1	KAMALA D. HARRIS		
2	Attorney General of California THOMAS L. RINALDI	FILED Date 4/6/16 By Susan Saylor	
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General KRITHTHIKA VASUDEVAN		
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 247590	Date 4 6 16 By War	
5	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013	Saylor	
6	Telephone: (213) 897-2537 Facsimile: (213) 897-2540		
7	E-mail: Kriththika.Vasudevan@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Complainant		
8	BEFORE THE		
9	STRUCTURAL PEST CONTROL BOARD DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
10	STATE OF CA	ALIFORNIA	
11		Case No. 2016-9	
12	Against: BLACKOUT TERMITE AND PEST		
13	CONTROL, ALONZO G. CONTRERAS, III	FIRST AMENDED A C C U S A T I O N	
14	19197 Golden Valley Rd. #929 Santa Clarita, CA 91387		
15	i i		
16	Company Registration Certificate No. PR 6902		
17	ALONZO G. CONTRERAS, III		
18	19197 Golden Valley Rd. #929 Santa Clarita, CA 91387		
19	ĺ		
20	Operator's License No. OPR 11760		
21	and		
22	MARCOS GASTELUM MORALES 5867 Pine Avenue		
23	Chino Hills, CA 91709		
24	Operator's License No. OPR 12089		
25	Field Representative's License No. FR 47056		
26	Respondents.		
27			
28			

PARTIES AND RELEVANT LICENSE HISTORY

1. Susan Saylor ("Complainant") brings this First Amended Accusation solely in her official capacity as the Registrar/Executive Officer of the Structural Pest Control Board, Department of Consumer Affairs.

Company Registration Certificate No. PR 6902

- 2. On or about November 12, 2013, the Structural Pest Control Board ("Board") issued Company Registration Certificate Number PR 6902 to Blackout Termite and Pest Control ("Respondent Blackout") in Branches 2 and 3¹ with Alonzo G. Contreras, III ("Respondent Contreras") as owner and Branch 3 Qualifying Manager and Canderlario Gastelum as Branch 2 Qualifying Manager.²
- 3. On January 8, 2015, Company Registration Certificate No. PR 6902 was suspended for failure to maintain general liability insurance as required by Business and Professions Code section 8690. On January 21, 2015, Company Registration Certificate No. PR 6902 was reinstated after posting the required general liability insurance.
- 4. On March 10, 2015, Company Registration Certificate No. PR 6902 was suspended for not having a Branch 2 Qualifying Manager due to the suspension of Mr. Gastelum's Operator License for failure to maintain general liability insurance for Redline Pest Control Management. On March 18, 2015, Company Registration Certificate No. PR 6902 was reinstated due to the cancelation of the company registration for Redline Pest Control Management.
- 5. On July 1, 2015, Mr. Gastelum disassociated as Branch 2 Qualifying Manager of Company Registration Certificate No. PR 6902. On July 21, 2015, Company Registration

¹ Branch 2 refers to the practice of the control of household pests, excluding fumigation with poisonous or lethal gases. Branch 3 refers to the practice of controlling wood destroying pests or organisms by the use of insecticides, or structural repairs and corrections, excluding fumigation with poisonous or lethal gases.

² Prior to issuance of Company Registration Certificate Number PR 6902, the Board had issued Company Registration Certificate No. PR 5895 to Blackout Termite Control on July 27, 2009 with Frank F. Martinez as Partner and Respondent Contreras as Partner and Qualifying Manager. Company Registration Certificate No. PR 5895 was cancelled on November 12, 2013, when the business was re-registered to Respondent Contreras as sole owner.

insurance.

15. On October 19, 2011, Operator's License No. OPR 11760 was suspended pursuant to
Family Code section 17520. On December 28, 2011, Operator's License No. OPR 11760 was
reinstated after compliance with Family Code section 17520.

