KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California 2 KENT D. HARRIS Supervising Deputy Attorney General 3 STANTON W. LEE Deputy Attorney General 4 State Bar No. 203563 1300 I Street, Suite 125 5 P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 6 Telephone: (916) 445-9921 Facsimile: (916) 324-5567 7 Attorneys for Complainant 8 BEFORE THE STRUCTURAL PEST CONTROL BOARD 9 DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 2016-24 12 GEORGE K. WEBB ACCUSATION GEORGE WEBB TERMITE & PEST 13 CONTROL 1035 Siskiyou Ave. 14 Oroville, CA 95965 15 Operator License No. OPR 9331 Company Registration Certificate No. PR 2644 16 Respondent. 17 18 19 Susan Saylor ("Complainant") alleges: 20 **PARTIES** 21 1. Complainant brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as the 22 Registrar/Executive Officer of the Structural Pest Control Board ("Board"), Department of 23 Consumer Affairs. 24 **Operator License** 25 On or about November 4, 1994, the Board issued Operator License Number OPR 9331 ("license") in Branch 3 to George K. Webb ("Respondent"), as the owner and Qualifying 26 27 Manager of George Webb Termite & Pest Control. The license was in full force and effect at all 28 times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on June 30, 2018, unless renewed.

## **Company Registration Certificate**

3. On or about November 4, 1994, the Board issued Company Registration Certificate Number PR 2644 ("registration") in Branch 3 to George Webb Termite Control with George Kenneth Webb as the owner and Qualifying Manager. On or about June 26, 2008, the registration was upgraded to include Branch 2 with Dennis Mohlin Ohlsson as the Branch 2 Qualifying Manager, and the namestyle changed to George Webb Termite & Pest Control. On or about May 21, 2014, Dennis Mohlin Ohlsson disassociated as the Branch 2 Qualifying Manager. On or about June 4, 2014, the registration was suspended for failing to have a Branch 2 Qualifying Manager. On or about August 14, 2014, the registration was reinstated and downgraded to include Branch 3 only.

### **JURISDICTION**

- 4. Code section 8620 provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may suspend or revoke a license when it finds that the holder, while a licensee or applicant, has committed any acts or omissions constituting cause for disciplinary action or in lieu of a suspension may assess a civil penalty.
  - 5. Code section 8624 states:

If the board suspends or revokes an operator's license and one or more branch offices are registered under the name of the operator, the suspension or revocation may be applied to each branch office.

If the operator is the qualifying manager, a partner, responsible officer, or owner of a registered structural pest control company, the suspension or revocation may be applied to the company registration.

The performance by any partnership, corporation, firm, association, or registered company of any act or omission constituting a cause for disciplinary action, likewise constitutes a cause for disciplinary action against any licensee who, at the time the act or omission occurred, was the qualifying manager, a partner, responsible officer, or owner of the partnership, corporation, firm, association, or registered company whether or not he or she had knowledge of, or participated in, the prohibited act or omission.

///

26 | ///

27 | ///

28 | ///

### 6. Code section 8625 states:

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The lapsing or suspension of a license or company registration by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, or the voluntary surrender of a license or company registration shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to proceed with any investigation of or action or disciplinary proceeding against such licensee or company, or to render a decision suspending or revoking such license or registration.

### 7. Code section 8622 states:

When a complaint is accepted for investigation of a registered company, the board, through an authorized representative, may inspect any or all properties on which a report has been issued pursuant to Section 8516 or a notice of completion has been issued pursuant to Section 8518 by the registered company to determine compliance with the provisions of this chapter and the rules and regulations issued thereunder. If the board determines the property or properties are not in compliance, a notice shall be sent to the registered company so stating. The registered company shall have 30 days from the receipt of the notice to bring such property into compliance, and it shall submit a new original report or completion notice or both and an inspection fee of not more than one hundred twenty-five dollars (\$125) for each property inspected. If a subsequent reinspection is necessary, pursuant to the board's review of the new original report or notice or both, a commensurate reinspection fee shall also be charged. If the board's authorized representative makes no determination or determines the property is in compliance, no inspection fee shall be charged.

