1			
2	2		
3	3		
4	4		
5	5		
6	5		
7	7 BEFORE THE		
8	STRUCTURAL PEST CONTROL BOARD DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
9.	STATE OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
10	)		
11	1 In the Matter of the Accusation and Petition to Revoke Probation Against:		
12		ER	
13	3 P.O. Box 6		
14			
15			
16	5		
17	7		
18	FINDINGS OF FACT		
19	9 1. On or about April 23, 2015, Complainant Susan Saylor, in her official capa	city as the	
20	Registrar/Executive Officer of the Structural Pest Control Board, Department of Consumer		
21	Affairs, filed Accusation and Petition to Revoke Probation No. 2015-51 against Sergio Zamorano		
22	(Respondent) before the Structural Pest Control Board. (Accusation and Petition to Revoke		
23	Probation attached as Exhibit A.)		
24	2. On or about May 10, 2002, the Structural Pest Control Board (Board) issue	d Operator	
25	License No. OPR 10565 to Respondent. The Operator License was in full force and effect at all		
26	times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation and Petition to Revoke Probation N	lo. 2015-	
27	51 and will expire on June 30, 2016, unless renewed.		
28	8 ///		
	1		
	DEFAULT DECISION	N& ORDER	

. 1

DEFAULT DECISION & ORDER

3. On or about May 5, 2015, Respondent was served by Certified and First Class Mail 1 copies of the Accusation and Petition to Revoke Probation No. 2015-51, Statement to 2 Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government 3 Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7) at Respondent's address of record which, pursuant 1 to Business and Professions Code section 136, is required to be reported and maintained with the 5 Board. Respondent's addresses of record were and are: P.O. Box 6, Overgaard AZ 85933 and 6 14408 East Whittier Blvd., #B3, Whittier, CA 90605. 7 8 4. Service of the Accusation and Petition to Revoke Probation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c) and/or Business 9 10 & Professions Code section 124. 5. On or about June 12, 2015, the aforementioned documents were returned by the U.S. 11 Postal Service marked "Unclaimed" from Respondent's Arizona address. On or about June 12, 12 2015, the aforementioned documents were returned by the U.S. Postal Service marked 13 "Unclaimed" from Respondent's California address. 14 15 6. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part: 16 (c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts 17 of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion 18 may nevertheless grant a hearing. 19 7. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon him of the Accusation and Petition to Revoke Probation, and therefore waived his right to a hearing on 20the merits of Accusation and Petition to Revoke Probation No. 2015-51. 21 8. 22 California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part: 23 (a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions 24 or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent. 25 26 9. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on the 27relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this matter, as well as 28 2

1	d Respondent's Operator License was placed on probation for three (3) years with certain terms		
2	and conditions. Probation Term and Condition 7 states:		
3	Violation of Probation. Should Respondent violate probation in any respect,		
4	the Board, after giving Respondent notice and an opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order which was stayed. If a		
5 6	petition to revoke probation is filed against Respondent during probation, the Board shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final		
7	d. Respondent failed to comply with Probation Condition 1 when he failed to obey all		
8	laws and failed to comply with rules of the Board when he failed to fully comply with the terms		
9	and conditions of his probation, including his failure to provide proof of continuing education and		
10	his misrepresentation of material facts.		
11	ORDER		
12.	IT IS SO ORDERED that Operator License No. OPR 10565, heretofore issued to		
13	Respondent Sergio Zamorano, is revoked.		
14	Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a		
15	written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within		
16	seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may		
17	vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute.		
18	This Decision shall become effective on October 10, 2015		
19	It is so ORDERED September 10, 2015		
20			
21			
22	FOR THE STRUCTURAL PEST CONTROL BOARD		
23	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
24			
25	51831240,DOC DOJ Matter ID:LA2015500522		
26	Attachment:		
27	Exhibit A: Accusation/Petition to Revoke Probation		
28			
	4		
	II DEFAULT DECISION & ORDER		