer, Director, Associate, Partner or Qualifying Manager. Respondent is prohibited from serving as an officer, director, associate, partner, qualifying manager or branch office manager of any registered company during the period that discipline is imposed on Respondent's Field Representative License.
- 10. No Interest In Any Registered Company. Respondent shall not have any legal or beneficial interest in any company currently or hereinafter registered by the Board during the period of probation.
- 11. Therapy Or Counseling Program. Respondent, at his expense, shall participate in an on-going counseling program until such time as the Board releases him from this requirement and only upon the recommendation of the counselor. Written progress reports from the counselor will be required at various intervals to be determined by the Board.

ACCEPTANCE

I have carefully read the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Field Representative License, Branch 2. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Structural Pest Control Board.

DATED: 01/15/2016

MATTHEW ALEXANDER SANCHEZ
Respondent

respondent

ENDORSEMENT The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Structural Pest Control Board. January 16,2016 Respectfully submitted, Kamala D. Harris Attorney General of California THOMAS L. RINALDI Supervising Deputy Attorney General HEATHER VO Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant LA2015501178 61848232 2.doc

	1						
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California LINDA K. SCHNEIDER Senior Assistant Attorney General ARMANDO ZAMBRANO Supervising Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 225325 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-2542 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804 Attorneys for Complainant	Date 10/20/15 By Sysan Saylar					
8 9 10	BEFORE THE STRUCTURAL PEST CONTROL BOARD DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA						
11	In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against:	Case No. 2016-26					
12	MATTHEW ALEXANDER SANCHEZ	STATEMENT OF ISSUES					
13 14	Field Representative License Applicant	·					
15	Respondent.						
16	Complainant alleges:						
17	<u>PAR</u>	TIES					
18	1. Susan Saylor ("Complainant") brings	s this Statement of Issues solely in her official					
19	capacity as the Registrar/Executive Officer of the Structural Pest Control Board, Department of						
20	Consumer Affairs.						
21	2. On or about October 6, 2014, the Structural Pest Control Board ("Board"),						
22	Department of Consumer Affairs received an application for a Field Representative License from						
23	Matthew Alexander Sanchez ("Respondent"). On or about October 2, 2014, Matthew Alexander						
24	Sanchez certified under penalty of perjury to the truthfulness of all statements, answers, and						
25	representations in the application. The Board denied the application on April 15, 2015.						
26	///						
27	1//						
28	///						

JURISDICTION

3. This Statement of Issues is brought before the Board under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code ("Code") unless otherwise indicated.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 4. Section 480 of the Code states, in pertinent part:
- "(a) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the grounds that the applicant has one of the following:
- "(1) Been convicted of a crime. A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action that a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4, 1203.4a, or 1203.41 of the Penal Code.
- "(2) Done any act involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit with the intent to substantially benefit himself or herself or another, or substantially injure another.
- "(3) (A) Done any act that if done by a licentiate of the business or profession in question, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of license.
- (B) The board may deny a license pursuant to this subdivision only if the crime or act is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which application is made.
- "(d) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the ground that the applicant knowingly made a false statement of fact required to be revealed in the application for the license."
- 5. Section 8568 of the Code provides that the Board may deny a license or registration if the applicant, while unlicensed or not registered, knowingly committed or aided or abetted the commission of any act for which a license or company registration is required, or has committed

any act or omissions constituting grounds for discipline under section 480 of that code.

6. Section 8649 of the Code states, in pertinent part:

"Conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a structural pest control operator, field representative, applicator, or registered company is a ground for disciplinary action. The certified record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence thereof."

7. Section 8654 of the Code states, in pertinent part:

"Any individual who has been denied a license for any of the reasons specified in Section 8568, or who has had his or her license revoked, or whose license is under suspension, or who has failed to renew his or her license while it was under suspension, or who has been a member, officer, director, associate, qualifying manager, or responsible managing employee of any partnership, corporation, firm, or association whose application for a company registration has been denied for any of the reasons specified in Section 8568, or whose company registration has been revoked as a result of disciplinary action, or whose company registration is under suspension, and while acting as such member, officer, director, associate, qualifying manager, or responsible managing employee had knowledge of or participated in any of the prohibited acts for which the license or registration was denied, suspended or revoked, shall be prohibited from serving as an officer, director, associate, partner, qualifying manager, or responsible managing employee of a registered company, and the employment, election or association of such person by a registered company is a ground for disciplinary action."

8. Section 8655 of the Code states, in pertinent part:

"A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere made to a charge substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a structural pest control operator, field representative, applicator, or registered company is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this article or Section 8568 of this chapter. The board may order the license or registration suspended or revoked, or may decline to issue a license, when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the individual or registered company

to withdraw a plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information or indictment."

REGULATORY PROVISION

9. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1937.1, states, in pertinent part:

"For the purposes of denial, suspension or revocation of a license or company registration pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the code, a crime or act shall be considered to be substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registered company under Chapter 14 of Division 3 of the code if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of such licensee or registered company to perform the functions authorized by the license or company registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare."