- 16. On May 23, 2012, Operator's License No. OPR 11750 was suspended pursuant to Family Code section 17520. On September 6, 2012, Operator's License No. OPR 11760 was reinstated after compliance with Family Code section 17520.
- 17. On September 21, 2012, Operator's License No. OPR 11760 was suspended for failure to maintain general liability insurance as required by Code section 8690. On October 11, 2012, Operator's License No. OPR 11760 was reinstated after posting the required general liability insurance.
- 18. On April 12, 2013, Operator's License No. OPR 11760 was suspended for failure to maintain general liability insurance as required by Code section 8690. On April 18, 2013, Operator's License No. OPR 11760 was reinstated after posting the required general liability insurance.
- 19. On January 8, 2015, Operator's License No. OPR 11760 was suspended for failure to maintain general liability insurance as required by Code section 8690. On January 21, 2015, Operator's License No. OPR 11760 was reinstated after posting the required general liability insurance.
- 20. On September 18, 2015, Operator's License No. OPR 11760 reflected a change of address to 19197 Golden Valley Road, Unit #929, Santa Clarita, CA 91387.
- 21. Operator's License OPR 11760 will expire on June 30, 2017, unless renewed.Operator's License No. OPR 12089
- 22. On or about September 20, 2010, the Board issued Operator's License Number OPR 12089 in Branch 2 to Respondent Morales.
- 23. On September 18, 2015, Operator's License No. OPR 12089 reflected dual employment and became the Branch 2 Qualifying Manager of Blackout Termite and Pest Control with a business address of 19197 Golden Valley Road, Unit #929, Santa Clarita, California 91387.

- Operator's License No. OPR 12089 will expire on June 30, 2016, unless renewed.
 Field Representative License No. FR 47056
- 25. On or about August 2, 2011, the Board issued Field Representative's License Number FR 47056 in Branch 3 to Respondent Morales. The Field Representative's License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on June 30, 2017, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

- 26. This First Amended Accusation is brought before the Board, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code ("Code") and all regulations references are to Title 16 of the California Code of Regulations ("Regulations"), unless otherwise indicated
- 27. Section 8620 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may suspend or revoke a license when it finds that the holder, while a licensee or applicant, has committed any acts or omissions constituting cause for disciplinary action or in lieu of a suspension may assess a civil penalty.
 - 28. Section 8624 of the Code states:

"If the board suspends or revokes an operator's license and one or more branch offices are registered under the name of the operator, the suspension or revocation may be applied to each branch office.

"If the operator is the qualifying manager, a partner, responsible officer, or owner of a registered structural pest control company, the suspension or revocation may be applied to the company registration.

"The performance by any partnership, corporation, firm, association, or registered company of any act or omission constituting a cause for disciplinary action, likewise constitutes a cause for disciplinary action against any licensee who, at the time the act or omission occurred, was the qualifying manager, a partner, responsible officer, or owner of the partnership, corporation, firm, association, or registered company whether or not he or she had knowledge of, or participated in, the prohibited act or omission."

29. Section 8625 of the Code states:

"The lapsing or suspension of a license or company registration by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, or the voluntary surrender of a license or company registration shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to proceed with any investigation

that includes the eaves, rafters, fascias, exposed timbers, exposed sheathing, ceiling joists, and attic walls, or other parts subject to attack by wood destroying pests or organisms. Conditions usually deemed likely to lead to infestation or infection, such as earth-wood contacts, excessive cellulose debris, faulty grade levels, excessive moisture conditions, evidence of roof leaks, and insufficient ventilation are to be reported."

32. Section 8517 of the Code states:

"Any work contract, billing, agreement, letter of work completed, or other correspondence or document expressing an opinion or making a statement relating to the presence or absence of wood destroying pests or organisms or nondecay fungi, shall refer to the inspection report required by Section 8516. These documents shall indicate specifically whether all of the recommended work as set forth in the inspection report was completed, or, if not, the document shall indicate specifically which recommendations were not completed.

33. Section 8518 of the Code states:

- "(a) When a registered company completes work under a contract, it shall prepare, on a form prescribed by the board, a notice of work completed and not completed, and shall furnish that notice to the owner of the property or the owner's agent within 10 business days after completing the work. The notice shall include a statement of the cost of the completed work and estimated cost of work not completed.
- "(b) The address of each property inspected or upon which work was completed shall be reported on a form prescribed by the board and shall be filed with the board no later than 10 business days after completed work.
- "(c) A filing fee shall be assessed pursuant to Section 8674 for every property upon which work is completed.
- "(d) Failure of a registered company to report and file with the board the address of any property upon which work was completed pursuant to subdivision (b) of Section 8516 or Section 8518 is grounds for disciplinary action and shall subject the registered company to a fine of not more than two thousand five hundred dollars (\$2,500).
- "(e) The registered company shall retain for three years all original notices of work completed, work not completed, and activity forms.
- "(f) Notices of work completed and not completed shall be made available for inspection and reproduction to the executive officer of the board or his or her duly authorized representative during business hours. Original notices of work completed or not completed or copies thereof shall be submitted to the board upon request within two business days."