The notice sent to the registered company shall inform the registered company that if it desires a hearing to contest the finding of noncompliance, the hearing shall be requested by written notice to the board within 20 days of receipt of the notice of noncompliance from the board. Where a hearing is not requested pursuant to this section, payment of any assessment shall not constitute an admission of any noncompliance charged.

### STATUTORY PROVISIONS

## 8. Code section 8505.17(c) states:

Registered structural pest control companies shall prepare and submit to the county agricultural commissioner a monthly report of all pesticides used in that county. The report shall be on a form approved by the Director of Pesticide Regulation and shall contain the name and registration number of each pesticide and the amount used. The report shall be submitted to the commissioner by the 10th day of the month following the month of application and a copy shall be maintained by the licensee for a period of three years after the report submission date. Each pesticide use report or combination of use reports representing a registered structural pest control company's total county pesticide use for the month shall require a pesticide use stamp or stamp number issued by the board in the denomination fixed by the board in accordance with Section 8674 as the pesticide use report filing fee. The board shall provide for the sale of these stamps and stamp numbers and for the refund of moneys paid for stamps and stamp numbers which are returned to it unused. When a registered structural pest control company performs no pest control during a month in a county in which it has given notice pursuant to Section 15204 of the Food and Agricultural Code, the registered company shall submit a use report stating this fact to the commissioner. A pesticide use stamp or stamp number is not required on negative

use reports.

### 9. Code section 8516 states, in pertinent part:

(b) No registered company or licensee shall commence work on a contract, or sign, issue, or deliver any documents expressing an opinion or statement relating to the absence or presence of wood destroying pests or organisms until an inspection has been made by a licensed Branch 3 field representative or operator. The address of each property inspected or upon which work is completed shall be reported on a form prescribed by the board and shall be filed with the board no later than 10 business days after the commencement of an inspection or upon completed work.

Every property inspected pursuant to subdivision (b) of Section 8516.1, or Section 8518, or subdivision (b) of this section shall be assessed a filing fee pursuant to Section 8674.

Failure of a registered company to report and file with the board the address of any property inspected or work completed pursuant to Section 8516.1, Section 8518, or this section are grounds for disciplinary action and shall subject the registered company to a fine of not more than two thousand five hundred dollars (\$2,500).

A written inspection report conforming to this section and on a form approved by the board shall be prepared and delivered to the person requesting the inspection or to the person's designated agent within 10 business days of the inspection, except that an inspection report prepared for use by an attorney for litigation purposes is not required to be reported to the board. The report shall be delivered before work is commenced on any property. The registered company shall retain for three years all original inspection reports, filed notes, and activity forms.

Reports shall be made available for inspection and reproduction to the executive officer of the board or his or her duly authorized representative during business hours. Original inspection reports or copies thereof shall be submitted to the board upon request within two business days. The following shall be set forth in the report:

- (6) A foundation diagram or sketch of the structure or structures or portions of the structure or structures inspected, indicating thereon the approximate location of any infested or infected areas evident, and the parts of the structure where conditions that would ordinarily subject those parts to attack by wood destroying pests or organisms exist.
- (7) Information regarding the substructure, foundation walls and footings, porches, patios and steps, air vents, abutments, attic spaces, roof framing that includes the eaves, rafters, fascias, exposed timbers, exposed sheathing, ceiling joists, and attic walls, or other parts subject to attack by wood destroying pests or organisms. Conditions usually deemed likely to lead to infestation or infection, such as earth-wood contacts, excessive cellulose debris, faulty grade levels, excessive moisture conditions, evidence of roof leaks, and insufficient ventilation are to be reported.
- (9) Indication or description of any areas that are inaccessible or not inspected with recommendation for further inspection if practicable. If, after the report has been made in compliance with this section, authority is given later to open

inaccessible areas, a supplemental report on conditions in these areas shall be made.

### 10. Code section 8518 states, in pertinent part:

When a registered company completes work under a contract, it shall prepare, on a form prescribed by the board, a notice of work completed and not completed and shall furnish that notice to the owner of the property or the owner's agent within 10 working days after completing the work. The notice shall include a statement of the cost of the completed work and estimated cost of work not completed.

The address of each property inspected or upon which work was completed shall be reported on a form prescribed by the board and shall be filed with the board no later than 10 working days after completed work.

