1	KAMALA D. HARRIS	
2	Attorney General of California KENT D. HARRIS	ate 10/20/15 By Susan
3	STANTON W. LEE	ate 10/20/15 By Sunda
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 203563	" Stard
5	1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255	
6	Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 445-9921	
7	Facsimile: (916) 324-5567 Attorneys for Complainant	
8	BEFORE TH	
9	STRUCTURAL PEST CON DEPARTMENT OF CONSU	JMER AFFAIRS
0	STATE OF CALIF	ORNIA
.1	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 2016-24
2	GEORGE K. WEBB	ACCUSATION
3	GEORGE WEBB TERMITE & PEST CONTROL	
4	1035 Siskiyou Ave. Oroville, CA 95965	
5	Operator License No. OPR 9331	
.6	Company Registration Certificate No. PR 2644	
7	Respondent.	
8		
9	Susan Saylor ("Complainant") alleges:	
0	PARTIES	
1	1. Complainant brings this Accusation solely	in her official capacity as the
2	Registrar/Executive Officer of the Structural Pest Cont	rol Board ("Board"), Department of
3	Consumer Affairs.	
4	Operator License	
5	2. On or about November 4, 1994, the Board	issued Operator License Number OPR
6	9331 ("license") in Branch 3 to George K. Webb ("Res	pondent"), as the owner and Qualifying
27	Manager of George Webb Termite & Pest Control. Th	
28	times relevant to the charges brought herein and will ex	
	1	
	(GEORGE WEB)	B TERMITE & PEST CONTROL) ACCUSATIO

1	Company Registration Certificate
2	3. On or about November 4, 1994, the Board issued Company Registration Certificate
3	Number PR 2644 ("registration") in Branch 3 to George Webb Termite Control with George
4	Kenneth Webb as the owner and Qualifying Manager. On or about June 26, 2008, the registration
5	was upgraded to include Branch 2 with Dennis Mohlin Ohlsson as the Branch 2 Qualifying
5	Manager, and the namestyle changed to George Webb Termite & Pest Control. On or about
7	May 21, 2014, Dennis Mohlin Ohlsson disassociated as the Branch 2 Qualifying Manager. On or
8	about June 4, 2014, the registration was suspended for failing to have a Branch 2 Qualifying
9	Manager. On or about August 14, 2014, the registration was reinstated and downgraded to
0	include Branch 3 only.
1	JURISDICTION
2	4. Code section 8620 provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may suspend or revoke a
3	license when it finds that the holder, while a licensee or applicant, has committed any acts or
Ļ	omissions constituting cause for disciplinary action or in lieu of a suspension may assess a civil
5	penalty.
5	5. Code section 8624 states:
7	If the board suspends or revokes an operator's license and one or more
3	branch offices are registered under the name of the operator, the suspension or revocation may be applied to each branch office.
)	If the operator is the qualifying manager, a partner, responsible officer, or owner of a registered structural part control commons the
)	owner of a registered structural pest control company, the suspension or revocation may be applied to the company registration.
-	The performance by any partnership, corporation, firm, association, or
2	registered company of any act or omission constituting a cause for disciplinary action, likewise constitutes a cause for disciplinary action against any licensee who, at the
3	time the act or omission occurred, was the qualifying manager, a partner, responsible officer, or owner of the partnership, corporation, firm, association, or registered
1	company whether or not he or she had knowledge of, or participated in, the prohibited act or omission.
5	///
5	
7	
3	
	2

6. Code section 8625 states:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The lapsing or suspension of a license or company registration by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, or the voluntary surrender of a license or company registration shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to proceed with any investigation of or action or disciplinary proceeding against such licensee or company, or to render a decision suspending or revoking such license or registration.

7. Code section 8622 states:

When a complaint is accepted for investigation of a registered company, the board, through an authorized representative, may inspect any or all properties on which a report has been issued pursuant to Section 8516 or a notice of completion has been issued pursuant to Section 8518 by the registered company to determine compliance with the provisions of this chapter and the rules and regulations issued thereunder. If the board determines the property or properties are not in compliance, a notice shall be sent to the registered company so stating. The registered company shall have 30 days from the receipt of the notice to bring such property into compliance, and it shall submit a new original report or completion notice or both and an inspection fee of not more than one hundred twenty-five dollars (\$125) for each property inspected. If a subsequent reinspection is necessary, pursuant to the board's review of the new original report or notice or both, a commensurate reinspection fee shall also be charged. If the board's authorized representative makes no determination or determines the property is in compliance, no inspection fee shall be charged.