Section 8643 states, "The negligent handling or use of any pesticide is a ground for

27

28

disciplinary action."

"Fraud or misrepresentation, after inspection, by any licensee or registered company engaged in pest control work of any infestation or infection of wood-destroying pests or organisms found in property or structures, or respecting any conditions of the structure that would ordinarily subject structures to attack by wood-destroying pests or organisms, whether or not a report was made pursuant to Sections 8516 and 8517 of this code, is a ground for disciplinary action."

45. Section 8647 of the Code states:

"Failure to comply in the sale or use of pesticides with the provisions of Chapter 2 (commencing with Section 12751) of Division 7 of the Food and Agricultural Code is a ground for disciplinary action."

46. Section 8652 of the Code states:

"Failure of a registered company to make and keep all inspection reports, field notes, contracts, documents, notices of work completed, and records, other than financial records, for a period of not less than three years after completion of any work or operation for the control of structural pests or organisms, is a ground for disciplinary action. These records shall be made available to the executive officer of the board or his or her duly authorized representative during business hours."

47. Section 8691 of the Code states, "A registered company shall not engage in the practices for which it is required to be registered by this chapter unless it maintains an insurance policy as specified in this article."

48. Section 8692 of the Code states:

"(a) An 'insurance policy' as used in this article means a contract of liability insurance issued by an insurance company authorized to transact business in this state or one issued by a nonadmitted carrier whose activities in this state are controlled by the Surplus Line Association, which insures the policyholder against loss from legal liability for damages on property upon which work is being performed or has been completed, including third-party losses, as a result of an accident or occurrence due to participation in control, prevention, or repair activities that require a license under this chapter. The insurance policy shall provide minimum limits of five hundred thousand dollars (\$500,000) for any one loss due to bodily injury, sickness, or disease, including death at any time resulting therefrom, sustained by any person, and five hundred thousand dollars (\$500,000) minimum for any one loss due to injury or

destruction of property, including the loss of use of the property.

- "(b) This section shall not be construed to require errors and omissions insurance for all activities relating to or during inspections, inspection reports, recommendations, estimates, and bids, whether oral or written."
- 49. Code section 8695 states, "The violation of any provision of this article is a misdemeanor and shall be grounds for the suspension or revocation by the board of the operator's license of the owner or qualifying manager or managers of the registered company and of the company registration."

50. Regulation 1918 states:

"Supervise" as used in Business and Professions Code Sections 8506.2, 8610 and 8611 means the oversight, direction, control, and inspection of the daily business of the company and its employees, and the availability to observe, assist, and instruct company employees, as needed to secure full compliance with all laws and regulations governing structural pest control. In cases of ownership of more than one registered company by the same sole owner, corporation or partnership where the qualifying manager or managers cannot supervise each registered company because of the location of the companies, the qualifying manager or managers may designate an individual or individuals licensed as an operator or as a field representative in the branch or branches of business being conducted to supervise the company. This designated supervisor or supervisors must be under the direct supervision of the qualifying manager or managers. Any such designation of supervisors does not relieve the qualifying manager or managers of responsibility to supervise as required in sections 8506.2 and 8610.

51. Regulation 1937.14 states:

"All work completed by licensees or registered companies shall be done within the specific requirements of any plans or specifications and shall meet accepted trade standards for good and workmanlike construction in any material respect, and shall comply with provisions of Section 2516(c)(1), (2), (4) and (6) of Title 24, California Code of Regulations."

52. Regulation 1970 states, in pertinent part:

"For the purpose of maintaining proper standards of safety and the establishment of responsibility in handling the dangerous gases used in fumigation and the pesticides used in other

55. Regulation 1996 states, in pertinent part:

"(a) A written inspection report conforming to section 8516(b) of the code...shall be prepared and delivered to the person requesting the inspection, or to the person's designated agent regardless of whether the registered company has offered to perform the inspection without charge. The granting of permission to make an inspection shall be deemed a request to make an inspection.

