

BEFORE THE  
STRUCTURAL PEST CONTROL BOARD  
DEPARTMENT OF PESTICIDE REGULATION  
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

**STORMIES PEST CONTROL**  
**FRANK A. MARSHALL, Owner/QM**  
4119 Don Jose Drive  
Los Angeles, California 90008

Company Registration No. PR 2212,  
Branches 1 and 3  
Operator License No. OPR 7983,  
Branches 1 and 3  
Branch Office No. BR 5066

Respondent.

Case No. 2009-61

OAH No. L-2010090092

DECISION AND ORDER

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted by the Structural Pest Control Board, Department of Pesticide Regulation, as its Decision in this matter.

This Decision shall become effective on August 26, 2011.

It is so ORDERED July 27, 2011.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
FOR THE STRUCTURAL PEST CONTROL BOARD  
DEPARTMENT OF PESTICIDE REGULATION

1 KAMALA D. HARRIS  
Attorney General of California  
2 GREGORY J. SALUTE  
Supervising Deputy Attorney General  
3 ALVARO MEJIA  
Deputy Attorney General  
4 State Bar No. 216956  
300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702  
5 Los Angeles, CA 90013  
Telephone: (213) 897-0083  
6 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804  
*Attorneys for Complainant*

7  
8 **BEFORE THE**  
**STRUCTURAL PEST CONTROL BOARD**  
9 **DEPARTMENT OF PESTICIDE REGULATION**  
**STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

10 In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Case No. 2009-61

11 **STORMIES PEST CONTROL**  
12 **FRANK A. MARSHALL, Owner/QM**  
4119 Don Jose Drive  
13 Los Angeles, California 90008

OAH No. L-2010090092

**STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND  
DISCIPLINARY ORDER**

14 Company Registration No. PR 2212,  
Branches 1 and 3  
15 Operator License No. OPR 7983,  
Branches 1 and 3  
16 Branch Office No. BR 5066

17 Respondent.

18  
19 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the above-  
20 entitled proceedings that the following matters are true:

21 **PARTIES**

22 1. Kelli Okuma ("Complainant") is the Registrar/Executive Officer of the Structural  
23 Pest Control Board. She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is represented in  
24 this matter by Kamala D. Harris, Attorney General of the State of California, by Alvaro Mejia,  
25 Deputy Attorney General.

26 2. Respondent Stormies Pest Control, Frank A. Marshall, owner and Qualifying  
27 Manager ("Respondent") is represented in this proceeding by attorney Nelson L. Atkins, whose  
28 address is: 3699 Wilshire Blvd, Suite 890, Los Angeles, CA 90010.



1 March 11, 2010. A copy of First Supplemental Accusation No. 2009-61 is attached as exhibit B  
2 and incorporated herein by reference.

3 **ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS**

4 7. Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the  
5 charges and allegations in Accusation No. 2009-61 and First Supplemental Accusation No.  
6 2009-61. Respondent has also carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the  
7 effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.

8 8. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a  
9 hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation and First Supplemental Accusation; the  
10 right to be represented by counsel at his own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the  
11 witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to  
12 the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of  
13 documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other  
14 rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.

15 9. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and  
16 every right set forth above.

17 **CULPABILITY**

18 10. Respondent admits the truth of each and every charge and allegation in Accusation  
19 No. 2009-61 and First Supplemental Accusation No. 2009-61.

20 11. Respondent agrees that his Company Registration No. PR 2212, Branch Office No.  
21 BR 5066, and Operator License No. OPR 7983 are subject to discipline and agrees to be bound by  
22 the Structural Pest Control Board's probationary terms as set forth in the Disciplinary Order  
23 below.

24 **CONTINGENCY**

25 12. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Structural Pest Control Board.  
26 Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Structural  
27 Pest Control Board may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and  
28 settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent or his counsel. By signing the

1 stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek  
2 to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails  
3 to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary  
4 Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal  
5 action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having  
6 considered this matter.

7 13. The parties understand and agree that facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement  
8 and Disciplinary Order, including facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and  
9 effect as the originals.

10 14. This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is intended by the parties to be an  
11 integrated writing representing the complete, final, and exclusive embodiment of their agreement.  
12 It supersedes any and all prior or contemporaneous agreements, understandings, discussions,  
13 negotiations, and commitments (written or oral). This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary  
14 Order may not be altered, amended, modified, supplemented, or otherwise changed except by a  
15 writing executed by an authorized representative of each of the parties.

16 15. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that  
17 the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following  
18 Disciplinary Order:

19 **DISCIPLINARY ORDER**

20 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Company Registration No. PR 2212, in Branches 1 and 3,  
21 Branch Office No. BR 5066, and Operator's License No. OPR 7983, in Branches 1 and 3 issued  
22 to Frank A. Marshall, owner and Qualifying Manager ("Respondent") are revoked. However, the  
23 revocation is stayed and Respondent is placed on probation for three (3) years on the following  
24 terms and conditions.

25 **Actual Suspension.** Respondent's Company Registration No. PR 2212, in Branches 1 and  
26 3, Branch Office No. BR 5066, and Operator's License No. OPR 7983, in Branches 1 and 3 are  
27 suspended for 20 consecutive days.

28 ///

1           1.   **Obey All Laws.** Respondent shall obey all laws and rules relating to the practice of  
2 structural pest control.