The notice sent to the registered company shall inform the registered company that if it desires a hearing to contest the finding of noncompliance, the hearing shall be requested by written notice to the board within 20 days of receipt of the notice of noncompliance from the board. Where a hearing is not requested pursuant to this section, payment of any assessment shall not constitute an admission of any noncompliance charged.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

8. Code section 8505.17(c) states:

Registered structural pest control companies shall prepare and submit to the county agricultural commissioner a monthly report of all pesticides used in that county. The report shall be on a form approved by the Director of Pesticide Regulation and shall contain the name and registration number of each pesticide and the amount used. The report shall be submitted to the commissioner by the 10th day of the month following the month of application and a copy shall be maintained by the licensee for a period of three years after the report submission date. Each pesticide use report or combination of use reports representing a registered structural pest control company's total county pesticide use for the month shall require a pesticide use stamp or stamp number issued by the board in the denomination fixed by the board in accordance with Section 8674 as the pesticide use report filing fee. The board shall provide for the sale of these stamps and stamp numbers and for the refund of moneys paid for stamps and stamp numbers which are returned to it unused. When a registered structural pest control company performs no pest control during a month in a county in which it has given notice pursuant to Section 15204 of the Food and Agricultural Code, the registered company shall submit a use report stating this fact to the commissioner. A pesticide use stamp or stamp number is not required on negative

use reports.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

9. Code section 8516 states, in pertinent part:

(b) No registered company or licensee shall commence work on a contract, or sign, issue, or deliver any documents expressing an opinion or statement relating to the absence or presence of wood destroying pests or organisms until an inspection has been made by a licensed Branch 3 field representative or operator. The address of each property inspected or upon which work is completed shall be reported on a form prescribed by the board and shall be filed with the board no later than 10 business days after the commencement of an inspection or upon completed work.

Every property inspected pursuant to subdivision (b) of Section 8516.1, or Section 8518, or subdivision (b) of this section shall be assessed a filing fee pursuant to Section 8674.

Failure of a registered company to report and file with the board the address of any property inspected or work completed pursuant to Section 8516.1, Section 8518, or this section are grounds for disciplinary action and shall subject the registered company to a fine of not more than two thousand five hundred dollars (\$2,500).

A written inspection report conforming to this section and on a form approved by the board shall be prepared and delivered to the person requesting the inspection or to the person's designated agent within 10 business days of the inspection, except that an inspection report prepared for use by an attorney for litigation purposes is not required to be reported to the board. The report shall be delivered before work is commenced on any property. The registered company shall retain for three years all original inspection reports, filed notes, and activity forms.

Reports shall be made available for inspection and reproduction to the executive officer of the board or his or her duly authorized representative during business hours. Original inspection reports or copies thereof shall be submitted to the board upon request within two business days. The following shall be set forth in the report:

(6) A foundation diagram or sketch of the structure or structures or portions of the structure or structures inspected, indicating thereon the approximate location of any infested or infected areas evident, and the parts of the structure where conditions that would ordinarily subject those parts to attack by wood destroying pests or organisms exist.

(7) Information regarding the substructure, foundation walls and footings, porches, patios and steps, air vents, abutments, attic spaces, roof framing that includes the eaves, rafters, fascias, exposed timbers, exposed sheathing, ceiling joists, and attic walls, or other parts subject to attack by wood destroying pests or organisms. Conditions usually deemed likely to lead to infestation or infection, such as earth-wood contacts, excessive cellulose debris, faulty grade levels, excessive moisture conditions, evidence of roof leaks, and insufficient ventilation are to be reported.

(9) Indication or description of any areas that are inaccessible or not inspected with recommendation for further inspection if practicable. If, after the report has been made in compliance with this section, authority is given later to open