"(b) The failure or refusal of the person ordering the inspection or of his or her designated agent to pay for such inspection or report shall not excuse a registered company which has commenced an inspection from preparing and delivering a report to the person requesting the inspection or the person's designated agent."

56. Regulation 1999.5 states, in pertinent part:

• • •

"(a) It is unlawful for any licensee, or any employee thereof, directly or indirectly to make, disseminate, represent, claim, state, or advertise, or cause to be made, disseminated, represented, claimed, stated or advertised by any manner or means whatever, any statement or representation concerning structural pest control, as defined in Business and Professions Code section 8505, which is unfair, deceptive, untrue or misleading, and which is known, or which by the exercise of reasonable care should be known, to be unfair, deceptive, untrue or misleading.

"(b) As used in this section, the terms "make," "disseminate," "represent," "claim," "state," or "advertise" and any of their variants include, but are not limited to any print communications (for example, telephone directories, newspapers, magazines or other publications or books, notices, circulars, pamphlets, letters, handbills, posters, bills, signs, placards, cards, labels, tags, vehicle or equipment signage, window displays, or store signs), electronic communication (for example, radio, television, audio or video tape, telephone, or the Internet), demonstration, direct person-to-person contact, or other means or methods now or hereafter employed to bring structural pest control services, methods, products, pesticides, or devices to the attention of the public for the direct or indirect purpose of performing or offering to perform services for which a license is required by section 8500 and following of the Code.

• •

"(d) Violation of this section occurs at the time an unfair, deceptive, untrue or misleading statement or representation is made. Once a violation occurs, subsequent disclosures, caveats, disclaimers, or waivers cannot eliminate it.

deposit of \$838 by credit card and he paid the balance of \$1,703 by credit card on October 27, 2014.

- 61. On September 24, 2014, Ms. Wang in Unit 133-A signed and entered into a contract with Respondent Blackout to perform the work at her unit. On that same day, Ms. Wang paid a deposit of \$922 by check.
- 62. On September 25, 2014, Ms. Chen in Unit 135-B signed and entered into a contract with Respondent Blackout to perform the work at her unit. That same day, Ms. Chen paid a deposit of \$732 by credit card and she paid the balance of \$1,498 by credit card on October 27, 2014.
- 63. Mr. Chang in Unit 135-A did not sign a contract with Respondent Blackout.

 Nevertheless, Mr. Chang paid a deposit of \$822 by credit card to Respondent Blackout on September 24, 2014 and he paid the balance of \$1,669 by credit card on October 24, 2014.
- 64. Security camera footage from the Subject Newmark reveals that work occurred on October 23, 24, 25, and 27, 2014 and that there were three unlicensed individuals performing work at the Subject Newmark, including "Ronnie Leon," who performed the pesticide applications and "Hector Ramirez," who performed the repairs at the property.
- 65. On November 3, 2014, the Board received a complaint from Ms. Chen in Unit 135-B. The complaint alleged, in part, that Respondent Blackout's general liability insurance had expired but the company continued to work at the Subject Newmark and that the progress on the job was not proceeding as promised. Respondent Blackout's general liability insurance expired on October 10, 2014.
- 66. On November 17, 2014, the Board sent Respondent Blackout a letter notifying it of Ms. Chen's complaint and asking for a response within 10 days. Respondent Blackout failed to respond.
- 67. On December 5, 2014, the Board reviewed Wood Destroying Organism Activity
 Search records to ascertain what wood destroying organism activities ("WDO activities") had
 been performed at the Subject Newmark and filed with the Board. The Board discovered that two
 WDO activities had been submitted on November 17, 2014 for the Subject Newmark and filed

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

under Respondent Blackout's cancelled company registration certificate number PR 5895: an inspection report dated August 22, 2014 and a completion notice was dated October 23, 2014. The Board also discovered that Respondent Blackout had submitted eight WDO activities between April 26, 2014 and November 14, 2014 under Respondent Blackout's cancelled company registration certificate number PR 5895. As of December 5, 2014, no WDO activities were filed with the Board under Respondent Blackout's current Company Registration Certificate No. PR

