# BEFORE THE STRUCTURAL PEST CONTROL BOARD DEPARTMENT OF PESTICIDE REGULATION STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 2010-81
	OAH Case No. 2010120772
PINNACLE PEST CONTROL, INC.	

Jaime Lopez, President and Qualifying Manager 600 Broadway, Suite E Sacramento, California 95818

Company Registration Certificate No. PR 4379
Operator License No. OPR 9872

Respondents.

### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted by the Structural Pest Control Board, Department of Pesticide Regulation, as its Decision in this matter.

This Decision shall become effective on			November	13,	2011	·
It is so ORDERED	October 14,	2011				

FOR THE STRUCTURAL PEST CONTROL BOARD, DEPARTMENT OF PESTICIDE REGULATION

1	Kamala D. Harris				
٠	Attorney General of California				
2	ARTHUR D. TAGGART				
۱ ,	Supervising Deputy Attorney General				
3	STERLING A. SMITH				
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 84287				
7	1300 I Street, Suite 125				
5	P.O. Box 944255				
	Sacramento, CA 94244-2550				
6	Telephone: (916) 445-0378				
	Facsimile: (916) 327-8643				
7	Attorneys for Complainant				
8	REFOR	or the			
. 0	BEFORE THE STRUCTURAL PEST CONTROL BOARD				
9	DEPARTMENT OF PESTICIDE REGULATION				
	STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
10					
		0.10.01			
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 2010-81			
12	PINNACLE PEST CONTROL, INC.	O.A.H. Case No. 2010120772			
12	Jaime Lopez, President and Qualifying	O.A.11. Case No. 2010120772			
13	Manager 600 Broadway, Suite E	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND			
1.5	Sacramento, California 95818	DISCIPLINARY ORDER			
14					
	Company Registration Certificate No. PR				
		1			
. 15	4379				
	4379 Operator License No. OPR 9872				
16	4379 Operator License No. OPR 9872				
	4379				
16 17	4379 Operator License No. OPR 9872				
16	4379 Operator License No. OPR 9872				
16 17 18	4379 Operator License No. OPR 9872				
16 17	Operator License No. OPR 9872  Respondents.	REED by and between the parties to the above-			
16 17 18 19	Operator License No. OPR 9872  Respondents.	REED by and between the parties to the above-			
16 17 18	Operator License No. OPR 9872  Respondents.  IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AG				
16 17 18 19	Operator License No. OPR 9872  Respondents.  IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AG entitled proceedings that the following matters a	are true:			
16 17 18 19 20 21	Operator License No. OPR 9872  Respondents.  IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AG entitled proceedings that the following matters a PAI				
16 17 18 19 20	Operator License No. OPR 9872  Respondents.  IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AG entitled proceedings that the following matters a PA	ere true:  RTIES			
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Operator License No. OPR 9872  Respondents.  IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGE entitled proceedings that the following matters at PAI  1. William H. Douglas (Complainant)	are true:			
16 17 18 19 20 21	Operator License No. OPR 9872  Respondents.  IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AG entitled proceedings that the following matters a PAI  1. William H. Douglas (Complainant)	are true:  RTIES  is the Interim Registrar/Executive Officer of the			
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A379 Operator License No. OPR 9872  Respondents.  IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AG entitled proceedings that the following matters a  PAI  William H. Douglas (Complainant) Structural Pest Control Board (Board) and brou	ere true:  RTIES			
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A379 Operator License No. OPR 9872  Respondents.  IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AG entitled proceedings that the following matters a  PAI  1. William H. Douglas (Complainant) Structural Pest Control Board (Board) and brou	are true:  RTIES  is the Interim Registrar/Executive Officer of the ght a Second Amended Accusation solely in his			
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A379 Operator License No. OPR 9872  Respondents.  IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AG entitled proceedings that the following matters a  PAI  William H. Douglas (Complainant) Structural Pest Control Board (Board) and brou official capacity and is represented in this matter	are true:  RTIES  is the Interim Registrar/Executive Officer of the ght a Second Amended Accusation solely in his er by Kamala D. Harris, Attorney General of the			
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	A379 Operator License No. OPR 9872  Respondents.  IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGE entitled proceedings that the following matters at PAI  1. William H. Douglas (Complainant) Structural Pest Control Board (Board) and broughting official capacity and is represented in this matter.  State of California, by Sterling A. Smith, Deput	are true:  RTIES  is the Interim Registrar/Executive Officer of the ght a Second Amended Accusation solely in his			
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A379 Operator License No. OPR 9872  Respondents.  IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGE entitled proceedings that the following matters at PAI  1. William H. Douglas (Complainant) Structural Pest Control Board (Board) and broughtical capacity and is represented in this matter State of California, by Sterling A. Smith, Deput	is the Interim Registrar/Executive Officer of the ght a Second Amended Accusation solely in his er by Kamala D. Harris, Attorney General of the ty Attorney General. He is the successor of Kelli			
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	A379 Operator License No. OPR 9872  Respondents.  IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGE entitled proceedings that the following matters at PAI  1. William H. Douglas (Complainant) Structural Pest Control Board (Board) and broughtical capacity and is represented in this matter State of California, by Sterling A. Smith, Deput Okuma, who brought this action by Accusation	are true:  RTIES  is the Interim Registrar/Executive Officer of the ght a Second Amended Accusation solely in his er by Kamala D. Harris, Attorney General of the			
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	A379 Operator License No. OPR 9872  Respondents.  IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGE entitled proceedings that the following matters at PAI  1. William H. Douglas (Complainant) Structural Pest Control Board (Board) and broughtical capacity and is represented in this matter State of California, by Sterling A. Smith, Deput Okuma, who brought this action by Accusation	is the Interim Registrar/Executive Officer of the ght a Second Amended Accusation solely in his er by Kamala D. Harris, Attorney General of the ty Attorney General. He is the successor of Kellinand Amended Accusation solely in her official			
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	A379 Operator License No. OPR 9872  Respondents.  IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGE entitled proceedings that the following matters at PAI  1. William H. Douglas (Complainant)  Structural Pest Control Board (Board) and broughtical capacity and is represented in this matter state of California, by Sterling A. Smith, Deput Okuma, who brought this action by Accusation capacity as Executive Officer/Registrar of the Interpretation of the Inter	is the Interim Registrar/Executive Officer of the ght a Second Amended Accusation solely in his er by Kamala D. Harris, Attorney General of the ty Attorney General. He is the successor of Kellinand Amended Accusation solely in her official			