3           2.   **Quarterly Reports.** Respondent shall file quarterly reports with the Board during  
4 the period of probation.

5           3.   **Tolling of Probation.** Should Respondent leave California to reside outside this  
6 state, Respondent must notify the Board in writing of the dates of departure and return. Periods  
7 of residency or practice outside the state shall not apply to reduction of the probationary period.

8           4.   **Notice to Employees.** Respondent shall, upon or before the effective date of this  
9 decision, post or circulate a notice to all employees involved in structural pest control operations  
10 which accurately recite the terms and conditions of probation. Respondent shall be responsible  
11 for said notice being immediately available to said employees. "Employees" as used in this  
12 provision includes all full-time, part-time, temporary and relief employees and independent  
13 contractors employed or hired at any time during probation.

14           5.   **Posted Notice of Suspension.** Respondent structural pest control company shall  
15 prominently post a suspension notice provided by the Board of the Board's order of suspension at  
16 its principal office and each of its branch offices in a place conspicuous and readable to the  
17 public. Said notice shall remain so posted during the entire period of actual suspension.

18           6.   **Completion of Probation.** Upon successful completion of probation, Respondent's  
19 license/certificate will be fully restored.

20           7.   **Violation of Probation.** Should Respondent violate probation in any respect, the  
21 Board, after giving Respondent notice and an opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and  
22 carry out the disciplinary order which was stayed. If a petition to revoke probation is filed against  
23 Respondent during probation, the Board shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final,  
24 and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final.

25           8.   **Course Completion.** Respondent Frank A. Marshall shall successfully complete,  
26 within eighteen (18) months of the effective date of this decision, a Board approved course in  
27 fumigation safety.

28    ///

1 9. **Random Inspections.** Respondent shall reimburse the Board for one (1) random  
2 inspection per quarter by Board specialists during the period of probation not to exceed \$125.00  
3 per inspection.

4 10. **Inspection Fees.** Respondent shall pay to the registrar, or designee, an inspection fee  
5 of \$50.00 within thirty (30) days from the effective date of this decision.


6 11. **Cost Recovery.** Pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3,  
7 Respondent Frank A. Marshall shall pay to the Board its enforcement and investigative costs in  
8 the amount of \$10,000.00, which may be paid in monthly installment payments and which is to be  
9 paid in full by three months prior to the end of the probationary period.

10 ACCEPTANCE

11 I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and have fully  
12 discussed it with my attorney, Nelson L. Atkins. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will  
13 have on my Company Registration No. PR 2212, in Branches 1 and 3, Branch Office No.  
14 BR 5066, and Operator's License No. OPR 7983, in Branches 1 and 3. I enter into this Stipulated  
15 Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be  
16 bound by the Decision and Order of the Structural Pest Control Board.

17 DATED: 3/25/11   
18 Frank A. Marshall, Owner and Qualifying Manager  
19 Respondent

20 I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Frank A. Marshall the terms and  
21 conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.

22 I approve its form and content.  
23 DATED: 3-25-11   
24 Nelson L. Atkins, Esq.  
25 Attorney for Respondent

26 ///  
27 ///  
28 ///

ENDORSEMENT


1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Structural Pest Control Board of the Department of Pesticide Regulation.

Dated: June 13, 2011

Respectfully submitted,

KAMALA D. HARRIS  
Attorney General of California  
GREGORY J. SALUTE  
Supervising Deputy Attorney General



ALVARO MEJIA  
Deputy Attorney General  
*Attorneys for Complainant.*

DOJ Matter ID: LA2009900743  
50865896.doc

**Exhibit A**

**Accusation No. 2009-61**

1 EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General  
of the State of California  
2 ALFREDO TERRAZAS  
Senior Assistant Attorney General  
3 GREGORY J. SALUTE, State Bar No. 164015  
Supervising Deputy Attorney General  
4 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702  
Los Angeles, CA 90013  
5 Telephone: (213) 897-2520  
Facsimile: (213) 897-2804

6 Attorneys for Complainant  
7

**FILED**

Date 6/1/09 By Kelli Okuma

8 **BEFORE THE**  
9 **STRUCTURAL PEST CONTROL BOARD**  
10 **DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS**  
11 **STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

12 In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Case No. 2009-61

13 **STORMIES PEST CONTROL**  
14 **FRANK A. MARSHALL, Owner/QM**  
36 Centinela Avenue  
Inglewood, California 90302

**ACCUSATION**

15 Company Registration No. PR 2212, Br. 1 and 3  
16 Operator License No. OPR 7983, Br. 1 and 3  
Branch Office No. BR 5066

17 Respondent.

18 Kelli Okuma ("Complainant") alleges:

19 **PARTIES**

20 1. Complainant brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as the  
21 Registrar/Executive Officer of the Structural Pest Control Board ("Board"), Department of  
22 Consumer Affairs.

23 **LICENSE INFORMATION**

24 **Company Registration No. PR 2212**

25 2. On or about March 6, 1992, the Board issued Company Registration No.  
26 PR 2212 ("registration"), in Branches 1 and 3, to Stormies Pest Control, with Frank A. Marshall  
27 ("Respondent") as the owner and Qualifying Manager. On or about August 26, 2008, the  
28 registration was suspended pursuant to Business and Professions Code ("Code") section 8697

1 (failing to maintain a \$4,000 surety bond). On or about September 3, 2008, the registration was  
2 reinstated.