- On or about December 18, 2014, a Board Specialist was assigned to further
- On January 5, 2015, the Board Specialist obtained from Blackout a copy of the Standard Notice of Work Completed and Not Completed for Inspection Report No. W8975 dated October 23, 2014. This completion notice, which indicated all of the items were completed by Respondent Blackout for \$10,049.00, did not include a certification of the property. None of the owners of Subject Newmark had received a copy of the completion notice.
- On January 5, 2015, the Board Specialist emailed the Los Angeles County Agricultural Commissioner's office (CAC) Robert Duong (Mr. Duong) to inquire if Respondent Blackout was registered in Los Angeles county to perform pesticide applications for the year 2014. Mr. Duong indicated that Respondent Blackout had not registered with Los Angeles CAC for the year 2014.
- 71. On or about January 8, 2015, the Board Specialist travelled to the Subject Newmark to perform an inspection. The Board Specialist inspected the Subject Newmark and found evidence of active termite infestations and discovered that many of the repairs had not been completed properly.
- On January 8, 2015, the Board issued Respondent Blackout, Respondent Contreras and Mr. Gastelum an Order of Suspension as a result of their failure to maintain an insurance policy.
- On January 13, 2015, the Board received a complaint from Ms. Wang in Unit 133-A. The complaint alleged, in part, that Respondent Blackout promised the project would be

2

3

4

5

- 78. On January 21, 2015, the Board sent the Report of Findings to Respondents by certified mail and subsequently received confirmation that the Report of Findings was received on January 24, 2015.
- 79. On February 11, 2015, Respondent Blackout resubmitted the eight WDO activities between April 26, 2014 and November 14, 2014 under current Company Registration Certificate No. PR 6902.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Issue a Timely and Proper Completion Notice)

- 80. Respondent Blackout and Respondent Contreras are subject to disciplinary action under Code sections 8518 and 8641 in that Respondents did not issue a timely and proper completion notice. The facts and circumstances are as follows:
- a) Respondent Blackout did not provide the owners of the Subject Newmark with a copy of the October 23, 2014 completion notice (either the certified or uncertified version).
- b) Respondent Blackout did not file a completion notice with the Board until November 17, 2014. Respondent Blackout's initial submission was improper because Respondent Blackout filed it under Respondent Blackout's cancelled company registration certificate number PR 5895. Respondent Blackout did not submit the completion notice under current Company Registration Certificate No. PR 6902 until February 11, 2015.
- c) The Subject Newmark was certified as being free of active infestation even though the Board Specialist found evidence of active subterranean termite infestations and active drywood termite infestations when he inspected the Subject Newmark on January 8, 2015.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Gross Negligence and Fraud)

81. Respondent Blackout and Respondent Contreras are subject to disciplinary action under Code section 8642, in conjunction with Code sections 8516, subdivision (b)(7) and 8638, and Regulations 1990, subdivisions (a)(3) and (e), 1970.4, subdivision (c), and 1937.14, on the grounds of gross negligence and fraud. The facts and circumstances are as follows:

- a) On January 8, 2015, the Board Specialist inspected the Subject Newmark and found multiple violations of the rules and regulations governing structural pest control, as follows:
- b) Failure to exterminate subterranean termite infestations through the use of chemical treatments. There was evidence of active infestations and chemical treatment are necessary to remediate the infestations.
- c) Failure to exterminate drywood termite infestations through the use of chemical treatments. There was evidence of active infestations that extend into areas physically inaccessible for local chemical treatments. Fumigation will be necessary.
- d) Failure to report the following drywood termite infestations that were active and that extend into areas that are physically inaccessible for local chemical treatments such that fumigation will be necessary:
 - i. Infestation at the exterior mailbox trim boards;
 - ii. Infestation at the exterior porch support of Unit 133-B;
 - iii. Infestation at the porch window trims of Unit 135-B;
- iv. Infestation at the exterior electric meter box wall voids of Units 133-A and 133-B, the exterior stucco wall voids, stucco trims, fascias, rafter tails, eaves, porch header beams, support post beams, support post trims, garage doorjambs, decorative plant shelves and corbels, electric meter box, and adjacent wall voids of Units 133-A, 133-B, 135-A, and 135-B.
- e) Failure to complete the following work and to complete the following work in a quality and workmanlike manner regarding the reported drywood termite damage at the following areas:
- i. Units 133-A, 133-B, 135-A, and 135-B: At the exterior stucco trims, wood siding panels, support posts, fascias, rafter tails, eaves, porch header beams, trims, garage doorjambs, decorative plant shelves and corbels. The damage was recorded as completed on the October 23, 2014 completion notice, however drywood termite damage remains. Moreover, several of the exterior trims were cut off and pieced together with blockings and old rusted nails, some of the damage was patched and painted over when it should have been replaced, the replaced exterior trims and support posts are not the same length and the ends are cut at different angles leaving large gaps and cracked lumber. The reported drywood termite damaged wood