- 2. Respondents Pinnacle Pest Control, Inc. and Jaime Lopez (Respondent) are represented in this proceeding by attorney David Ingram, whose address is: David Ingram, Tennant & Ingram, 2101 W Street, Sacramento, California 95818.
- 3. On or about August 7, 2003, the Board issued Company Registration Certificate No. PR 4379, Branches 2 and 3, to Pinnacle Pest Control, Inc. (Respondent). The Company Registration Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Second Amended Accusation No. 2010-81.
- 4. On or about May 21, 1998, the Board issued Operator License No. OPR 9872 to Respondent Jaime Lopez. The license was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in the Second Amended Accusation and will expire on June 30, 2012, unless renewed.

## **JURISDICTION**

5. Second Amended Accusation No. 2010-81 was filed before the Structural Pest Control Board (Board), Department of Pesticide Regulation, and is currently pending against Respondents. The Accusation was not served. An Amended Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondents on July 8, 2010. The Second Amended Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served upon Respondents on June 27, 2011. Respondents timely filed a Notice of Defense contesting the Second Amended Accusation. A copy of Second Amended Accusation No. 2010-81 is attached as exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

## ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 6. Respondents have carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understand the charges and allegations in Second Amended Accusation No. 2010-81. Respondents have also carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understand the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.
- 7. Respondents are fully aware of their legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to be represented by counsel at its own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against them; the right to

2.2

present evidence and to testify on its own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.

8. Respondents voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waive and give up each and every right set forth above.