1	inconscible proof a supplemental report on any litizer is these super-shall be used
	inaccessible areas, a supplemental report on conditions in these areas shall be made.
2	10. Code section 8518 states, in pertinent part:
3	When a registered company completes work under a contract, it shall prepare, on a form prescribed by the board, a notice of work completed and not
4 5	completed and shall furnish that notice to the owner of the property or the owner's agent within 10 working days after completing the work. The notice shall include a statement of the cost of the completed work and estimated cost of work not
6	completed.
7	The address of each property inspected or upon which work was completed shall be reported on a form prescribed by the board and shall be filed with
8	the board no later than 10 working days after completed work.
9	11. Code section 8610(c) states:
10	Each registered company shall designate an individual or individuals who hold an operator's license to act as its qualifying manager or managers. The qualifying manager or managers must be licensed in each branch of pest control in
11	which the company engages in business. The designated qualifying manager or
12	managers shall supervise the daily business of the company and shall be available to supervise and assist all employees of the company, in accordance with regulations which the board may establish.
13 14	12. Code section 8611 states:
i	Each branch office shall have a branch supervisor designated by the
15 16	registered company to supervise and assist the company's employees who are located at that branch. The branch supervisor shall be an individual who is licensed by the board as an operator or a field representative and his or her license shall be
17	prominently displayed in the branch office.
18	If a branch supervisor ceases for any reason to be connected with a registered company, the company shall notify the registrar in writing within 10 days
19	from that cessation. If this notice is given, the company's branch office registration shall remain in force for a reasonable length of time to be determined by rules of the
20	board, during which period the company shall submit to the registrar in writing the name of another qualified branch supervisor.
2 1	13. Code section 8612 states:
22	The licenses of qualifying managers and company registrations shall be
23	prominently displayed in the registered company's office, and no registration issued hereunder shall authorize the company to do business except from the location for
24	which the registration was issued. Each registered company having a branch office or more than one branch office shall be required to display its branch office registration
25	prominently in each branch office it maintains.
26	When a registered company opens a branch office it shall notify the registrar in writing on a form prescribed by the board and issued by the registrar in
27	accordance with rules and regulations adopted by the board. The notification shall include the name of the individual designated as the branch supervisor and shall be
28	submitted with the fee for a branch office prescribed by this chapter.
·	5
	(GEORGE WEBB TERMITE & PEST CONTROL) ACCUSAT

1	14. Code section 8613 states:
2	A registered company which changes the location of its principal office or
3	any branch office or which changes its qualifying manager, branch supervisor, officers, or its bond or insurance shall notify the registrar in writing of such change
4	within 30 days thereafter. A fee for filing such changes shall be charged in accordance with Section 8674.
5	15. Code section 8641 states:
6	Failure to comply with the provisions of this chapter, or any rule or
7 8	regulation adopted by the board, or the furnishing of a report of inspection without the making of a bona fide inspection of the premises for wood-destroying pests or organisms, or furnishing a notice of work completed prior to the completion of the work specified in the contract, is a ground for disciplinary action.
9	16. Code section 8642 states:
10	The commission of any grossly negligent or fraudulent act by the licensee
11	as a pest control operator, field representative, or applicator or by a registered company is a ground for disciplinary action.
12 13	17. Code section 8644 states:
14 15 16	Fraud or misrepresentation, after inspection, by any licensee or registered company engaged in pest control work of any infestation or infection of wood- destroying pests or organisms found in property or structures, or respecting any conditions of the structure that would ordinarily subject structures to attack by wood- destroying pests or organisms, whether or not a report was made pursuant to Sections 8516 and 8517 of this code, is a ground for disciplinary action.
17	18. Code section 8646 states:
18 19 20	Disregard and violation of pesticide use and application, structural pest control device, fumigation, or extermination laws of the state or of any of its political subdivisions, or regulations adopted pursuant to those laws, is a ground for disciplinary action.
20	19. Code section 8650 states:
22	Acting in the capacity of a licensee or registered company under any of the licenses or registrations issued hereunder except:
23 24	(a)In the name of the licensee or registered company as set forth upon the license or registration, or
25	(b)At the address and location or place or places of business as licensed or registered or as later changed as provided in this chapter is a ground for disciplinary action.
26 27	20. Code section 8652 states:
28	Failure of a registered company to make and keep all inspection reports, contracts, documents, and records, other than financial records, for a period of not
	6
	GEORGE WEBB TERMITE & PEST CONTROL) ACCUSAT

4	21. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1918 states:
5	"Supervise" as used in Business and Professions Code Sections 8506.2,
,	8610 and 8611 means the oversight, direction, control, and inspection of the daily business of the company and its employees, and the availability to observe, assist, an instruct company employees, as needed to secure full compliance with all laws and
	regulations governing structural pest control.
	In cases of ownership of more than one registered company by the same sole owner, corporation or partnership where the qualifying manager or managers cannot supervise each registered company because of the location of the companies,
	the qualifying manager or managers may designate an individual or individuals licensed as an operator or as a field representative in the branch or branches of
	business being conducted to supervise the company. This designated supervisor or supervisors must be under the direct supervision of the qualifying manager or
	managers. Any such designation of supervisors does not relieve the qualifying manager or managers of responsibility to supervise as required in sections 8506.2 an 8610.
	22. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1937.14 states:
	All work completed by licensees or registered companies shall be done
	within the specific requirements of any plans or specifications and shall meet accepted trade standards for good and workmanlike construction in any material respect, and shall comply with provisions of Section 2516(c)(1), (2), (4) and (6) of
	Title 24 of the California Code of Regulations.
	23. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1970 states, in pertinent part:
	For the purpose of maintaining proper standards of safety and the establishment of responsibility in handling the dangerous gases used in fumigation
	and the pesticides used in other pest control operations, a registered company shall compile and retain for a period of at least three years, a log for each fumigation job
	and for each pesticide control operation in which a pesticide is used by the registered company or the registered company's employee.
	(b) The report for each pest control operation, other than fumigation, in which a pesticide is used shall contain the following information:
	which a pesticide is used shall contain the following information:
	Date of treatment.
	Name of owner or his or her agent. Address of property.
	Description of area treated.
	Target pest(s). Pesticide and amount used.
	Identity of person or persons who applied the pesticide.