members were replaced without being primed and/or painted and the areas that were replaced and painted have peeling, bubbling, and flaking paint separating from the lumber. Exterior areas of drywood termite damage findings and recommendations above 8 feet from the ground were never completed.

- ii. Unit 133-B: At the entry doorjambs, stucco molding, and adjacent trims. Some of the damage was filled with a product called Great Stuff, a polyurethane-based insulating foam and painted over when it should have been replaced, the replaced wood members are not the same length and the ends are cut at different angles leaving large gaps. Additionally, the security door was removed and reinstalled incorrectly with improper hardware or no hardware at all.
- iii. Unit 135-A: At the porch support and trims. Additionally, the brick veneer base of the support post was left with broken/missing bricks and loose/broken grout joints.
- iv. Unit 135-B: At the exterior stucco and window trims. Additionally, the wrought iron side gate was removed and reinstalled incorrectly with improper hardware or no hardware at all.
- 82. Respondent Blackout and Respondent Contreras issued a Standard Notice of Work Completed and Not Completed for Inspection Report No. W8975 dated October 23, 2014 which indicated that all the work identified in the August 22, 2014 inspection report was completed on that day. However work continued at the Subject Newmark on October 24, 25, and 27, 2014.
- 83. Respondent Blackout and Respondent Contreras issued two different versions of the Standard Notice of Work Completed and Not Completed for Inspection Report No. W8975 dated October 23, 2014. One version of the completion report contains a certification and the other version does not contain a certification.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Disregard of Building Laws)

84. Respondent Blackout and Respondent Contreras are subject to disciplinary action under Code sections 8636 and 8641 in that Respondent Blackout and Respondent Contreras failed to comply with applicable building codes and laws by failing to obtain a building permit prior to the reported drywood termite damage replacement of the exterior stucco trims, wood siding

TENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Comply with Report of Findings)

91. Respondent Blackout and Respondent Contreras are subject to disciplinary action under Code sections 8622 and 8641 in that Respondent Blackout and Respondent Contreras failed to comply with the Report of Findings within 30 days from receipt. Respondent Blackout and Respondent Contreras received the Report of Findings on January 24, 2015, but as of the date of August 5, 2015, have failed to comply.

THE BLIX STREET PROJECT

- 92. On January 12, 2016, Respondent Contreras, on behalf of Respondent Blackout, inspected a property located at 11107 Blix Street, West Toluca Lake in Los Angeles ("Subject Blix"). The property is a single family home owned by Mr. Jonathan Gregory. Respondent Contreras concluded the home required treatment for subterranean termites and also for dry wood termites. Respondent Contreras offered to "trench and treat sub area" for the subterranean termites. For the dry wood termites, he offered either a spraying treatment or to tent the house. Respondent Contreras offered a guarantee for the spraying treatment and no guarantee for the tenting. The homeowner opted for the spraying treatment. The homeowner and Respondent Blackout entered into an agreement. The work to be done was listed on the "Work Order Agreement" a document that was given to the homeowner. The agreement stated that Respondent Blackout would conduct treatments on the home on February 2, 2016 for a total of \$2,335.00.
- 93. On February 2, 2016, two (2) workers arrived at the home to perform the agreed upon work. They arrived in a non-commercially marked car. From the car they removed what appeared to be chemicals and a compressor. One of the workers identified himself as "Michael Martinez" to the homeowner. Mr. Michael Martinez is not licensed by the Board.
- 94. The homeowner insisted that the men wear masks before entering the sub area of his home. The men went to the store to buy the masks and returned with dust masks.
- 95. The two (2) men began to mix a white powder chemical with water. Mr. Martinez did the spraying, while the unidentified gentleman pushed around the compressor.