3 **Operator's License No. OPR 7983**

4 3. On or about December 8, 1987, the Board issued Operator's License  
5 No. OPR 7983 in Branches 1 and 3 to Respondent as the President and Qualifying Manager of  
6 Stormies Fum For Pest, Inc. On or about March 6, 1992, Respondent became the owner and  
7 Qualifying Manager for Stormies Pest Control. On or about December 2, 2005, Respondent  
8 became the Branch Office Supervisor for Stormies Pest Control, Branch Office No. BR 5066.  
9 The license will expire on June 30, 2011, unless renewed.

10 **Branch Office No. BR 5066**

11 4. On or about December 2, 2005, the Board issued Branch Office  
12 Registration No. BR 5066 to Stormies Pest Control with Respondent as the Branch Office  
13 Supervisor.

14 **JURISDICTION**

15 5. Code section 8620 provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may suspend  
16 or revoke a license when it finds that the holder, while a licensee or applicant, has committed any  
17 acts or omissions constituting cause for disciplinary action or in lieu of a suspension may assess a  
18 civil penalty.

19 6. Code section 8624 states:

20 If the board suspends or revokes an operator's license and one or more  
21 branch offices are registered under the name of the operator, the suspension or  
22 revocation may be applied to each branch office.

23 If the operator is the qualifying manager, a partner, responsible officer, or  
24 owner of a registered structural pest control company, the suspension or  
25 revocation may be applied to the company registration.

26 The performance by any partnership, corporation, firm, association, or  
27 registered company of any act or omission constituting a cause for disciplinary  
28 action, likewise constitutes a cause for disciplinary action against any licensee  
who, at the time the act or omission occurred, was the qualifying manager, a  
partner, responsible officer, or owner of the partnership, corporation, firm,  
association, or registered company whether or not he or she had knowledge of, or  
participated in, the prohibited act or omission.

///

1 7. Code section 8625 states:

2 The lapsing or suspension of a license or company registration by  
3 operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, or the  
4 voluntary surrender of a license or company registration shall not deprive the  
5 board of jurisdiction to proceed with any investigation of or action or disciplinary  
6 proceeding against such licensee or company, or to render a decision suspending  
7 or revoking such license or registration.

8 8. Code section 8622 states:

9 When a complaint is accepted for investigation of a registered company,  
10 the board, through an authorized representative, may inspect any or all properties  
11 on which a report has been issued pursuant to Section 8516 or a notice of  
12 completion has been issued pursuant to Section 8518 by the registered company to  
13 determine compliance with the provisions of this chapter and the rules and  
14 regulations issued thereunder. If the board determines the property or properties  
15 are not in compliance, a notice shall be sent to the registered company so stating.  
16 The registered company shall have 30 days from the receipt of the notice to bring  
17 such property into compliance, and it shall submit a new original report or  
18 completion notice or both and an inspection fee of not more than one hundred  
19 twenty-five dollars (\$125) for each property inspected. If a subsequent  
20 reinspection is necessary, pursuant to the board's review of the new original report  
21 or notice or both, a commensurate reinspection fee shall also be charged. If the  
22 board's authorized representative makes no determination or determines the  
23 property is in compliance, no inspection fee shall be charged.

24 The notice sent to the registered company shall inform the registered  
25 company that if it desires a hearing to contest the finding of noncompliance, the  
26 hearing shall be requested by written notice to the board within 20 days of receipt  
27 of the notice of noncompliance from the board. Where a hearing is not requested  
28 pursuant to this section, payment of any assessment shall not constitute an  
admission of any noncompliance charged.

### STATUTORY PROVISIONS

9. Code section 8646 states:

“Disregard and violation of pesticide use and application, structural pest control  
device, fumigation, or extermination laws of the state or of any of its political subdivisions, or  
regulations adopted pursuant to those laws, is a ground for disciplinary action.”

///

///

///

///

///

///



1 15. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1974 states:

2 Prior to commencement of fumigation, warning signs which comply with  
3 the provisions of sections 8505.9 and 8505.10 (see Form 43M-15 (New 8/93))  
4 shall be posted. Such warning signs shall be at least 11" x 15".

5 (a) Prior to sealing a structure which is to be fumigated, fumigation  
6 warning signs shall be posted on the structure at or near all entrances and on each  
7 side of the structure and kept at those locations until the structure is declared to be  
8 safe for re-occupancy by the licensee exercising direct and personal supervision  
9 over the fumigation.

10 (b) Fumigation warning signs shall also be placed on the outside surface of  
11 the tarps used to seal the structure and shall be clearly visible on all accessible  
12 sides of the space under fumigation and from any direction from which the site  
13 may be approached.

14 Additional fumigation warnings signs shall be posted at all joint seams of  
15 the tarp at the first floor level.

#### 16 COST RECOVERY

17 16. Code section 125.3 states, in pertinent part, that a Board may request the  
18 administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations  
19 of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and  
20 enforcement of the case.

#### 21 638 WEST 108<sup>TH</sup> STREET, LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

22 17. On or about April 16, 2008, inspector Abduraham Bakri ("Bakri") of the  
23 Los Angeles County Agricultural Commissioner/Weights and Measures Department inspected a  
24 property that had been fumigated by Respondent, located at 638 West 108<sup>th</sup> Street, Los Angeles,  
25 California. During the inspection, Bakri found only one warning sign placed on the structure  
26 (backside of the West corner), and no signs on the other corners, seams, or sides of the structure.  
27 Bakri issued Notice of Violation No. V100-022-07-08 to Respondent for violating Code section  
28 8505.10 (failure to include the date and time the fumigant was injected into the structure on the  
warning sign), and California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1974 (failure to post  
fumigation warning signs on the structure at or near all entrances and on each side of the

///

///

///

1 structure). It was also discovered that Respondent violated California Code of Regulations,  
2 title 16, section 1970(a) (failure to record the weight of each fumigant cylinder before the  
3 introduction of gas)<sup>1</sup>.