τ.

1	24. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1983 states, in pertinent part:
2	(a) Each container in which any pesticide is stored, carried or transported
3	Chapter 2, Division 7 of the Food and Agriculture Code (relating to economic
4	poisons) and regulations adopted by the Department of Pesticide Regulation thereunder.
5	(c) When any pesticide or preparation thereof is carried on a truck or
6	other vehicle, a suitable storage space shall be provided thereon. Under no circumstances shall such storage be left either unlocked or unattended when containing any pesticide or preparation thereof.
7 8	25. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1990, states, in pertinent part:
9	(a) All reports shall be completed as prescribed by the board. Copies
10	filed with the board shall be clear and legible. All reports must supply the information required by Section 8516 of the Code and the information regarding the pesticide or pesticides used as set forth in Section 8538 of the Code, and shall contain or describe the following:
11	(3) Infestations, infections or evidence thereof.
12	
13	(4) Wood members found to be damaged by wood destroying pests or organisms.
14 15	(b) Conditions usually deemed likely to lead to infestation or infection includes, but is not limited to:
16	(1) Fault Grade Level. A faulty grade level exists when the top of any foundation is even with or below the adjacent earth. The existing earth level shall be considered grade.
17	(3) Excessive Cellulose Debris. This is defined as any cellulose debris of
18 19	a size that can be raked or larger. Stumps and wood imbedded in footings in earth contact shall be reported.
20	(4) Earth-wood contacts.
21	(5) Commonly controllable moisture conditions which would foster the growth of a fungus infection materially damaging to woodwork.
22	(e) Information regarding all accessible areas of the structure including
23	but not limited to the substructure, foundation walls and footings, porches, patios and steps, stairways, air vents, abutments, stucco walls, columns, attached structures or other parts of a structure normally subject to attach burnered butters.
24	other parts of a structure normally subject to attack by wood-destroying pests or organisms.
25	26. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1991, states, in pertinent part:
26	(a) Recommendations for corrective measures for the conditions found shall be made as required by paragraph 10 of subdivision (b) of Section 851(-54)
27 28	shall be made as required by paragraph 10 of subdivision (b) of Section 8516 of the code and shall also conform with the provisions of Title 24 of the California Code of Regulations and any other applicable local building code, and shall accomplish the following:
	(GEORGE WEBB TERMITE & PEST CONTROL) ACCUSATI

1 2	(2) Remove from the subarea all excessive cellulose debris in earth contact. This excludes shavings or other cellulose too small to be raked or stored goods not in earth contact. Stumps and wood imbedded in footings in earth contact shall be treated if removal is impractical.
3	(11) Correct any excessive moisture condition that is commonly
4	controllable. When there is reasonable evidence to believe a fungus infection exists in a concealed wall or area, recommendations shall be made to open the wall or area.
5	(12) Repair a stall shower if it is found to leak when water tested for a
6	minimum of fifteen (15) minutes after the shower drain has been plugged and the base filled to within one (1) inch of the top of the shower dam. Stall showers with no
7	water on the unplugged shower base for a minimum of five (5) minutes (showers
8	over finished ceilings must be inspected but need to be water tested. If water stains are evidence on the ceiling, recommendations shall be made for further inspection and testing.
9	č
10	and the second s
11	All of the following reports must be in compliance with the requirements of Section 8516 of the code. All reports must be on the form prescribed by the board and filed with the board with stamps affixed.
12	
13	(e) A reinspection report is the report on the inspections of item(s) completed as recommended on an original report or subsequent report(s). The areas reinspected can be limited to the items requested by the person ordering the original
14	inspection report. A licensed operator or field representative shall refer to the original report in such a manner to identify it clearly.
15 16	28. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1996, states, in pertinent part:
17	A written inspection report conforming to section 8516(b) of the code
18	(See Form No. 43M-41 (Rev. 10/01, required use effective July 1, 2003) at the end of this section) shall be prepared and delivered to the person requesting the inspection [t]he granting of permission to make an inspection shall be deemed a request to
19	make an inspection.
20	COST RECOVERY/RESTITUTION
21	29. Code section 125.3 provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the
22	administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of
23	the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and
24	enforcement of the case.
25	30. Government Code section 11519(d) provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may
26	require restitution of damages suffered as a condition of probation in the event probation is
27	ordered.
28	///
	. 9
	(GEORGE WEBB TERMITE & PEST CONTROL) ACCUSATION