### 11. Code section 8610(c) states:

Each registered company shall designate an individual or individuals who hold an operator's license to act as its qualifying manager or managers. The qualifying manager or managers must be licensed in each branch of pest control in which the company engages in business. The designated qualifying manager or managers shall supervise the daily business of the company and shall be available to supervise and assist all employees of the company, in accordance with regulations which the board may establish.

### 12. Code section 8611 states:

Each branch office shall have a branch supervisor designated by the registered company to supervise and assist the company's employees who are located at that branch. The branch supervisor shall be an individual who is licensed by the board as an operator or a field representative and his or her license shall be prominently displayed in the branch office.

If a branch supervisor ceases for any reason to be connected with a registered company, the company shall notify the registrar in writing within 10 days from that cessation. If this notice is given, the company's branch office registration shall remain in force for a reasonable length of time to be determined by rules of the board, during which period the company shall submit to the registrar in writing the name of another qualified branch supervisor.

### 13. Code section 8612 states:

The licenses of qualifying managers and company registrations shall be prominently displayed in the registered company's office, and no registration issued hereunder shall authorize the company to do business except from the location for which the registration was issued. Each registered company having a branch office or more than one branch office shall be required to display its branch office registration prominently in each branch office it maintains.

When a registered company opens a branch office it shall notify the registrar in writing on a form prescribed by the board and issued by the registrar in accordance with rules and regulations adopted by the board. The notification shall include the name of the individual designated as the branch supervisor and shall be submitted with the fee for a branch office prescribed by this chapter.

### 14. Code section 8613 states:

A registered company which changes the location of its principal office or any branch office or which changes its qualifying manager, branch supervisor, officers, or its bond or insurance shall notify the registrar in writing of such change within 30 days thereafter. A fee for filing such changes shall be charged in accordance with Section 8674.

#### 15. Code section 8641 states:

Failure to comply with the provisions of this chapter, or any rule or regulation adopted by the board, or the furnishing of a report of inspection without the making of a bona fide inspection of the premises for wood-destroying pests or organisms, or furnishing a notice of work completed prior to the completion of the work specified in the contract, is a ground for disciplinary action.

#### 16. Code section 8642 states:

The commission of any grossly negligent or fraudulent act by the licensee as a pest control operator, field representative, or applicator or by a registered company is a ground for disciplinary action.

### 17. Code section 8644 states:

Fraud or misrepresentation, after inspection, by any licensee or registered company engaged in pest control work of any infestation or infection of wood-destroying pests or organisms found in property or structures, or respecting any conditions of the structure that would ordinarily subject structures to attack by wood-destroying pests or organisms, whether or not a report was made pursuant to Sections 8516 and 8517 of this code, is a ground for disciplinary action.

### 18. Code section 8646 states:

Disregard and violation of pesticide use and application, structural pest control device, fumigation, or extermination laws of the state or of any of its political subdivisions, or regulations adopted pursuant to those laws, is a ground for disciplinary action.

#### 19. Code section 8650 states:

Acting in the capacity of a licensee or registered company under any of the licenses or registrations issued hereunder except:

- (a)In the name of the licensee or registered company as set forth upon the license or registration, or
- (b)At the address and location or place or places of business as licensed or registered or as later changed as provided in this chapter is a ground for disciplinary action.

### 20. Code section 8652 states:

Failure of a registered company to make and keep all inspection reports, contracts, documents, and records, other than financial records, for a period of not

less than three years after completion of any work or operation for the control of structural pests or organisms, is a ground for disciplinary action. These records shall be made available to the registrar during business hours.

### REGULATORY PROVISIONS

### 21. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1918 states:

"Supervise" as used in Business and Professions Code Sections 8506.2, 8610 and 8611 means the oversight, direction, control, and inspection of the daily business of the company and its employees, and the availability to observe, assist, and instruct company employees, as needed to secure full compliance with all laws and regulations governing structural pest control.

In cases of ownership of more than one registered company by the same sole owner, corporation or partnership where the qualifying manager or managers cannot supervise each registered company because of the location of the companies, the qualifying manager or managers may designate an individual or individuals licensed as an operator or as a field representative in the branch or branches of business being conducted to supervise the company. This designated supervisor or supervisors must be under the direct supervision of the qualifying manager or managers. Any such designation of supervisors does not relieve the qualifying manager or managers of responsibility to supervise as required in sections 8506.2 and 8610.