4 **FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

5 **(Failure to Post Warning Signs Containing Proper Information)**

6 18. Respondent's company registration and operator license are subject to  
7 discipline under Code section 8646, in that on or about April 16, 2008, concerning the property  
8 located at 638 West 108<sup>th</sup> Street, Los Angeles, California, Respondent failed to comply with  
9 Code section 8505.10, in that he failed to include the required information on the warning signs,  
10 as more particularly set forth above in paragraph 17.

11 **SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

12 **(Failure to Post Warning Signs at Entrances)**

13 19. Respondent's company registration and operator license are subject to  
14 discipline under Code section 8646, in that on or about April 16, 2008, concerning the property  
15 located at 638 West 108<sup>th</sup> Street, Los Angeles, California, Respondent failed to comply with  
16 California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1974(a) and (b), in that he failed to post warning  
17 signs on the structure at or near all the entrances on each side of the structure, as more  
18 particularly set forth above in paragraph 17.

19 ///

20 ///

21 ///

22 ///

23 ///

24 ///

25

26

27 1. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1970(a) violation was discovered by the  
28 Board on August 28, 2008, upon review of Notice of Violation No. V100-022-07-08.  
Therefore, it was not included on Notice of Violation No. V100-022-07-08 that had been issued  
to the Respondent on April 16, 2008.

1 THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

2 (Failure to Comply with Regulations Adopted by the Board  
3 - Standards and Record Requirements)

4 20. Respondent's company registration and operator license are subject to  
5 discipline under Code section 8646, in that on or about April 16, 2008, concerning the property  
6 located at 638 West 108<sup>th</sup> Street, Los Angeles, California, he failed to comply with California  
7 Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1970(a), by failing to record the weight of the fumigant  
8 cylinder prior to the introduction of gas into the structure on the Standard Structural Fumigation  
9 Log, as more particularly set forth above in paragraph 17.

10 UNDERCOVER AERATION INSPECTION

11 21. On or about April 17, 2008, Juan Limon and Steve Rawald of the Los  
12 Angeles County Agricultural Commissioner/Weights and Measures Department conducted an  
13 undercover aeration inspection at 27011 Diamondhead Lane, Rancho Palos Verdes, California.  
14 During the inspection, it was determined that Respondent violated California Code of  
15 Regulations, title 3, sections 6780(b)(1) (failing to use a Self Contained Breathing Apparatus  
16 while inside the fumigated structure, and while opening the seam of the fumigated structure);  
17 6780(c) (failing to perform the Tarpaulin Removal Aeration Procedure); and 6600(b) (failing to  
18 open the garage of the structure to perform the aeration procedure). Respondent was issued  
19 Notice of Violation No. V080-015-07-08.

20 FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

21 (Failure to Comply with the Use of Insecticides)

22 22. Respondent's company registration and operator license are subject to  
23 discipline under Code section 8647, in that on or about April 17, 2008, concerning the property  
24 located at 27011 Diamondhead Lane, Rancho Palos Verdes, California, Respondent failed to  
25 comply with California Code of Regulations, title 3, sections 6780(b), 6780(c), and 6600(b), by  
26 failing to use safety equipment and failing to follow aeration procedures, as more particularly set  
27 forth above in paragraph 21.

28 ///

1 **RECORDS INSPECTION**

2 23. On or about April 30, 2008, Bakri performed a records inspection at  
3 Respondent's office located at 1336 Centinela Avenue, Inglewood, California. During the  
4 inspection, Bakri found that Respondent failed to record the beginning and ending weights of  
5 fumigant cylinders prior to and after the release of fumigant on seven (7) Standard Structural  
6 Fumigation Logs in November 2007, and on eight (8) Standard Structural Fumigation Logs in  
7 December 2007, as more particularly set forth below in paragraph 24. Notice of Violation  
8 No. V100-024-07-08 was issued to Respondent for violating California Code of Regulations,  
9 title 16, section 1970(a) (failure to record the weight of each fumigant cylinder before the  
10 introduction of gas).

11 **FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

12 **(Failure to Comply with Regulations Adopted by the Board**  
13 **- Standards and Record Requirements)**

14 24. Respondent's company registration and operator license are subject to  
15 discipline under Code section 8646, in that between November 13, 2007, and  
16 December 21, 2007, he failed to comply with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section  
17 1970(a), by failing to record the weight of each fumigant cylinder prior to the introduction of gas  
18 into the structure on the Standard Structural Fumigation Logs at the following properties:

<u>Street Address</u>	<u>City</u>	<u>Date of Service</u>
213 West 110 <sup>th</sup> Street	Los Angeles	11/13/07
9127 Hass Avenue	Los Angeles	11/15/07
1769 West 36 <sup>th</sup> Place	Los Angeles	11/15/07
1313 West 99 <sup>th</sup> Street	Los Angeles	11/16/07
2708 Indiana Street	Southgate	11/17/07
554 West 104 <sup>th</sup> Street	Los Angeles	11/28/07
14003 Zamora Street	Los Angeles	11/28/07
408 West 64 <sup>th</sup> Place	Inglewood	12/3/07
1710 Garth Avenue	Los Angeles	12/10/07
3330 West 135 <sup>th</sup> Street	Hawthorne	12/11/07
16231 Harwill Avenue	Carson	12/11/07
143 East 47 <sup>th</sup> Street	Los Angeles	12/17/07
17700 south Avalon, Sp.251	Carson	12/17/07
5415 2 <sup>nd</sup> Avenue	Los Angeles	12/20/07
4256 South Western Avenue	Los Angeles	12/21/07

27  
28 ///

PRIOR DISCIPLINE

Company Registration Certificate No. PR 2212 - Fines

Date	Description	Fine Amount
July 30, 1992	Fine levied by Los Angeles County Agricultural Commissioner for violating Food and Agriculture Code section 12973	\$100
July 28, 1993	Fine levied by Los Angeles County Agricultural Commissioner for violating Business and Professions Code section 15204	\$100
October 26, 1993	Fine levied by Los Angeles County Agricultural Commissioner for violating California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1970.3 and Business and Professions Code section 8505.10	\$350
March 3, 1997	Fine levied by Los Angeles County Agricultural Commissioner for violating Business and Professions Code section 8698.1	\$50
April 16, 1997	Fine levied by Los Angeles County Agricultural Commissioner for violating Business and Professions Code section 8505.10	\$150
January 27, 1998	Fine levied by Los Angeles County Agricultural Commissioner for violating California Code of Regulations, title 3, section 6780(c)	\$200
August 12, 1998	Fine levied by Los Angeles County Agricultural Commissioner for violating Business and Professions Code section 8698.1	\$100
August 14, 1998	Fine levied by Los Angeles County Agricultural Commissioner for violating California Code of Regulations, title 3, section 6674	\$50
January 15, 1999	Fine levied by Los Angeles County Agricultural Commissioner for violating California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1974(b)	\$50
October 17, 2000	Fine levied by Los Angeles County Agricultural Commissioner for violating California Code of Regulations, title 3, sections 6602 and 6780, and Business and Professions Code section 8505.15	\$400
September 6, 2001	Fine levied by Los Angeles County Agricultural Commissioner for violating Business and Professions Code section 8505.15	\$200
November 5, 2002	Fine levied by Los Angeles County Agricultural Commissioner for violating Business and Professions Code section 8698.1	\$50
February 4, 2003	Fine levied by Los Angeles County Agricultural Commissioner for violating California Code of Regulations, title 3, sections 6726 and 6734	\$201

1	January 12, 2004	Fine levied by Orange County Agricultural Commissioner for violating Business and Professions Code section 8505.17	\$50
2			
3	September 16, 2004	Fine levied by Orange County Agricultural Commissioner for violating Business and Professions Code section 8505.17	\$100
4			
5	February 8, 2005	Fine levied by Los Angeles County Agricultural Commissioner for violating Business and Professions Code section 8505.10	\$50
6			
7	February 14, 2006	Fine levied by the Structural Pest Control Board for violating Business and Professions Code sections 8516 and 8518	\$7,500
8			
9	March 13, 2007	Fine levied by Los Angeles County Agricultural Commissioner for violating Business and Professions Code section 8505.10	\$50
10			
11	April 14, 2008	Fine levied by Los Angeles County Agricultural Commissioner for violating Business and Professions Code section 8505.15	\$500
12			

13 **Operator's License No. OPR 7983 - Fines**

14	Date	Description	Fine Amount
15	October 26, 1993	Fine levied by Los Angeles County Agricultural Commissioner for violating Business and Professions Code section 8505.10, and California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1970.3	\$350
16			
17	February 14, 2006	Fine levied by the Structural Pest Control Board for violating Business and Professions Code sections 8516 and 8518	\$7,500
18			
19	October 18, 2007	Fine levied by San Diego County Agricultural Commissioner for violating Business and Professions Code section 8505.3	\$700
20			
21	February 25, 2008	Fine levied by Los Angeles County Agricultural Commissioner for violating California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1973	\$700
22			

23 **Accusation No. 910**

24           25.     On or about September 13, 1980, in a disciplinary action entitled "*In the*  
25 *Matter of the Accusation Against Stormies Fum For Pest, BGM Exterminators, and Frank*  
26 *Anderson Marshall*", Case No. 910, a copy of which is attached hereto as **Exhibit A**, the Board  
27 issued a decision ordering that Respondent's Operator License Numbers 4460 and 5161, and  
28 Field Representative's License Number 4447, be suspended for 180 days. However, the

1 execution of the suspensions were stayed for three (3) years, and Respondent was placed on three  
2 (3) years probation under terms and conditions.

3 Accusation No. 84-02 and 85-25

4 26. On or about November 4, 1986, in a disciplinary action entitled "*In the*  
5 *Matter of the Accusation Against Stormies Fum For Pest, Stormies Fume for Pest, Inc. dba BGM*  
6 *Exterminators, and Frank Anderson Marshall*", Case No(s). 84-02 and 85-25, a copy of which is  
7 attached hereto as **Exhibit B**, the Board issued a decision ordering that Respondent's Operator  
8 License Numbers OA 6340 and OA 6617, and Field Representative's License Number RC 4447,  
9 be revoked. However, the revocations were stayed, and Respondent was placed on three (3)  
10 years probation under terms and conditions.