1	KNOLLWOOD PROJECT	
2	31. On or about May 8, 2014, Respondent inspected the property located on Knollwood	l
3	Drive, in Cameron Park, California ("Knollwood project"), for wood destroying pests and	
.4	organisms and thereafter issued Complete Wood Destroying Pests and Organisms Inspection	
5	Report No. 14-476 ("Inspection Report No. 14-476"). In said report, Respondent made certain	
6	findings, including, but not limited to, the following:	
7	Section I Findings:	
8	a. Decay was found at the following areas: Decking off the master bedroom; shingle	
9	molding on the barge rafter; beam end; T-1-11 siding above and below the deck; fascia board	
10	below the back deck; entry door to the subarea; deck joists; decking boards on the deck attached	I
11	to the house; beam extension; and barge rafter.	
12	b. Subterranean termite damage to the garage doorjamb.	
13	c. Subterranean termite infestation and damage to the wall studs and sheetrock in the	
14	garage storage room.	
15	d. Earth to wood contact at the deck connected to the retaining wall and adjoining decl	ς.
16	Section II Findings:	
17	e. Faulty grade and earth contacts with the T-1-11 siding.	
18	f. Wood products and cardboard in earth contact in subarea.	
19	g. Residual water stains under the vanity sink.	
20	h. Hall bath toilet was loose.	
21	i. Moisture damage to the sheetrock adjacent to the hot water heater.	
22	Inaccessible areas:	
23	j. The deck was constructed over a flat roof, making the framing and area underneath	
24	inaccessible for inspection.	
25	k. The garage was inaccessible for complete inspection due to storage.	
26	32. Respondent made the following recommendations, including but not limited to:	
27	Chemically treating the structure to control the subterranean termite infestation,	
28	repairing/replacing the decay and termite damaged wood members with new material, removing	5
	10	
	(GEORGE WEBB TERMITE & PEST CONTROL) ACCUSATIO	N

(GEORGE WEBB TERMITE & PEST CONTROL) ACCUSATION

the wood products from the subarea, securing the hall bath toilet, repairing/replacing the 1 sheetrock as necessary, lowering the soil to correct the faulty grade and earth contacts, and 2 installing a support system to separate the deck which is attached to the house from the retaining 3 wall and from the adjoining deck. Respondent provided an estimate of \$9,490 for the work. (The 4 diagram shown on the inspection report does not show all of the rear deck that is attached to and 5 6 part of the structure.) Respondent also noted on the report that the roman style tub shower was not water tested due to the lack of a drain stopper assembly. 7

8

33. On or about June 11, 2014, the property went into escrow.

On or about June 25, 2014, John Deller ("Deller") of Buy Right Home Inspections 9 34. performed an inspection of the property and issued an inspection report. In said report, Deller 10 made certain findings, including, but not limited to the following: signs of moisture damage at the 11 wood deck and railings off of the master bedroom; wood decking in the rear yard has moisture 12 damage in many areas; no stem wall was found at the garage walls; signs of moisture damage at 13 the exterior T1-11 siding in several areas; signs of moisture damage at the exterior wood trim in 14 several areas; earth to wood contact at the exterior wall on the right side of the garage; the soil 15 slopes toward the crawl space access door; dry moisture stains at the ceiling in the hallway 16 outside the first bedroom and in the first bedroom; signs of moisture damage at the garage door to 17 the exterior; signs of moisture exposure and pest activity at the front garage wall in the storage 18 19 room in the garage; the toilet in the hall bath and master bath were loose; the bathtub drain stoppers were disconnected in the hall and master bathrooms; and the floor was spongy between 2021 the master bathroom toilet and shower.

22

35. Respondent had his son-in-law De Garcia, Applicator License Number RA 53789, 23 perform the termite treatment, including pressure treating the sub-soil with Termidor, and trenching and treating the soil at the stucco stone on the exterior. The seller of the property, 24 through his realtor, hired contractor Paul Methner of Helpful Home Solutions to perform the 25 recommended work included in Inspection Report No. 14-476, including, but not limited to the 26 following: repairing and replacing all the decayed wood with new material at the deck off of the 27 28master bedroom, the shingle moulding barge rafters, T-1-11 siding above and below the deck,

fascia board deck joists, and beam extension. In addition, lowering the grade and removing the wood products from the subarea.

1

2

3

4

5

6

26

36. On or about August 4, 2014, Respondents issued a Standard Notice of Work
Completed and Not Completed ("Completion Notice"), stating that recommendation 1M-1 in
Inspection Report No. 14-476 had been completed by his firm. The Completion Notice noted that
all other recommendations made were not completed by his firm.