#### **CULPABILITY**

- 9. Respondents understand and agree that the charges and allegations in Second Amended Accusation No. 2010-81, if proven at a hearing, constitute cause for imposing discipline upon the Registration Certificate issued to Respondent Pinnacle Pest Control, Inc. and the Operator License issued to Respondent Jaime Lopez.
- 10. For the purpose of resolving the Second Amended Accusation without the expense and uncertainty of further proceedings, Respondents agree that, at a hearing, Complainant could establish a factual basis for the charges in the Second Amended Accusation, and that Respondents hereby gives up their respective rights to contest those charges.
- 11. Respondents agree that Company Registration Certificate and Operator License are subject to discipline and agrees to be bound by the Board's probationary terms as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

#### **CONTINGENCY**

12. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Board. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Board may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent or its counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that they may not withdraw its agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.

- 13. The parties understand and agree that facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 14. This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is intended by the parties to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final, and exclusive embodiment of their agreement. It supersedes any and all prior or contemporaneous agreements, understandings, discussions, negotiations, and commitments (written or oral). This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order may not be altered, amended, modified, supplemented, or otherwise changed except by a writing executed by an authorized representative of each of the parties.
- 15. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

#### **DISCIPLINARY ORDER**

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Company Registration Certificate No. PR 4379 issued to Pinnacle Pest Control, Inc. and Operator License No. OPR 9872, Branches 2 and 3, issued to Respondent Jaime Lopez are revoked. However, the revocations are stayed and Respondents are placed on probation for three (3) years on the following terms and conditions.

- 1. Actual Suspension. Company Registration Certificate No. PR 4379 issued to Pinnacle Pest Control, Inc. and Operator License No. PR 4379, Branches 2 and 3, issued to Jaime Lopez are each suspended for a period of twenty-four (24) consecutive days commencing on the effective date of the decision. Respondent may reduce the period of suspension by nineteen (19) days, leaving an actual suspension of five (5) consecutive days commencing on the effective date, by payment of \$5,000.00 to the Board at least ten (10) days before the effective date of the decision.
- 2. **Obey All Laws.** Respondents shall obey all laws and rules relating to the practice of structural pest control.
- 3. Quarterly Reports. Respondents shall file quarterly reports with the Board during the period of probation.

- 4. **Tolling of Probation.** Should Respondent Jaime Lopez leave California to reside outside this state, then he must notify the Board in writing of the dates of departure and return. Periods of residency or practice outside the state shall not apply to reduction of the probationary period.
- 5. **Notice to Employees.** Respondents shall, upon or before the effective date of this decision, post or circulate a notice to all employees involved in structural pest control operations which accurately recite the terms and conditions of probation. Respondents shall be responsible for said notice being immediately available to said employees. "Employees" as used in this provision includes all full-time, part-time, temporary and relief employees and independent contractors employed or hired at any time during probation.
- 6. **Posted Notice of Suspension.** Respondents shall prominently post a suspension notice provided by the Board of the Board's order of suspension at its principal office and each of its branch offices in a place conspicuous and readable to the public. Said notice shall remain so posted during the entire period of actual suspension.
- 7. **Completion of Probation.** Upon successful completion of probation, Respondents' licenses/certificates will be fully restored.
- 8. **Violation of Probation.** Should Respondents violate probation in any respect, the Board, after giving Respondents notice and an opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order which was stayed. If a petition to revoke probation is filed against Respondents during probation, the Board shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final.
- 9. **Restoration Bond.** Within thirty (30) days of the effective date of the decision, Respondents shall file a "restoration bond" in the amount of not less than \$4,000.00. Said restoration bond shall be in addition to any existing restoration bond posted by Respondents, and such existing restoration bond shall remain in full force and effect at all times.
- 10. **Cost Recovery.** Respondents shall reimburse the Board for costs of investigation and prosecution in this matter in the amount of \$13,000.00, to be paid in ten (10) equal quarterly installments of \$1,300.00, and in full at least six (6) months before probation terminates.

#### **ENDORSEMENT**

The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Structural Pest Control Board of the Department of Pesticide Regulation.