11 Accusation No. 89-33

12 27. On or about March 5, 1993, in a disciplinary action entitled "*In the Matter*  
13 *of the Accusation Against Stormies Fum For Pest, Inc. and Frank Anderson Marshall*", Case  
14 No. 89-33, a copy of which is attached hereto as **Exhibit C**, the Board issued a decision ordering  
15 that Respondent's Company Registration Certificate PR 946 and Respondent's Operator's  
16 License Number OPR 7983, be revoked. However, the revocations were stayed, and Respondent  
17 was placed on three (3) years probation under terms and conditions.

18 OTHER MATTERS

19 28. Notice is hereby given that section 8620 of the Code provides, in pertinent  
20 part, that a respondent may request that a civil penalty of not more than \$5,000 be assessed in  
21 lieu of an actual suspension of 1 to 19 days, or not more than \$10,000 for an actual suspension of  
22 20 to 45 days. Such request must be made at the time of the hearing and must be noted in the  
23 proposed decision. The proposed decision shall not provide that a civil penalty shall be imposed  
24 in lieu of a suspension.

25 29. Pursuant to Code section 8624, the causes for discipline established as to  
26 Company Registration Certificate Number PR-2212, issued to Stormies Pest Control, likewise  
27 constitute cause for discipline against Operator's License Number OPR 7983, issued to Frank A.  
28 Marshall, who served as the Qualifying Manager of Stormies Pest Control, regardless of whether



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

5. Prohibiting Frank A. Marshall from serving as an officer, director, associate, partner, qualifying manager or responsible managing employee of any registered company during the period that discipline is imposed on Company Registration Certificate Number PR 2212, issued to Stormies Pest Control;

6. Ordering Frank A. Marshall to pay the Structural Pest Control Board the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3; and,

7. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: 6/1/09

Kelli Okuma  
KELLI OKUMA  
Registrar  
Structural Pest Control Board  
Department of Consumer Affairs  
State of California  
Complainant

LA2009900743  
Accusation (kdg) 4/14/09

**Exhibit B**

**First Supplemental Accusation No. 2009-61**

1 EDMUND G. BROWN JR.  
Attorney General of California  
2 GREGORY J. SALUTE  
Supervising Deputy Attorney General  
3 ALVARO MEJIA  
Deputy Attorney General  
4 State Bar No. 216956  
300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702  
5 Los Angeles, CA 90013  
Telephone: (213) 897-0083  
6 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804  
*Attorneys for Complainant*

**FILED**

Date 3/8/10

By *Kelli Okuma*

7 **BEFORE THE**  
8 **STRUCTURAL PEST CONTROL BOARD**  
9 **DEPARTMENT OF PESTICIDE REGULATION**  
10 **STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

11 In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Case No. 2009-61

12 **STORMIES PEST CONTROL**  
13 **FRANK A. MARSHALL, Owner/QM**  
36 Centinela Avenue  
Inglewood, California 90302

**FIRST SUPPLEMENTAL**  
**ACCUSATION**

14 Company Registration No. PR 2212,  
Branches 1 and 3  
15 Operator License No. OPR 7983,  
Branches 1 and 3  
16 Branch Office No. BR 5066

17 Respondent.

18  
19  
20 Kelli Okuma, for further causes for discipline, alleges:

21 1. Kelli Okuma (Complainant) makes and files this first supplemental accusation in her  
22 official capacity as the Registrar/Executive Officer of the Structural Pest Control Board,  
23 Department of Pesticide Regulation.

24 2. The allegations of paragraphs 2 through 16 of the Accusation heretofore filed are  
25 realleged and incorporated herein by reference as if fully set forth.

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

REGULATORY PROVISIONS

3. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1970 states:

For the purpose of maintaining proper standards of safety and the establishment of responsibility in handling the dangerous gases used in fumigation and the pesticides used in other pest control operations, a registered company shall compile and retain for a period of at least three years, a log for each fumigation job and for each pesticide control operation in which a pesticide is used by the registered company or the registered company's employee. If the fumigation is to be performed by a fumigation subcontractor, the subcontractor shall complete the fumigation log and forward a copy of the log to the primary contractor within ten business days.

(a) The log (See Form 43M-47 (Rev. 5/07) at the end of this section) for each fumigation job shall contain the following information:  
Name, address and company registration certificate number of prime contractor.  
Name, address and company registration certificate number of subcontractor, if any.

Address of property.

Date of fumigation.

Name and address of owner or his or her agent.

Date and hour fire department was notified pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 8505.5.

Date and hour county agricultural commissioner was notified and method of notification, where required.

Property description including type of structure as to details of roofing, walls, and the presence of construction elements, conduits, drains, air ducts, or vacuum systems that could allow the passage of fumigant from the structure to be fumigated to any adjacent or adjoining structure(s), thereby connecting them, and method(s) used to prevent passage of the fumigant.

Cubic feet fumigated.

Target pest(s).

Kind of fumigant(s) used.

United States Environmental Protection Agency registration number(s) of fumigant(s).

Name of warning agent and amount used.

Type of sealing method used.

Weather conditions as to temperature and wind.

Date and hour fumigant introduced.

Cylinder number of each fumigant used.

Weight of each fumigant cylinder before introduction of gas.

Pounds of fumigant used from each cylinder.

Total pounds of fumigant used.

List of any extraordinary safety precautions taken.