## 22. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1937.14 states:

All work completed by licensees or registered companies shall be done within the specific requirements of any plans or specifications and shall meet accepted trade standards for good and workmanlike construction in any material respect, and shall comply with provisions of Section 2516(c)(1), (2), (4) and (6) of Title 24 of the California Code of Regulations.

# 23. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1970 states, in pertinent part:

For the purpose of maintaining proper standards of safety and the establishment of responsibility in handling the dangerous gases used in fumigation and the pesticides used in other pest control operations, a registered company shall compile and retain for a period of at least three years, a log for each fumigation job and for each pesticide control operation in which a pesticide is used by the registered company or the registered company's employee.

(b) The report for each pest control operation, other than fumigation, in which a pesticide is used shall contain the following information:

Date of treatment.

Name of owner or his or her agent.

Address of property.

Description of area treated.

Target pest(s).

Pesticide and amount used.

Identity of person or persons who applied the pesticide.

9

10 11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

### KNOLLWOOD PROJECT

31. On or about May 8, 2014, Respondent inspected the property located on Knollwood Drive, in Cameron Park, California ("Knollwood project"), for wood destroying pests and organisms and thereafter issued Complete Wood Destroying Pests and Organisms Inspection Report No. 14-476 ("Inspection Report No. 14-476"). In said report, Respondent made certain findings, including, but not limited to, the following:

### **Section I Findings:**

- a. Decay was found at the following areas: Decking off the master bedroom; shingle molding on the barge rafter; beam end; T-1-11 siding above and below the deck; fascia board below the back deck; entry door to the subarea; deck joists; decking boards on the deck attached to the house; beam extension; and barge rafter.
  - b. Subterranean termite damage to the garage doorjamb.
- c. Subterranean termite infestation and damage to the wall studs and sheetrock in the garage storage room.
  - d. Earth to wood contact at the deck connected to the retaining wall and adjoining deck.

# Section II Findings:

- e. Faulty grade and earth contacts with the T-1-11 siding.
- f. Wood products and cardboard in earth contact in subarea.
- g. Residual water stains under the vanity sink.
- h. Hall bath toilet was loose.
- i. Moisture damage to the sheetrock adjacent to the hot water heater.

### Inaccessible areas:

- j. The deck was constructed over a flat roof, making the framing and area underneath inaccessible for inspection.
  - k. The garage was inaccessible for complete inspection due to storage.
- 32. Respondent made the following recommendations, including but not limited to:
  Chemically treating the structure to control the subterranean termite infestation,
  repairing/replacing the decay and termite damaged wood members with new material, removing

 the wood products from the subarea, securing the hall bath toilet, repairing/replacing the sheetrock as necessary, lowering the soil to correct the faulty grade and earth contacts, and installing a support system to separate the deck which is attached to the house from the retaining wall and from the adjoining deck. Respondent provided an estimate of \$9,490 for the work. (The diagram shown on the inspection report does not show all of the rear deck that is attached to and part of the structure.) Respondent also noted on the report that the roman style tub shower was not water tested due to the lack of a drain stopper assembly.

- 33. On or about June 11, 2014, the property went into escrow.
- 34. On or about June 25, 2014, John Deller ("Deller") of Buy Right Home Inspections performed an inspection of the property and issued an inspection report. In said report, Deller made certain findings, including, but not limited to the following: signs of moisture damage at the wood deck and railings off of the master bedroom; wood decking in the rear yard has moisture damage in many areas; no stem wall was found at the garage walls; signs of moisture damage at the exterior T1-11 siding in several areas; signs of moisture damage at the exterior wood trim in several areas; earth to wood contact at the exterior wall on the right side of the garage; the soil slopes toward the crawl space access door; dry moisture stains at the ceiling in the hallway outside the first bedroom and in the first bedroom; signs of moisture damage at the garage door to the exterior; signs of moisture exposure and pest activity at the front garage wall in the storage room in the garage; the toilet in the hall bath and master bath were loose; the bathtub drain stoppers were disconnected in the hall and master bathrooms; and the floor was spongy between the master bathroom toilet and shower.
- 35. Respondent had his son-in-law De Garcia, Applicator License Number RA 53789, perform the termite treatment, including pressure treating the sub-soil with Termidor, and trenching and treating the soil at the stucco stone on the exterior. The seller of the property, through his realtor, hired contractor Paul Methner of Helpful Home Solutions to perform the recommended work included in Inspection Report No. 14-476, including, but not limited to the following: repairing and replacing all the decayed wood with new material at the deck off of the master bedroom, the shingle moulding barge rafters, T-1-11 siding above and below the deck,