37. On or about August 5, 2014, Respondent re-inspected the Knollwood property and
issued a Re-Inspection Report for Wood Destroying Pests and Organisms No. 14-476-RI ("ReInspection Report No. 14-476-RI"). Respondent certified that the property was free of evidence
of active infestations or infections in the visible and accessible areas. Respondent also noted that
the recommended repairs itemized in Inspection Report No. 14-476, had been performed by
person(s) not employed by his company.

38. On or about August 6, 2014, escrow closed and the new home owner moved into the
property. Shortly after moving in, the homeowner found dry rot damage and leaking shower,
damage to the subfloor in the master bathroom, and dry rot damage in the eaves. The homeowner
contacted the Respondent regarding his findings.

39. On or about September 16, 2014, Respondent re-inspected the Knollwood property
 and issued a Supplemental/Re-Inspection Report for Wood Destroying Pests and Organisms No.
 14-476-S ("Supplemental Report No. 14-476-S"). Respondent made the following findings: 1)
 Evidence of decay to gable end rafters; and 2) Decay to the subfloor below the roman tub shower
 in the master bathroom. Respondent recommended repairing the eaves and damaged barge
 rafters, and repairing the shower pan and replacing/repairing the decayed subfloor.

40. On or about September 18, 2014, Respondent repaired the eaves and damaged barge
rafters but failed to file a Standard Notice of Work Completed and Not Completed with the
Board.

41. On or about October 21, 2014, the homeowners filed a complaint with the Board.

42. On or about November 5, 2014, the Board notified Respondent of the complaint filed
by the homeowners.

43. On or about January 21, 2015, a Board specialist inspected the Knollwood property
 and found numerous violations.

44. On or about January 29, 2015, and on or about March 10, 2015, a Board specialist went to Respondent's office to review Respondent's records, however, Respondent was unable to provide the Board specialist with all the records requested for review.

45. On or about March 10, 2015, a Board specialist went to Respondent's son-in-law's
residence to speak to De Garcia regarding the work he performed on the Knollwood project.
When the Board specialist arrived, he found a white Toyota pick-up truck in the drive way with
Respondent's name logo and telephone number on it. In the bed of the pick-up truck there were
pesticide containers that were not locked or stored in a proper container. There were pesticide
like materials in the service container spray tank that had an unlocked lid and no label. There
were also pesticides in the cab of the truck.

46. On or about April 2, 2015, a Board specialist prepared and issued a Report of
Findings along with a Notice ordering Respondent to bring the property into compliance by
correcting the items described in the Report of Findings and to submit a Standard Notice of Work
Completed and Not Completed to the Board within thirty (30) days.

17

3

4

5

18

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Comply with the Code - Improper Inspection)

47. Respondent's registration and operator's license are subject to discipline under Code
section 8641, in that, on or about May 8, 2014, concerning the Knollwood property, Respondent
failed to comply with the following Code sections:

22

23

Inspection Report No. 14-476 dated May 8, 2014 Section 8516(b)(6)(7) and (9):

a. Respondent failed to report fungus infection and damage with evidence of leaks and
water intrusion to the base of the garage wall at the left front corner and failed to make a
recommendation to open the wall for further inspection, as required and defined by California
Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1990(a)(3)(4), (b)(5), (e) and 1991(a)(11).

28 ///

b. Respondent failed to report water intrusion at the base of the walls at the garage and
storage room/closet at the right front corner of the garage and behind the area that was reported as
having a faulty grade condition. Respondent failed to make a recommendation to open the walls
for further inspection and report the faulty grade level as a Section I condition, which was
contributing to the infestation and possible infections, as required and defined by California Code
of Regulation, title 16, section 1990(a)(3)(4), (b)(5), (e), and 1991(a)(11).

c. Respondent failed to report fungus infection and damage to the deck boards extending
into the inaccessible area over the flat roof, and failed to list this as a Section 1 condition and
failed to make a recommendation for further inspection, as required and defined by California
Code of Regulation, title 16, section 1990(a)(3)(4), (b)(5), (e), and 1991(a)(11).

d. Respondent failed to report the full extent of earth to wood contacts, fungus infection
damage, and inaccessible areas under the decking throughout the entire rear deck. Furthermore,
he failed to include the entire rear deck as part of the diagram on the inspection report, as required
and defined by California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1990(a)(3)(4), (b)(5), and (e).

e. Respondent failed to report evidence of leaks and fungus infection/damage under the
roman tub/shower that was visible from the subarea under the master bathroom and tub/shower
floor with evidence of leaks to the tile in the shower and a weak tile bath floor. Respondent also
failed to properly water test the shower pan and/or make a proper recommendation to water test
the shower pan/roman tub, as required and defined by California Code of Regulations, title 16,
section 1990(b)(5),(e) and 1991(b)(12).