Name, signature and license number of operator or field representative releasing fumigant.

First name and surname of crew when fumigant was released, when aeration commenced and when the property was released for occupancy.

1 Indication of whether or not safety equipment was available at the fumigation site at  
2 the time the fumigant was introduced, when ventilation commenced and when the property  
was released for occupancy.

3 Date and hour aeration commenced.

4 Conditions of tarp and seal.

5 Name, signature and license number of operator or field representative commencing  
ventilation.

6 Type of device(s) used to test for re-entry.

7 Date and hour ready for occupancy.

8 Name, signature and license number of operator or field representative releasing  
property for occupancy.

9 Method used to calculate amount of fumigant used.

10 Factors used in calculation of fumigant.

11 Special notes or comments pertinent to fumigation.

12 .....

13 4. California Code of Regulations, title 3, section 6739 states, in pertinent part:

14 (a) General Requirements.

15 (1) The employer shall assure that:

16 (A) Employees use approved respiratory equipment in compliance with this  
17 regulation when handling pesticides where respirators are required by label, restricted  
18 material permit condition, or regulation.

19 .....

20 (e) Fit Testing. The employer shall assure that employees using a tight-fitting  
21 facepiece respirator pass an appropriate qualitative fit test (QLFT) or quantitative fit test  
(QNFT).

22 (1) The employer shall ensure that an employee using a tight-fitting facepiece  
23 respirator is fit tested before initial use of the respirator, whenever a different respirator  
24 facepiece (size, style, model or make) is used, and at least annually thereafter.

25 .....

26 (i) Storage of Emergency Respirators. Emergency respirators shall be:

27 (1) Stored immediately accessible to the work area.

28 (2) Stored in compartments or in covers that are clearly marked as containing  
emergency respirators.

.....

(j) Inspection and Repair.

(1) The employer shall ensure that all respirators are inspected before each use and  
during cleaning, and that:

.....

1 (B) Emergency-use respirators are also inspected at least monthly according to the  
2 routine-use inspection criteria, and in accordance with the manufacturer's  
3 recommendations. For escape-only respirators, also conduct inspection before being  
4 brought into the workplace for use. For both emergency use and escape-only respirators,  
5 inspections shall include the following:

- 6 1. A check for proper function before and after each use;
- 7 2. Certify by documenting the date the inspection was performed, the name (or  
8 signature) of the person who made the inspection, the findings, required remedial action,  
9 and a serial number or other means of identifying the inspected respirator; and that this  
10 information is included on a tag or label that is attached to the storage compartment for the  
11 respirator or is kept with the respirator. This information shall be maintained until replaced  
12 following a subsequent certification;

13 . . . . .  
14 (p) Recordkeeping. The employer shall retain written information regarding medical  
15 recommendations, fit testing, and the respirator program.

16 (1) Records required by this section shall be maintained while the employee is  
17 required to use respiratory protection and for three years after the end of employment  
18 conditions requiring respiratory protection and shall be available for inspection by the  
19 employee, the Director, or commissioner.

20 (2) Fit testing.

21 (A) The employer shall establish a record of the qualitative and quantitative fit tests  
22 administered to an employee including:

- 23 1. The name or identification of the employee tested;
- 24 2. Type of fit test performed;
- 25 3. Specific make, model, style, and size of respirator tested;
- 26 4. Date of test; and
- 27 5. The pass/fail results for qualitative fit testing or the fit factor and strip chart  
28 recording or other recording of the test results for QNFTs.

### RECORDS INSPECTION

21 5. On or about March 10, 2009, inspector Abduraham Bakri (Bakri) of the Los Angeles  
22 County Agricultural Commission/Weights and Measures Department performed a records  
23 inspection at Respondent's office located at 1336 Centinela Avenue, Inglewood, California.  
24 During the inspection, Bakri found that Respondent failed to record the correct information in the  
25 "under seal" section on eleven (11) Standard Structural Fumigation Logs in April 2008, as more  
26 particularly set forth below in paragraph 6. Inspector Bakri further found that Respondent had  
27 failed to keep and maintain respirator fit test records. Notice of Violation No. V100-010-08/09  
28 was issued to Respondent for violating California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1970,

1 subdivision (a) (failure to record proper information on fumigation logs) and violating California  
2 Code of Regulations, title 3, section 6739, subdivisions (e) and (p) (failure to keep respirator fit  
3 test records).

4 **SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

5 **(Failure to Comply with Regulations Adopted by the Board**  
6 **- Standards and Record Requirements)**

7 6. Respondent's company registration and operator license are subject to discipline  
8 under Code section 8646, in that in or about April 2008, he failed to comply with California Code  
9 of Regulations, title 16, section 1970(a), by failing to record the proper information in the "under  
10 seal" section on the Standard Structural Fumigation Logs at the following properties:

Street Address	City	Date of Service
1030 West 103 <sup>rd</sup> Street	Los Angeles	04/08/2008
4186 Sutro Avenue	Los Angeles	04/26/2008
3857 Fairway Blvd.	Los Angeles	04/21/2008
2015 North Kalsman Avenue	Compton	04/28/2008
570 East Hillsdale Street	Inglewood	04/24/2008
1000 South Isabella Avenue	Monterey Park	04/18/2008
4568(A)&(B) West 131 <sup>st</sup> Steet	Hawthorne	04/17/2008
569 East Hillsdale Street	Inglewood	04/17/2008
638 West 108 <sup>th</sup> Street	Los Angeles	04/16/2008
1205 South Grandee Avenue	Compton	04/26/2008
27011 Diamondhead Lane	Rancho Palos Verdes	04/16/2008

18  
19 **SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

20 **(Failure to Comply with Regulations Adopted by the Board**  
21 **- Standards and Record Requirements)**

22 7. Respondent's company registration and operator license are subject to discipline  
23 under Code section 8646, in that in or about April 2008, he failed to comply with California Code  
24 of Regulations, title 3, section 6739, subdivisions (e) and (p), by failing to keep and maintain  
25 respirator fit test records, as more fully set forth in paragraph 5, above.