FIRST CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Convictions of Substantially Related Crimes)

- 10. Respondent's application is subject to denial pursuant to Code sections 8568 and 480, subdivision (a)(1), in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16 section 1937.1, in that Respondent was convicted of crimes substantially related to the qualifications, functions and duties of a structural pest control field representative, as follows:
- a. On or about February 18, 2003, after pleading nolo contendere, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Penal Code section 466 [possession of burglary tools] in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Matthew Alexander Sanchez* (Super. Ct. L.A. County, 2003, No. 3AT00922). The Court sentenced Respondent to 8 days in jail, placed him on two years summary probation with terms and conditions, and ordered him to pay fines.
- b. On or about October 8, 2003, after pleading nolo contendere, Respondent was convicted of one felony count of violating Penal Code section 459 [first degree residential burglary] in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Matthew Alexander Sanchez* (Super. Ct. L.A. County, 2003, No. MA027382). On or about March 23, 2004, the Court sentenced Respondent to 365 days in jail, and placed him on three years on

formal probation with terms and conditions.

- c. On or about May 26, 2005, after pleading nolo contendere, Respondent was convicted of one felony count of violating Penal Code section 496, subdivision (a) [receive stolen properties, to wit, auto stereo and checks], in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Matthew Alexander Sanchez* (Super. Ct. L.A. County, 2005, No. MA031956). On or about June 16, 2005, the Court sentenced Respondent to 365 days in jail, and placed him on 36 months formal probation with terms and conditions.
- d. On or about March 7, 2006, after pleading nolo contendere, Respondent was convicted of one felony count of violating Penal Code section 487, subdivision (a) [grand theft of personal property over \$400], in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Matthew Alexander Sanchez* (Super. Ct. L.A. County, 2006, No. MA034582). On or about March 28, 2006, the Court sentenced Respondent to 32 months in state prison.
- e. On or about October 16, 2008, after pleading nolo contendere, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (b) [drive while having a 0.08% or higher blood alcohol content (BAC), by weight], in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Matthew Alexander Sanchez* (Super. Ct. L.A. County, 2008, No. 8AV10536). The Court sentenced Respondent to 3 days in jail, placed him on three year summary probation with terms and conditions, ordered him to complete a Three-Month First-Offender Alcohol and Other Drug Education and Counseling Program, and ordered him to pay fines. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about September 30, 2008, Respondent drove a vehicle while under the influence of alcohol. Respondent told a law enforcement officer that he had consumed about three "shots of rum" prior to driving.
- f. On or about November 19, 2009, after pleading nolo contendere, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Vehicle Code section 14601.2, subdivision (a) [drive when privilege suspended for prior driving under the influence conviction], in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Matthew Alexander Sanchez* (Super. Ct. L.A. County, 2009, No. 9AV08543). The Court sentenced Respondent to ten days in jail,

28 | ///

placed him on 36 months summary probation with terms and conditions, and ordered him to install an ignition interlock device on his vehicle. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about September 10, 2009, after a traffic stop, Respondent was cited for driving with a suspended driver's license.

- g. On or about April 19, 2012, after pleading nolo contendere, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Vehicle Code section 14601.2, subdivision (a) [drive when privilege suspended for prior driving under the influence conviction], in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Matthew Alexander Sanchez* (Super. Ct. L.A. County, 2012, No. 2AV02270). The Court sentenced Respondent to ten days in jail, placed him on three years summary probation with terms and conditions, and ordered him to pay fines. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about April 17, 2012, after a traffic stop, Respondent was driving a stolen vehicle and was driving with a suspended driver's license.
- h. On or about April 25, 2012, after pleading nolo contendere, Respondent was convicted of one felony count for violating Penal Code section 459 [second degree commercial burglary] in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Matthew Alexander Sanchez* (Super. Ct. L.A. County, 2012, No. MA056000). The Court sentenced Respondent to 32 months in state prison, and ordered him to pay fines. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about April 23, 2012, Respondent entered Bank of America and attempted to deposit a forged check.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Acts Involving Dishonesty, Fraud, or Deceit)

11. Respondent's application is subject to denial pursuant to Code sections 8568 and 480, subdivision (a)(2), in that Respondent committed acts involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit with the intent to substantially benefit himself, or substantially injure another. Complainant refers to and by this reference incorporated the allegation set forth above in paragraph 10, as though set forth fully.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Acts Warranting Discipline of Licensee)

12. Respondent's application is subject to denial pursuant to Code sections 8568 and 480, subdivision (a)(3), in that Respondent committed acts which if done by a licensed field representative would be grounds for suspension or revocation of the license when Respondent was convicted of crimes substantially related to the qualifications, functions and duties of a structural pest control field representative in violation of Code section 8649 in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1937.1. Complainant refers to and by this reference incorporated the allegation set forth above in paragraph 10, as though set forth fully.

OTHER MATTERS

13. Pursuant to Code section 8654, if Respondent's application for a field representative's license is denied, Respondent shall be prohibited from serving as an officer, director, associate, partner, qualifying manager, or responsible managing employee for any registered company, and any registered company which employees, elects, or associates Respondent shall be subject to disciplinary action.

/// /// ///

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

Q

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 ///

22 ///

23 | ///

24 | ///

25 | /// 26 | ///

27 | ///

28 | ///

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board issue a decision:

- 1. Denying the application of Matthew Alexander Sanchez for a Field Representative License;
- 2. Prohibiting Matthew Alexander Sanchez from serving as an officer, director, associate, partner, qualifying manager, or responsible managing employee of any registered company; and
 - 3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: 10 20 15

SUSAN SAYLOR

Registrar/Executive Officer Structural Pest Control Board Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California Complainant

LA2015501178 51882992_2.doc