DATED: July 28, 20/1

Respectfully submitted,

KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California ARTHUR D. TAGGART

Supervising Deputy Attorney General

STERLING A. SMITH
Deputy Attorney General
Attorneys for Complainant

## Exhibit A

Second Amended Accusation No. 2010-81

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	EDMUND G. BROWN JR. Attorney General of California ARTHUR D. TAGGART Supervising Deputy Attorney General STERLING A. SMITH Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 84287 1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 445-0378 Facsimile: (916) 327-8643 Attorneys for Complainant	2 1			
8	BEFORE THE	BOARD			
9	STRUCTURAL PEST CONTROL BOARD DEPARTMENT OF PESTICIDE REGULATION STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
11		•			
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 2010-81			
13	PINNACLE PEST CONTROL INC.	SECOND AMENDED ACCUSATION			
14	JAIME LOPEZ, President and Qualifying Manager 600 Broadway, Suite E				
15	Sacramento, California 95818				
16	Company Registration License No. PR 4379, Br. 2 and 3 Operator License No. OPR 9872				
17	Respondents.				
18					
19					
20	Complainant William H. Douglas ("Complainant") alleges:				
21	PARTIES	A was a later brought			
22	1. On June 3, 2010, Complainant Kelli Okuma brought an Accusation, and later brought				
23	an Amended Accusation, solely in her official capacity as the Registrar of the Structural Pest				
.24	Control Board ("Board"), Department of Consumer Affairs. Complainant William H. Douglas,				
25	solely in his official capacity as Interim Executive Officer and Registrar of the Board, now brings				
26	this Second Amended Accusation.				
2					
2	3   ///				
	1				

2.5

## Company Registration Certificate No. PR 4379

2. On or about August 7, 2003, the Board issued Company Registration Certificate No. PR 4379 ("registration") to Pinnacle Pest Control Inc. ("Respondent Pinnacle"), in Branches 2 and 3 with Jaime Lopez ("Respondent Lopez") as the President and Qualifying Manager. On or about May 16, 2006, the registration was suspended pursuant to Business and Professions Code ("Code") section 8690 (failure to maintain general liability insurance). On or about May 18, 2006, the registration was reinstated.

### Operator's License No. OPR 9872

3. On or about May 21, 1998, the Board issued Operator's License No. OPR 9872 ("license") in Branch 2 to Jaime Lopez as the owner and Qualifying Manager of Pinnacle Pest Control. On or about May 12, 1999, the license was upgraded to include Branch 3. On or about August 7, 2003, Jaime Lopez became the President and Qualifying Manager of Pinnacle Pest Control Inc. On or about May 16, 2006, the license was suspended pursuant to Code section 8690 (failure to maintain general liability insurance). On or about May 18, 2006, the license was reinstated. The license will expire on June 30, 2012, unless renewed.

#### **JURISDICTION**

- 4. Business and Professions Code ("Code") section 8620 provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may suspend or revoke a license when it finds that the holder, while a licensee or applicant, has committed any acts or omissions constituting cause for disciplinary action or in lieu of a suspension may assess a civil penalty.
  - 5. Code section 8624 states:

If the board suspends or revokes an operator's license and one or more branch offices are registered under the name of the operator, the suspension or revocation may be applied to each branch office.

If the operator is the qualifying manager, a partner, responsible officer, or owner of a registered structural pest control company, the suspension or revocation may be applied to the company registration.

The performance by any partnership, corporation, firm, association, or registered company of any act or omission constituting a cause for disciplinary action, likewise constitutes a cause for disciplinary action against any licensee who, at the time the act or

omission occurred, was the qualifying manager, a partner, responsible officer, or owner of the partnership, corporation, firm, association, or registered company whether or not he or she had knowledge of, or participated in, the prohibited act or omission.

#### 6. Code section 8625 states:

The lapsing or suspension of a license or company registration by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, or the voluntary surrender of a license or company registration shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to proceed with any investigation of or action or disciplinary proceeding against such licensee or company, or to render a decision suspending or revoking such license or registration.