f. Respondent failed to report form wood/form stakes embedded in the foundation under
the house, as defined by California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1990(b)(3).

g. Respondent failed to report earth to wood contacts at the base of the railing posts at
the front porch staircase, and at the base of the subarea storage shelve posts that were embedded
in the ground with tarpaper wrappings near the subarea entrance, as required and defined by
California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1990(b)(4).

h. Respondent failed to make a proper recommendation to correct the earth to wood
contacts and fungus damaged decking at the attached rear deck, by improperly recommending to

1	separate portions of the deck from the structure, which would not correct the conditions, as
2	required and defined by California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1990(a)(3)(4),(b)(4) and
3	1991(a)(2).
4	Re-Inspection Report No. 14-476RI dated August 5, 2014
5	Section 8516:
6	i. Respondent failed to report if the inaccessible areas in the garage and below the wood
7	deck below the roof were inspected or reinspected and if any conditions existed in those areas, as
8	required and defined by California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1993(e).
9	SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
10	(Failure to Properly Treat Subterranean Termites)
11	48. Respondent's registration and operator's license are subject to discipline pursuant to
12	Code section 8641, in that on the Knollwood project, Respondent failed to comply with
13	California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1991(a)(9), by failing to perform a proper and
14	thorough treatment of subterranean termites at the interior and exterior of the front garage wall
15	and storage room area with "Termidor", in that according to the manufacturer's recommendations
16	and industry standards, it calls for a continuous barrier (both sides of the foundation) at the area
17	of infestation as a minimum. Respondent failed to treat the interior and exterior of the foundation
18	in the garage and storage room and along the exterior soil at the areas of infestation.
19	THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
20	(Failure to Complete the Work in a Quality and Workmanlike Manner)
21	49. Respondent's registration and operator's license are subject to discipline under Code
22	section 8641, in that regarding the Knollwood project, Respondent failed to comply with
23	California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1937.14, in that he failed to properly repair or
24	replace the barge rafter joints, and left debris of the repair on the property.
25	FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
26	(Fraud or Misrepresentation After Inspection)
27	50. Respondent's registration and operator's license are subject to discipline pursuant to
28	Code section 8644, in that on or about August 5, 2014, regarding the Knollwood project,
	15
	(GEORGE WEBB TERMITE & PEST CONTROL) ACCUSATION

٢

(GEORGE WEBB TERMITE & PEST CONTROL) ACCUSATION

Respondent committed fraud or misrepresentation by issuing Re-Inspection Report No. 14-476 RI certifying that all recommended items for repair contained in Inspection Report No. 14-476
 were completed, when in fact, they were not. Furthermore, Respondent certified that the property
 was free of evidence of active infestation or infection in the visible and accessible areas when, in
 fact, it was not.

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to File a Notice of Work Completed and Not Completed with the Board) 51. Respondent's registration and operator's license are subject to discipline pursuant to Code section 8518, in that regarding the Knollwood project, Respondent failed to file with the Board, a Notice of Work Completed and Not Completed within ten (10) working days after performing work (eave repairs to the right side of the structure) on September 18, 2014, and in compliance with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1996.

SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Register Address)

52. Respondent's registration and operator's license are subject to discipline under Code
section 8641, in that regarding the Knollwood project, Respondent failed to comply with Code
sections 8612, 8613, and 8650, in that he failed to register the address/addresses for which he was
operating and advertising on the inspection reports, and for the Branch office of his employee.

SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Comply with Record Requirements)

53. Respondent's registration and operator's license are subject to discipline pursuant
to Code section 8641, in that Respondent failed to comply with California Code of Regulations,
title 16, section 1970(b), by failing to keep a record of pesticide use. Respondent failed to keep a
record of each pest control job performed with the area where the pesticide was applied, how
much pesticide was applied, and who applied the pesticide.