- 96. Respondent Contreras stopped by the job site briefly while the two (2) men were present. Respondent Contreras did not assist the two (2) men with the job.
- 97. The homeowner did not see any of the men enter the sub area of his home with tools to apply the termite treatment. He did not see them spray the clay-tiled wooden front door awning over his front door or see them spray the kitchen door. He did not see any of the workers perform any type of treatment in the garage. He saw only one (1) type of chemical used, a white powder that was mixed with water.
- 98. The homeowner expressed his concerns to Respondent Contreras. Respondent Contreras stated he would send Mr. Martinez back to the home to work on those areas identified by the homeowner.
- 99. On February 4, 2016, Mr. Martinez came back to Project Blix. Mr. Martinez was alone this time, and came back in the same non-commercially marked vehicle. Mr. Martinez mixed the white powder with water and put it into the compressor. He sprayed around the awning and went into the subarea of the home.
- 100. On February 5, 2016, the homeowner contacted Respondent Contreras to express his dissatisfaction with the lack of any trenching or treatment for subterranean termites. Respondent Contreras offered to return to work on the home. The homeowner declined, and asked for the money allocated for the subterranean treatment back. Respondent Contreras issued the homeowner a refund of \$960.00.
 - 101. The homeowner never received a Completion Report.
- 102. On February 22, 2016, the homeowner contacted Respondent Contreras to discuss his dissatisfaction with the work done on his home. Respondent Contreras agreed to refund the homeowner's remaining \$1,375.00.
- 103. On February 29, 2016, the homeowner contacted Trident Termite Services Inc. to inspect his home. The company found:
 - a. Subterranean termite infestation in the fireplace foundation.
 - b. Dry wood termite infestations in the rafters extending into inaccessible areas.
 - c. Dry wood termite damage at the rafter and roof sheathing.

2016. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth in above paragraphs 92 through 105, inclusive, as though set forth fully.

FOURTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Provide of Notice of Pesticides)

109. Respondent Blackout, Respondent Morales, and Respondent Contreras are subject to disciplinary action under Code sections 8538, subdivision (a)(2) and 8641, and Regulation 1970.4 in that Respondents failed to provide the homeowner on Subject Blix a clear written notice of the pesticide or pesticides proposed to be used, and the active ingredient or ingredients in said pesticides. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth in above paragraphs 92 through 105, inclusive, as though set forth fully.

FIFTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Properly Supervise)

110. Respondent Blackout, Respondent Contreras, and Respondent Morales are subject to disciplinary action under Code section 8610, subdivision (c), and Regulation 1918 in that Respondents failed to supervise the daily business of the company during the work completed on February 2, 2016 and February 4, 2016. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth in above paragraphs 92 through 105, inclusive, as though set forth fully.

SIXTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Disregard of Safety Laws)

111. Respondent Blackout, Respondent Morales, and Respondent Contreras are subject to disciplinary action under Code sections 8636, 8641, and 8647 in that Respondents failed to comply with applicable building laws, health laws, and safety laws when they sent an unlicensed individual and his assistant to perform a full treatment on Subject Blix without an inspection report, a clear written notice of the pesticide or pesticides proposed to be used for subterranean and dry wood termites, and without personal protection and supervision. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth in above paragraphs 92 through 105, inclusive, as though set forth fully.

//

SEVENTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Aiding and Abetting Unlicensed Activity)

112. Respondent Blackout, Respondent Morales, and Respondent Contreras are subject to disciplinary action under Code section 8639 in that Respondents aided and abetted an unlicensed individual to perform pesticide applications at Subject Blix. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth in above paragraphs 92 through 105, inclusive, as though set forth fully.

EIGHTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Gross Negligence and Fraud)

113. Respondent Blackout and Respondent Contreras are subject to disciplinary action under Code section 8642, on the grounds of gross negligence and fraud in that Michael Martinez and his assistant never entered the substructure area of the homeowner's home with tools to trench and treat the subterranean termites as stated in the "Work Order Agreement," the individuals did not use protective clothing, and transported pesticides and application equipment in the trunk of an unmarked vehicle. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth in above paragraphs 92 through 105, inclusive, as though set forth fully.

NINETEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Negligent Handling of Poisonous Exterminating Agent)

- 114. Respondent Blackout and Respondent Contreras are subject to disciplinary action under Code section 8643 in that Respondent Blackout and Respondent Contreras permitted unlicensed workers to handle and/or use poisonous exterminating agents in a negligent manner. The circumstances are as follows:
 - a) The individuals applied the pesticide without proper supervision.
- b) The persons who applied the pesticides used no personal protective equipment such as a respirator, long sleeve shirt, gloves, chemical resistant shoes, etc.
- c) Respondent Blackout and Respondent Contreras did not notify the homeowner of the pesticides being used or control the areas being treated causing the homeowner to come into contact with the chemicals.

OTHER MATTERS

117. Notice is hereby given that Code section 8620 provides, in pertinent part, that a respondent may request that a civil penalty of not more than \$5,000 be assessed in lieu of an actual suspension of 1 to 19 days, or not more than \$10,000 for an actual suspension of 20 to 45 days. Such request must be made at the time of the hearing and must be noted in the proposed decision.

118. Pursuant to Code section 8624, the causes for discipline established as to Company Registration Certificate No. PR 6902 issued to Respondent Blackout likewise constitute cause for discipline against Operator's License No. OPR 11760 issued to Respondent Contreras and Operator's License No. OPR 12089 issued to Respondent Morales who serve as the qualifying managers of Respondent Blackout, regardless of whether Respondent Contreras and/or Respondent Morales had knowledge of or participated in the acts or omissions which constitute cause for discipline against Respondent Blackout.

119. Pursuant to Code section 8654, if discipline is imposed on Company Registration Certificate No. PR 6902 issued to Respondent Blackout, then Respondent Contreras, who serves as the president of Respondent Blackout, shall be prohibited from serving as an officer, director, associate, partner, qualifying manager, or responsible managing employee for any registered company during the time the discipline is imposed, and any registered company which employs, elects, or associates him shall be subject to disciplinary action.

120. Pursuant to Code section 8654, if discipline is imposed on Company Registration Certificate No. PR 6902 issued to Respondent Blackout, then Respondent Contreras and Respondent Morales, who serve as the qualifying managers of Respondent Blackout, shall be prohibited from serving as an officer, director, associate, partner, qualifying manager, or responsible managing employee for any registered company during the time the discipline is imposed, and any registered company which employs, elects, or associates them shall be subject to disciplinary action.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Structural Pest Control Board issue a decision:

- Revoking or suspending Company Registration Certificate Number PR 6902, issued to Blackout Termite and Pest Control with Alonzo G. Contreras, III as owner and Branch 3
 Qualifying Manager and Marcos Gastelum Morales as Branch 2 Qualifying Manager;
- 2. Revoking or suspending Operator's License Number OPR 11760, issued to Alonzo G. Contreras, III;
- 3. Revoking or suspending Operator's License Number OPR 12089, issued to Marcos Gastelum Morales;
- 4. Revoking or suspending Field Representative's License Number FR 47056, issued to Marcos Gastelum Morales;
- 5. Prohibiting Alonzo G. Contreras, III from serving as an officer, director, associate, partner, qualifying manager, or responsible managing employee of any registered company during the period that discipline is imposed on Operator License Number OPR 11760 issued to Alonzo G. Contreras, III;
- 6. Prohibiting Marcos Gastelum Morales from serving as an officer, director, associate, partner, qualifying manager, or responsible managing employee of any registered company during the period that discipline is imposed on Operator License Number OPR 12089 and Field Representative License No. FR 47056, issued to Marcos Gastelum Morales.
- 7. Ordering restitution of all damages according to proof suffered by John Chang, Terry Chen, May Wang, Freddy Lee, and Mr. Jonathan Gregory as a condition of probation in the event probation is ordered;
- 8. Ordering Blackout Termite and Pest Control, Alonzo G. Contreras, III, and Marcos Gastelum Morales to pay the Structural Pest Control Board the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3; and

. | //

1	9. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.		
2			
3		Zusan Sayll	
4	4 Registrar	SAYLOR r/Executive Officer al Pest Control Board	
5	5 Departm	ent of Consumer Affairs California	
6	6 Complai	nant	
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12 13			
14			
15	i		
16			
17			
18	18		
19	19		
20	20		
21	21		
22	22		
23	23		
24	24		
25	25		
26			
27			
28			
	21		