#### 7. Code section 8622 states:

When a complaint is accepted for investigation of a registered company, the board, through an authorized representative, may inspect any or all properties on which a report has been issued pursuant to Section 8516 or a notice of completion has been issued pursuant to Section 8518 by the registered company to determine compliance with the provisions of this chapter and the rules and regulations issued thereunder. If the board determines the property or properties are not in compliance, a notice shall be sent to the registered company so stating. The registered company shall have 30 days from the receipt of the notice to bring such property into compliance, and it shall submit a new original report or completion notice or both and an inspection fee of not more than one hundred twenty-five dollars (\$125) for each property inspected. If a subsequent reinspection is necessary, pursuant to the board's review of the new original report or notice or both, a commensurate reinspection fee shall also be charged. If the board's authorized representative makes no determination or determines the property is in compliance, no inspection fee shall be charged.

The notice sent to the registered company shall inform the registered company that if it desires a hearing to contest the finding of noncompliance, the hearing shall be requested by written notice to the board within 20 days of receipt of the notice of noncompliance from the board. Where a hearing is not requested pursuant to this section, payment of any assessment shall not constitute an admission of any noncompliance charged.

#### STATUTORY PROVISIONS

## 8. Code section 8518 states, in pertinent part:

When a registered company completes work under a contract, it shall prepare, on a form prescribed by the board, a notice of work completed and not completed, and shall furnish that notice to the owner of the property or the owner's agent within 10 working days after completing the work. The notice shall include a statement of the cost of the completed work and estimated cost of work not completed.

The address of each property inspected or upon which work was completed shall be reported on a form prescribed by the board and shall be filed with the board no later than 10 working days after completed work.

#### Code section 8519 states, in pertinent part: 9.

Certification as used in this section means a written statement by the registered company attesting to the statement contained therein relating to the absence or presence of wood-destroying pests or organisms and listing such recommendations, if any, which appear on an inspection report prepared pursuant to Code section 8516, and which relate to (1) infestation or infection of wood-destroying pests or organisms found, or (2) repair of structurally weakened members caused by such infestation or infection, and which recommendations have not been completed at the time of certification.

(b) When the inspection report prepared pursuant to Section 8516 discloses infestation or infection and the notice of work completed prepared pursuant to Section 8518 indicates that all recommendations to remove that infestation or infection and to repair damaged caused by that infestation or infection have been completed: "This is to certify that the property described herein is now free of evidence of active infestation or infection in the visible and accessible areas."

#### Code section 8610(c) states: 10.

Each registered company shall designate an individual or individuals who hold an operator's license to act as its qualifying manager or managers. The qualifying manager or managers must be licensed in each branch of pest control in which the company engages in business. The designated qualifying manager or managers shall supervise the daily business of the company and shall be available to supervise and assist all employees of the company, in accordance with regulations which the board may establish.

#### Code section 8641 states: 11.

Failure to comply with the provisions of this chapter, or any rule or regulation adopted by the board, or the furnishing of a report of inspection without the making of a bona fide inspection of the premises for wood-destroying pests or organisms, or furnishing a notice of work completed prior to the completion of the work specified in the contract, is a ground for disciplinary action.

#### Code section 8644 states:

Fraud or misrepresentation, after inspection, by any licensee or registered company engaged in pest control work of any infestation or infection of wood-destroying pests or organisms found in property or structures, or respecting any conditions of the structure that would ordinarily subject structures to attack by wood-destroying pests or organisms, whether or not a report was made pursuant to Sections 8516 and 8517 of this code, is a ground for disciplinary action.

#### Code section 8652 states:

Failure of a registered company to make and keep all inspection reports, contracts, documents, and records, other than financial records, for a period of not less than three

27 28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

years after completion of any work or operation for the control of structural pests or organisms, is a ground for disciplinary action. These records shall be made available to the registrar during business hours.

#### REGULATORY PROVISIONS

- 14. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1991, states, in pertinent part:
- (c) If in the opinion of the inspector a building permit is required, it must be noted on the wood destroying pests and organisms inspection report.