26 27 111

|||

6

13

14

19

20

28 ///

	A state of the sta
	· ·
1	EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
2	(Failure to Comply with Reporting Requirements)
3	54. Respondent's registration and operator's license are subject to discipline pursuant
4	to Code section 8641, in that Respondent failed to comply with Code section 8505.17(c), by
5	failing to register with the El Dorado County Agricultural Department and report pesticide use
6	and the pesticide applications performed on the Knollwood project.
7	NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
8	(Failure to Make Inspection Records Available)
9	55. Respondent's registration and operator's license are subject to discipline pursuant to
10	Code section 8652, Respondent failed to make and keep pesticide records and field notes of
11	inspections performed available for a period of not less than three years after completion of any
12	work or operation for the control of structural pests or organisms.
13	TENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
14	(Failure to Properly Label and Store Pesticides)
15	56. Respondent's registration and operator's license are subject to discipline pursuant to
16	Code section 8646, in that on or about March 10, 2015, Respondent failed to comply with
17	California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1983(a), by failing to adequately label each
18	pesticide container that is stored, carried or transported. Furthermore, Respondent violated
19	California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1983(c), by failing to keep all pesticides properly
20	locked and/or attended.
21	ELEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
22	(Failure to Supervise Daily Operations)
23	57. Respondent's registration and operator's license are subject to discipline pursuant to
24	Code section 8641, in that in 2014 and 2015, Respondent failed to comply with Code section
25	8610(c), by failing to supervise daily operations and employees, including De Garcia.
26	Furthermore, Respondent failed to secure a licensed field representative or licensed operator to
27	supervise a Branch office, in violation of Code section 8611 and California Code of Regulations,
28	title 16, section 1918.
	17
	(GEORGE WEBB TERMITE & PEST CONTROL) ACCUSATION

(GEORGE WEBB TERMITE & PEST CONTROL) ACCUSATION

1 PRIOR DISCIPLINE 2 **Operator's License No. OPR 9331 Company Registration Certificate No. PR 2644** 3 On or about March 17, 2009, the Board issued Citation No. CF 09-61, to Respondent 58. 4 for violating Business and Professions Code sections and California Code of Regulations, title 16, 5 sections 8635, 8638, 8636, 8516/1996.3/1997, 8514/8516, 8619/1996.1, 8519, 8517, 1937.14, and 6 8622. The Board assessed a fine in the amount of \$2,253. Respondent paid the fine. 7 **Operator's License No. OPR 9331** 8 On or about March 17, 2009, the Board issued Citation No. CF 09-62, to Respondent 59. 9 for violating Business and Professions Code sections and California Code of Regulations, title 16, 10sections 8516/1990. The Board assessed a fine in the amount of \$100. Respondent paid the fine. 11 **OTHER MATTERS** 12 Code section 8620 provides, in pertinent part, that a respondent may request that a 60. 13 civil penalty of not more than \$5,000 be assessed in lieu of an actual suspension of 1 to 19 days, 14 or not more than \$10,000 for an actual suspension of 20 to 45 days. Such request must be made 15 at the time of the hearing and must be noted in the proposed decision. The proposed decision 16 shall not provide that a civil penalty shall be imposed in lieu of a suspension. 17 Pursuant to Code section 8624, the causes for discipline established as to Company 61. 18 Registration Certificate Number PR 2644, issued to George Webb Termite & Pest Control, 19 likewise constitute cause for discipline against Operator's License Number OPR 9331, issued to 20George K. Webb, who serves as the Qualifying Manager of George Webb Termite & Pest 21 Control, regardless of whether George K. Webb had knowledge of or participated in the acts or 22 omissions which constitute cause for discipline against George Webb Termite & Pest Control. 23 62, Pursuant to Code section 8654, if discipline is imposed on Company Registration 24 Certificate Number PR 2644, issued to George Webb Termite & Pest Control, then George K. 25Webb, who serves as the Qualifying Manager of George Webb Termite & Pest Control, shall be 26 prohibited from serving as an officer, director, associate, partner, qualifying manager, or 27responsible managing employee for any registered company during the time the discipline is 2818

1	imposed, and any registered company which employs, elects, or associates him, shall be subject
2	to disciplinary action.
3	<u>PRAYER</u>
4	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,
5	and that following the hearing, the Structural Pest Control Board issue a decision:
6	1. Revoking or suspending Company Registration Certificate Number PR 2644, issued
7	to George Webb Termite & Pest Control;
8	2. Revoking or suspending Operator's License Number OPR 9331, issued to George K.
9	Webb;
10	3. Revoking or suspending any other license for which George K. Webb is furnishing
11	the qualifying experience or appearance;
12	4. Prohibiting George K. Webb from serving as an officer, director, associate, partner,
13	qualifying manager or responsible managing employee of any registered company during the
14	period that discipline is imposed on Company Registration Certificate Number PR 2644, issued to
15	George Webb Termite & Pest Control;
16	5. Ordering restitution of all damages according to proof suffered by A.P. as a condition
17	of probation in the event probation is ordered;
18	6. Ordering George K. Webb and George Webb Termite & Pest Control to pay the
19	Structural Pest Control Board the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this
20	case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3; and,
21	7. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.
22	DATED: 10/20/15 Stransandard
23	SUSAN SAYLOR Registrar/Executive Officer
24	Structural Pest Control Board Department of Consumer Affairs
25	State of California Complainant
26	
27	SA2015105327 12007656.doc
28	
	19
	(GEORGE WEBB TERMITE & PEST CONTROL) ACCUSATION

۲

.

.