fascia board deck joists, and beam extension. In addition, lowering the grade and removing the wood products from the subarea.

- 36. On or about August 4, 2014, Respondents issued a Standard Notice of Work Completed and Not Completed ("Completion Notice"), stating that recommendation 1M-1 in Inspection Report No. 14-476 had been completed by his firm. The Completion Notice noted that all other recommendations made were not completed by his firm.
- 37. On or about August 5, 2014, Respondent re-inspected the Knollwood property and issued a Re-Inspection Report for Wood Destroying Pests and Organisms No. 14-476-RI ("Re-Inspection Report No. 14-476-RI"). Respondent certified that the property was free of evidence of active infestations or infections in the visible and accessible areas. Respondent also noted that the recommended repairs itemized in Inspection Report No. 14-476, had been performed by person(s) not employed by his company.
- 38. On or about August 6, 2014, escrow closed and the new home owner moved into the property. Shortly after moving in, the homeowner found dry rot damage and leaking shower, damage to the subfloor in the master bathroom, and dry rot damage in the eaves. The homeowner contacted the Respondent regarding his findings.
- 39. On or about September 16, 2014, Respondent re-inspected the Knollwood property and issued a Supplemental/Re-Inspection Report for Wood Destroying Pests and Organisms No. 14-476-S ("Supplemental Report No. 14-476-S"). Respondent made the following findings: 1) Evidence of decay to gable end rafters; and 2) Decay to the subfloor below the roman tub shower in the master bathroom. Respondent recommended repairing the eaves and damaged barge rafters, and repairing the shower pan and replacing/repairing the decayed subfloor.
- 40. On or about September 18, 2014, Respondent repaired the eaves and damaged barge rafters but failed to file a Standard Notice of Work Completed and Not Completed with the Board.
  - 41. On or about October 21, 2014, the homeowners filed a complaint with the Board.
- 42. On or about November 5, 2014, the Board notified Respondent of the complaint filed by the homeowners.

43. On or about January 21, 2015, a Board specia	list inspected the Knollwood property
and found numerous violations.	

- 44. On or about January 29, 2015, and on or about March 10, 2015, a Board specialist went to Respondent's office to review Respondent's records, however, Respondent was unable to provide the Board specialist with all the records requested for review.
- 45. On or about March 10, 2015, a Board specialist went to Respondent's son-in-law's residence to speak to De Garcia regarding the work he performed on the Knollwood project. When the Board specialist arrived, he found a white Toyota pick-up truck in the drive way with Respondent's name logo and telephone number on it. In the bed of the pick-up truck there were pesticide containers that were not locked or stored in a proper container. There were pesticide like materials in the service container spray tank that had an unlocked lid and no label. There were also pesticides in the cab of the truck.
- 46. On or about April 2, 2015, a Board specialist prepared and issued a Report of Findings along with a Notice ordering Respondent to bring the property into compliance by correcting the items described in the Report of Findings and to submit a Standard Notice of Work Completed and Not Completed to the Board within thirty (30) days.

## FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

# (Failure to Comply with the Code - Improper Inspection)

47. Respondent's registration and operator's license are subject to discipline under Code section 8641, in that, on or about May 8, 2014, concerning the Knollwood property, Respondent failed to comply with the following Code sections:

# Inspection Report No. 14-476 dated May 8, 2014 Section 8516(b)(6)(7) and (9):

a. Respondent failed to report fungus infection and damage with evidence of leaks and water intrusion to the base of the garage wall at the left front corner and failed to make a recommendation to open the wall for further inspection, as required and defined by California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1990(a)(3)(4), (b)(5), (e) and 1991(a)(11).