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1622 WEST GAGE AVENUE

1  
2 8. On or about April 2, 2009, inspector Bakri of the Los Angeles County Agricultural  
3 Commission/Weights and Measures Department performed a fumigation site inspection at 1622  
4 West Gage Avenue in the city of Los Angeles. Respondent's fumigation crew was removing  
5 tarpaulins from the structure after fumigation. Inspector Bakri performed a truck inspection of  
6 Respondent's fumigation rig. During the inspection, Bakri found that Respondent failed to ensure  
7 that the required self contained breathing apparatus (SCBA) were stored in compartments or in  
8 covers that are clearly marked as containing emergency respirators. Inspector Bakri further found  
9 that Respondent had failed to keep and maintain inspections logs for the emergency SCBA.  
10 Notice of Violation No. V100-011-08/09 was issued to Respondent for violating California Code  
11 of Regulations, title 3, section 6739, subdivision (i)(2) (failure to properly mark emergency  
12 SCBA compartments) and section 6739, subdivision (j)(1)(B)(2) (failure to keep and provide  
13 inspection logs for emergency SCBA).

14 EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

15 **(Failure to Comply with Regulations Adopted by the Board)**

16 9. Respondent's company registration and operator license are subject to discipline  
17 under Code section 8646, in that in or about April 2009, he failed to comply with California Code  
18 of Regulations, title 3, section 6739, subdivision (e)(2), by failing to properly mark emergency  
19 SCBA compartments, as more fully set forth in paragraph 8, above.

20 NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

21 **(Failure to Comply with Regulations Adopted by the Board)**

22 10. Respondent's company registration and operator license are subject to discipline  
23 under Code section 8646, in that in or about April 2009, he failed to comply with California Code  
24 of Regulations, title 3, section 6739, subdivision (j)(1)(B)(2), by failing to keep and provide  
25 inspection logs for emergency SCBA, as more fully set forth in paragraph 8, above.

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

OTHER MATTERS

1  
2 11. Notice is hereby given that section 8620 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that a  
3 respondent may request that a civil penalty of not more than \$5,000 be assessed in lieu of an  
4 actual suspension of 1 to 19 days, or not more than \$10,000 for an actual suspension of 20 to 45  
5 days. Such request must be made at the time of the hearing and must be noted in the proposed  
6 decision. The proposed decision shall not provide that a civil penalty shall be imposed in lieu of a  
7 suspension.

8 12. Pursuant to Code section 8624, the causes for discipline established as to Company  
9 Registration Certificate Number PR 2212, issued to Stormies Pest Control, likewise constitute  
10 cause for discipline against Operator's License Number OPR 7983, issued to Frank A. Marshall,  
11 who served as the Qualifying Manager of Stormies Pest Control, regardless of whether Frank A.  
12 Marshall had knowledge of or participated in the acts or omissions which constitute cause for  
13 discipline against Stormies Pest Control.

14 13. Pursuant to Code section 8654, if discipline is imposed on Company Registration  
15 Certificate Number PR 2212, issued to Stormies Pest Control, then Frank A. Marshall shall be  
16 prohibited from serving as an officer, director, associate, partner, qualifying manager, or  
17 responsible managing employee for any registered company during the time the discipline is  
18 imposed, and any registered company which employs, elects, or associates Frank A. Marshall  
19 shall be subject to disciplinary action.

20 14. Code section 8622 provides, in pertinent part, that respondent shall submit an  
21 inspection fee of not more than \$125. If a reinspection is necessary, a commensurate reinspection  
22 fee shall be charged.

PRAYER

23  
24 **WHEREFORE**, Complainant requests that this first supplemental accusation be heard at  
25 the same time and place as the accusation, and that following the hearing, the Structural Pest  
26 Control Board issue a decision:

27 1. Revoking or suspending Company Registration Certificate Number PR 2212, issued  
28 to Stormies Pest Control;

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

2. Revoking or suspending Operator's License Number OPR 7983, issued to Frank A. Marshall;
3. Revoking or suspending Branch Office Number BR 5066, issued to Stormies Pest Control;
4. Revoking or suspending any other license for which Frank A. Marshall is furnishing the qualifying experience or appearance;
5. Prohibiting Frank A. Marshall from serving as an officer, director, associate, partner, qualifying manager or responsible managing employee of any registered company during the period that discipline is imposed on Company Registration Certificate Number PR 2212, issued to Stormies Pest Control;
6. Ordering Frank A. Marshall to pay the Structural Pest Control Board the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3; and,
7. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: 3/8/10

*Kelli Okuma*  
KELLI OKUMA  
Registrar/Executive Officer  
Structural Pest Control Board  
Department of Pesticide Regulation  
State of California  
*Complainant*

DOJ Matter ID: LA2009900743  
50595667.doc