## COST RECOVERY/RESTITUTION.

- 15. Code section 125.3 provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.
- 16. Government Code section 11519(d) provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may require restitution of damages suffered as a condition of probation in the event probation is ordered.

## 27th STREET PROPERTY

- 17. On or about May 26, 2009, Mark Sanders ("Sanders"), a field representative representing Respondent Pinnacle, inspected the property located at 2813 27th Street, Sacramento, California ("27th Street property"), for wood destroying pests and organisms.

  Sanders issued a Complete Wood Destroying Pests and Organisms Inspection Report No. 6544 ("Inspection Report No. 6544"). Sanders' findings involved wood decay fungi damage and subterranean termite damage to the structure, including wood decay fungi damage and termite damage under the front porch, beneath the concrete cap. Sanders recommended replacing the damaged wood members under the front porch with new materials and re-supporting the concrete cap as needed. Sanders included a Work Authorization Contract totaling \$8,853 to perform the recommended repairs.
- 26 |

///

///

2.4

- 18. On or about June 9, 2009, the homeowner signed the Work Authorization Contract, authorizing Respondent Pinnacle to perform the recommended repairs set forth in Inspection Report No. 6544.
- 19. On or about June 12, 2009, Respondent Pinnacle began the work and assigned Russell James Osterhoudt ("Osterhoudt") as the lead worker.
- 20. On or about June 15, 2009, Sanders re-inspected the 27th Street property and issued a Supplemental Wood Destroying Pests and Organisms Inspection Report No. 6721 ("Supplemental Inspection Report No. 6721"). Sanders' findings involved fungus and dryrot. Sanders provided a Work Authorization Contract totaling \$3,515.00 to perform the recommended repairs. On that same day, Osterhoudt was underneath the porch cutting out the fungus and termite-damaged wood framing from under the concrete cap when the porch collapsed on top of him, crushing him to death. Following the accident, the City of Sacramento Building Department and the State of California, Division of Occupational Safety and Health (Cal/OSHA), were notified of the accident. Cal/OSHA performed an investigation and issued Respondent Pinnacle a citation for violating the following<sup>1</sup>:
  - a. California Code of Regulations, title 8, section 1509 (failure to establish, implement, and maintain an effective Injury and Illness Prevention Program in accordance with section 3203 of the General Industry Safety Orders).
  - b. California Code of Regulations, title 8, section 1734(b)(2) (failure to have a written survey on the job site that described the condition of the framing and floors and the possibility of an unplanned collapse of any portion of the structure).
  - c. California Code of Regulations, title 8, section 1735(d)(1) (prior to the starting the demolition operations, the structural and hazardous deficiencies were not shored, braced or otherwise corrected).
    - d. California Building Code (2007), section 105.1 (failure to obtain a permit).
  - 21. On or about June 16, 2009, the City of Sacramento, Code Enforcement, Housing and Dangerous Building Division (H&DBD), issued a "Stop Work Notice" (red tag) on the 27th Street property for performing structural repairs without the required permits (violation of the California Building Law and the City of Sacramento's regulations), and posted the structure as a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Respondent Pinnacle appealed the Citation and a hearing is currently scheduled in October 2010.

dangerous building which was unsafe to occupy. In addition, the electrical and gas meters were pulled, and the structure was boarded up in many areas.

- 22. On or about June 18, 2009, the homeowner signed the Work Authorization Contract, authorizing Respondent Pinnacle to perform the recommended repairs set forth in Supplemental Inspection Report No. 6721.
- 23. On or about June 29, 2009, Sanders re-inspected the 27th Street property and issued Supplemental Wood Destroying Pests and Organisms Inspection Report No. 6821 ("Supplemental Inspection Report No. 6821"). Sanders' findings involved a faulty grade at the side of the structure at the curb wall, and additional support at the concrete cap. Sanders recommended removing the existing curb wall and installing a new stem wall, and framing the concrete cap. Sanders included a Work Authorization Contract totaling \$1,835 to perform the recommended repairs.
- 24. On or about July 2, 2009, the homeowner signed the Work Authorization Contract, authorizing Respondent Pinnacle to perform the recommended repairs set forth in Supplemental Inspection Report No. 6821.
- 25. On or about July 13, 2009, a Standard Notice of Work Completed and Not Completed ("Completion Notice") was issued, certifying that all of the work recommended in Inspection Report No. 6544, and Supplemental Inspection Report Nos. 6721 and 6821, had been completed in accordance with the Board's rules and regulations, and that no visible evidence of active infestation or infection was found.

## FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

## (Inspection Report Violations)

26. Respondent Pinnacle's registration and Respondent Lopez' operator's license are subject to discipline pursuant to Code section 8641, in that concerning the 27th Street property, Respondents failed to use the proper certification statement on the Completion Notice, as required under Code section 8519(b).

19.

#### SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

#### (Failure to Make Inspection Records Available)

27. Respondent Pinnacle's registration and Respondent Lopez' operator's license are subject to discipline pursuant to Code section 8652, in that between June 15, 2009, and October 27, 2009, concerning the 27<sup>th</sup> Street property, Respondents failed to make and keep all inspection reports, field notes, contracts, documents, notices of work completed, and records, other than financial records, for a period of not less than three (3) years after completion of any work or operation for the control of structural pests or organism.

## THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

#### (Inspection Report Violations)

28. Respondent Pinnacle's registration and Respondent Lopez' operator's license are subject to discipline pursuant to Code section 8641, in that on or about May 26, 2009, concerning the 27<sup>th</sup> Street property, Respondents failed to comply with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1991(c), in that they failed to include in its wood destroying pests and organisms inspection reports that a building permit was required.

## 1112-1114 V STREET PROPERTY

## FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

## (Fraud or Misrepresentation After Inspection)

29. Respondent Pinnacle's registration and Respondent Lopez' operator's license are subject to discipline pursuant to Code section 8644, in that concerning the property located at 1112-1114 V Street, Sacramento, California, Respondents committed fraud or misrepresentation in that a Standard Notice of Work Completed and Not Completed, was filed on June 5, 2009, certifying that all recommended items for repair contained in Wood Destroying Pests and Organisms Inspection Report No. 6548 were completed, when in fact, they were not. In addition, Respondents committed fraud or misrepresentation by certifying that the property was free of evidence of active infestation or infection in the visible and accessible areas, when in fact, it was not.

#### FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

#### (Inspection Report Violations)

30. Respondent Pinnacle's registration and Respondent Lopez' operator's license are subject to discipline pursuant to Code section 8641 in that concerning the property located at 1112-1114 V Street, Sacramento, California, Respondents failed to use the proper certification statement on the Completion Notice, as required under Code section 8519(b).

# OTHER PROPERTY VIOLATIONS SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

## (Inspection Report Violations)

- 31. Respondent Pinnacle's registration and Respondent Lopez' operator's license are subject to discipline pursuant to Code section 8641, in that between November 13, 2007, and June 5, 2009, Respondents failed to comply with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1991(c), in that they failed to include in the wood destroying pests and organisms inspection reports that a building permit was required, including, but not limited to, the following properties:
  - a. 1225 42<sup>nd</sup> Street, Sacramento, California;
  - b. 1112-1114 V Street, Sacramento, California; and
  - c. 2443-2445 36<sup>th</sup> Street, Sacramento, California.

## SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

## (Failure to File Addresses of Completed Work with the Board)

- 32. Respondent Pinnacle's registration and Respondent Lopez' operator's license are subject to discipline pursuant to Code section 8518, in that Respondents failed to file with the Board, the address of each property inspected or upon which work was completed within ten (10) working days after completing the work, including, but not limited to, the following addresses:
  - a. 2443-2445 36th Street, Sacramento, California;
  - b. 1201 46th Street, Sacramento, California; and
  - c. 1557 Santa Ynez Way, Sacramento, California.

#### EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

## (Failure to Comply with Laws Adopted by the Board -No Supervision by Qualifying Manager)

33. Complainant incorporates the allegations of Paragraphs 17 through 32, inclusive. Respondent Pinnacle's registration and Respondent Lopez' operator's license are subject to discipline pursuant to Code section 8641, in that Jamie Lopez, who was serving as the Qualifying Manager of Pinnacle Pest Control Inc., failed to comply with Code section 8610(c), by failing to supervise the daily operations, business, and employees in the respects alleged, including but not limited to supervision of Respondent Pinnacle's employees working at the 27th Street property.

#### PRIOR DISCIPLINE

## Company Registration No. PR 4379

- 34. On or about February 4, 2004, the company registration paid a fine in the amount of \$50 levied by the Placer County Agricultural Commissioner for violating Food and Agricultural Code section 15204.
- 35. On or about November 6, 2007, the company registration paid a fine in the amount of \$300 levied by the Sacramento County Agricultural Commissioner for violating Code section 8505.17.
- 36. On or about November 3, 2008, the company registration paid a fine in the amount of \$750 levied by the Board for violating Code sections 8648 and 8651.
- 37. On or about January 6, 2009, the company registration paid a fine in the amount of \$300 levied by the Sacramento County Agricultural Commissioner for violating Code section 8505.17.
- 38. On or about March 11, 2010, the company registration paid a fine in the amount of \$250 levied by the Sacramento County Agricultural Commissioner for violating Code section 8538.

## Operator License No. OPR 9872

39. On or about November 3, 2008, Respondent paid a fine in the amount of \$750 levied by the Board for violating Code sections 8648 and 8651.

#### **OTHER MATTERS**

- 40. Notice is hereby given that section 8620 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that a respondent may request that a civil penalty of not more than \$5,000 be assessed in lieu of an actual suspension of 1 to 19 days, or not more than \$10,000 for an actual suspension of 20 to 45 days. Such request must be made at the time of the hearing and must be noted in the proposed decision. The proposed decision shall not provide that a civil penalty shall be imposed in lieu of a suspension.
- 41. Pursuant to Code section 8624, the causes for discipline established as to Company Registration Certificate Number PR 4379, issued to Pinnacle Pest Control Inc., likewise constitute cause for discipline against Operator's License Number OPR 9872, issued to Jaime Lopez, who serves as the President and Qualifying Manager of Pinnacle Pest Control Inc., regardless of whether Jaime Lopez had knowledge of or participated in the acts or omissions which constitute cause for discipline against Pinnacle Pest Control Inc.
- 42. Pursuant to Code section 8654, if discipline is imposed on Company Registration Certificate Number PR 4379, issued to Pinnacle Pest Control Inc., then Jaime Lopez, who serves as the President and Qualifying Manager of Pinnacle Pest Control Inc., shall be prohibited from serving as an officer, director, associate, partner, qualifying manager, or responsible managing employee for any registered company during the time the discipline is imposed, and any registered company which employs, elects, or associates him, shall be subject to disciplinary action.

#### PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Structural Pest Control Board issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Company Registration Certificate No. PR 4379, issued to Pinnacle Pest Control Inc.;
  - 2. Revoking or suspending Operator's License No. OPR 9872, issued to Jaime Lopez;
- 3. Revoking or suspending any other license for which Jaime Lopez is furnishing the qualifying experience or appearance;

- 4. Ordering restitution of all damages according to proof suffered as a condition of probation in the event probation is ordered;
- 5. Prohibiting Jaime Lopez from serving as an officer, director, associate, partner, qualifying manager or responsible managing employee of any registered company during the period that discipline is imposed on Company Registration Certificate Number PR 4379, issued to Pinnacle Pest Control Inc.;
- 6. Ordering Jaime Lopez and Pinnacle Pest Control Inc. to pay the Structural Pest Control Board the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3; and,

7	Taking such other and further action as deemed necessa	y and	proper.
---	--	-------	---------

DATED: 1/27/11

WILLIAM H. DOUGLAS

Interim Registrar/Executive Officer Structural Pest Control Board Department of Pesticide Regulation

State of California Complainant