///

- b. Respondent failed to report water intrusion at the base of the walls at the garage and storage room/closet at the right front corner of the garage and behind the area that was reported as having a faulty grade condition. Respondent failed to make a recommendation to open the walls for further inspection and report the faulty grade level as a Section I condition, which was contributing to the infestation and possible infections, as required and defined by California Code of Regulation, title 16, section 1990(a)(3)(4), (b)(5), (e), and 1991(a)(11).
- c. Respondent failed to report fungus infection and damage to the deck boards extending into the inaccessible area over the flat roof, and failed to list this as a Section 1 condition and failed to make a recommendation for further inspection, as required and defined by California Code of Regulation, title 16, section 1990(a)(3)(4), (b)(5), (e), and 1991(a)(11).
- d. Respondent failed to report the full extent of earth to wood contacts, fungus infection damage, and inaccessible areas under the decking throughout the entire rear deck. Furthermore, he failed to include the entire rear deck as part of the diagram on the inspection report, as required and defined by California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1990(a)(3)(4), (b)(5), and (e).
- e. Respondent failed to report evidence of leaks and fungus infection/damage under the roman tub/shower that was visible from the subarea under the master bathroom and tub/shower floor with evidence of leaks to the tile in the shower and a weak tile bath floor. Respondent also failed to properly water test the shower pan and/or make a proper recommendation to water test the shower pan/roman tub, as required and defined by California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1990(b)(5),(e) and 1991(b)(12).
- f. Respondent failed to report form wood/form stakes embedded in the foundation under the house, as defined by California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1990(b)(3).
- g. Respondent failed to report earth to wood contacts at the base of the railing posts at the front porch staircase, and at the base of the subarea storage shelve posts that were embedded in the ground with tarpaper wrappings near the subarea entrance, as required and defined by California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1990(b)(4).
- h. Respondent failed to make a proper recommendation to correct the earth to wood contacts and fungus damaged decking at the attached rear deck, by improperly recommending to

Section 8516:

separate portions of the deck from the structure, which would not correct the conditions, as required and defined by California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1990(a)(3)(4),(b)(4) and 1991(a)(2).

# Re-Inspection Report No. 14-476RI dated August 5, 2014

i. Respondent failed to report if the inaccessible areas in the garage and below the wood deck below the roof were inspected or reinspected and if any conditions existed in those areas, as required and defined by California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1993(e).

## SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

## (Failure to Properly Treat Subterranean Termites)

48. Respondent's registration and operator's license are subject to discipline pursuant to Code section 8641, in that on the Knollwood project, Respondent failed to comply with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1991(a)(9), by failing to perform a proper and thorough treatment of subterranean termites at the interior and exterior of the front garage wall and storage room area with "Termidor", in that according to the manufacturer's recommendations and industry standards, it calls for a continuous barrier (both sides of the foundation) at the area of infestation as a minimum. Respondent failed to treat the interior and exterior of the foundation in the garage and storage room and along the exterior soil at the areas of infestation.

## THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

# (Failure to Complete the Work in a Quality and Workmanlike Manner)

49. Respondent's registration and operator's license are subject to discipline under Code section 8641, in that regarding the Knollwood project, Respondent failed to comply with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1937.14, in that he failed to properly repair or replace the barge rafter joints, and left debris of the repair on the property.

# FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

## (Fraud or Misrepresentation After Inspection)

50. Respondent's registration and operator's license are subject to discipline pursuant to Code section 8644, in that on or about August 5, 2014, regarding the Knollwood project,

Respondent committed fraud or misrepresentation by issuing Re-Inspection Report No. 14-476-RI certifying that all recommended items for repair contained in Inspection Report No. 14-476 were completed, when in fact, they were not. Furthermore, Respondent certified that the property was free of evidence of active infestation or infection in the visible and accessible areas when, in fact, it was not.

## FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

# (Failure to File a Notice of Work Completed and Not Completed with the Board)

51. Respondent's registration and operator's license are subject to discipline pursuant to Code section 8518, in that regarding the Knollwood project, Respondent failed to file with the Board, a Notice of Work Completed and Not Completed within ten (10) working days after performing work (eave repairs to the right side of the structure) on September 18, 2014, and in compliance with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1996.

## SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

## (Failure to Register Address)

52. Respondent's registration and operator's license are subject to discipline under Code section 8641, in that regarding the Knollwood project, Respondent failed to comply with Code sections 8612, 8613, and 8650, in that he failed to register the address/addresses for which he was operating and advertising on the inspection reports, and for the Branch office of his employee.

# SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

# (Failure to Comply with Record Requirements)

53. Respondent's registration and operator's license are subject to discipline pursuant to Code section 8641, in that Respondent failed to comply with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1970(b), by failing to keep a record of pesticide use. Respondent failed to keep a record of each pest control job performed with the area where the pesticide was applied, how much pesticide was applied, and who applied the pesticide.

27 | ///

28 /

///

///

10 11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

26 27

28

## EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

## (Failure to Comply with Reporting Requirements)

Respondent's registration and operator's license are subject to discipline pursuant 54. to Code section 8641, in that Respondent failed to comply with Code section 8505.17(c), by failing to register with the El Dorado County Agricultural Department and report pesticide use and the pesticide applications performed on the Knollwood project.

## NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

# (Failure to Make Inspection Records Available)

55. Respondent's registration and operator's license are subject to discipline pursuant to Code section 8652, Respondent failed to make and keep pesticide records and field notes of inspections performed available for a period of not less than three years after completion of any work or operation for the control of structural pests or organisms.

## TENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

# (Failure to Properly Label and Store Pesticides)

Respondent's registration and operator's license are subject to discipline pursuant to 56. Code section 8646, in that on or about March 10, 2015, Respondent failed to comply with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1983(a), by failing to adequately label each pesticide container that is stored, carried or transported. Furthermore, Respondent violated California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1983(c), by failing to keep all pesticides properly locked and/or attended.

# ELEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

# (Failure to Supervise Daily Operations)

Respondent's registration and operator's license are subject to discipline pursuant to 57. Code section 8641, in that in 2014 and 2015, Respondent failed to comply with Code section 8610(c), by failing to supervise daily operations and employees, including De Garcia. Furthermore, Respondent failed to secure a licensed field representative or licensed operator to supervise a Branch office, in violation of Code section 8611 and California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1918.

### PRIOR DISCIPLINE

## Operator's License No. OPR 9331 Company Registration Certificate No. PR 2644

58. On or about March 17, 2009, the Board issued Citation No. CF 09-61, to Respondent for violating Business and Professions Code sections and California Code of Regulations, title 16, sections 8635, 8638, 8636, 8516/1996.3/1997, 8514/8516, 8619/1996.1, 8519, 8517, 1937.14, and 8622. The Board assessed a fine in the amount of \$2,253. Respondent paid the fine.

### Operator's License No. OPR 9331

59. On or about March 17, 2009, the Board issued Citation No. CF 09-62, to Respondent for violating Business and Professions Code sections and California Code of Regulations, title 16, sections 8516/1990. The Board assessed a fine in the amount of \$100. Respondent paid the fine.

### **OTHER MATTERS**

- 60. Code section 8620 provides, in pertinent part, that a respondent may request that a civil penalty of not more than \$5,000 be assessed in lieu of an actual suspension of 1 to 19 days, or not more than \$10,000 for an actual suspension of 20 to 45 days. Such request must be made at the time of the hearing and must be noted in the proposed decision. The proposed decision shall not provide that a civil penalty shall be imposed in lieu of a suspension.
- 61. Pursuant to Code section 8624, the causes for discipline established as to Company Registration Certificate Number PR 2644, issued to George Webb Termite & Pest Control, likewise constitute cause for discipline against Operator's License Number OPR 9331, issued to George K. Webb, who serves as the Qualifying Manager of George Webb Termite & Pest Control, regardless of whether George K. Webb had knowledge of or participated in the acts or omissions which constitute cause for discipline against George Webb Termite & Pest Control.
- 62. Pursuant to Code section 8654, if discipline is imposed on Company Registration Certificate Number PR 2644, issued to George Webb Termite & Pest Control, then George K. Webb, who serves as the Qualifying Manager of George Webb Termite & Pest Control, shall be prohibited from serving as an officer, director, associate, partner, qualifying manager, or responsible managing employee for any registered company during the time the discipline is