1 KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California 2 MARC D. GREENBAUM Supervising Deputy Attorney General 3 GREGORY J. SALUTE Supervising Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 164015 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 5 Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-2520 6 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804 7 Attorneys for Complainant 8 BEFORE THE STRUCTURAL PEST CONTROL BOARD 9 DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 2014-9 12 GALLEGOS PEST CONTROL, INC. ANGEL GALLEGOS, PRESIDENT/ 13 ACCUSATION QUALIFYING MANAGER, MARTHA L. GALLEGOS, SECRETARY 14 15503 Rubicon Avenue 15 Bakersfield, California 93314 Company Registration No. PR 6391, Br. 1 16 Operator License No. OPR 10788, Br. 1 17 JAVIER PENA 15503 Rubicon Avenue 18 Bakersfield, California 93314 19 Field Representative License No. FR 32691, Br. 1 20 Respondents. 21 22 Complainant alleges: 23 **PARTIES** 24 Susan Saylor ("Complainant") brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as 1. 25 the Interim Registrar/Executive Officer of the Structural Pest Control Board ("Board"), 26 Department of Consumer Affairs. 27 /// 28 . 1

### LICENSE HISTORY

# Gallegos Pest Control, Company Registration No. PR 6391

2. On or about October 14, 2011, the Board issued Company Registration No. PR 6391, in Branch 1, to Gallegos Pest Control Inc. ("Respondent Gallegos"), with Angel Gallegos as the President and Qualifying Manager and Martha L. Gallegos as Secretary.

# Angel Gallegos, Operator's License No. OPR 10788

3. On or about September 18, 2003, the Board issued Operator's License No. OPR 10788, inactive, in Branch 1, to Angel Gallegos ("Respondent Angel"). On or about October 10, 2003, Respondent Angel became the Qualifying Manager and owner in Branch 1 of Respondent Gallegos. The license will expire on June 30, 2015, unless renewed.

# Javier Pena, Field Representative License No. FR32691

4. On or about September 8, 2000, the Board issued Field Representative License No. FR 32691, in Branch 1, to Javier Pena ("Respondent Pena"). The license will expire on June 30, 2015, unless renewed.

### **JURISDICTION**

- 5. Code section 8620 provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may suspend or revoke a license when it finds that the holder, while a licensee or applicant, has committed any acts or omissions constituting cause for disciplinary action or in lieu of a suspension may assess a civil penalty.
  - 6. Code section 8624 states:

If the board suspends or revokes an operator's license and one or more branch offices are registered under the name of the operator, the suspension or revocation may be applied to each branch office. If the operator is the qualifying manager, a partner, responsible officer, or owner of a registered structural pest control company, the suspension or revocation may be applied to the company registration. The performance by any partnership, corporation, firm, association, or registered company of any act or omission constituting a cause for disciplinary action, likewise constitutes a cause for disciplinary action against any licensee who, at the time the act or omission occurred, was the qualifying manager, a partner, responsible officer, or owner of the partnership,

corporation, firm, association, or registered company whether or not he or she had knowledge of, or participated in, the prohibited act or omission."

# 7. Code section 8625 states:

The lapsing or suspension of a license or company registration by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, or the voluntary surrender of a license or company registration shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to proceed with any investigation of or action or disciplinary proceeding against such licensee or company, or to render a decision suspending or revoking such license or registration.

#### 8. Code section 8622 states:

When a complaint is accepted for investigation of a registered company, the board, through an authorized representative, may inspect any or all properties on which a report has been issued pursuant to Section 8516 or a notice of completion has been issued pursuant to Section 8518 by the registered company to determine compliance with the provisions of this chapter and the rules and regulations issued thereunder. If the board determines the property or properties are not in compliance, a notice shall be sent to the registered company so stating. The registered company shall have 30 days from the receipt of the notice to bring such property into compliance, and it shall submit a new original report or completion notice or both and an inspection fee of not more than one hundred twenty-five dollars (\$125) for each property inspected. If a subsequent reinspection is necessary, pursuant to the board's review of the new original report or notice or both, a commensurate reinspection fee shall also be charged. If the board's authorized representative makes no determination or determines the property is in compliance, no inspection fee shall be charged.

The notice sent to the registered company shall inform the registered company that if it desires a hearing to contest the finding of noncompliance, the hearing shall be requested by written notice to the board within 20 days of receipt of the notice of noncompliance from the board. Where a hearing is not requested pursuant to this section, payment of any assessment shall not constitute an admission of any noncompliance charged.

### STATUTORY PROVISIONS

#### 9. Code section 8646 states:

Disregard and violation of pesticide use and application, structural pest control device, fumigation, or extermination laws of the state or of any of its political subdivisions, or regulations adopted pursuant to those laws, is a ground for disciplinary action.

#### 10. Code section 8647 states:

Failure to comply in the sale or use of insecticides with the provisions of Chapter 2 (commencing with Section 12751) of Division 7 of the Food and Agricultural Code is a ground for disciplinary action.

#### 11. Code section 8505.2 states:

Fumigation shall be performed only under the direct and personal supervision of an individual who is licensed by the board as an operator or field representative in a branch of pest control which includes fumigation or who is the qualifying manager of a registered company which is so registered.

#### 12. Code section 8505.3 states:

'Direct and personal supervision' as used in Section 8505.2 means that the licensee exercising such supervision shall be present at the site of the fumigation during the entire time the fumigants are being released, the time ventilation is commenced, and at the time property is released for occupancy.

#### 13. Code section 8505.4 states:

Fumigation shall be performed in compliance with all applicable state, county, and city laws and ordinances and all applicable laws and regulations of the United States.

#### 14. Code section 8505.5 states:

Notice of the date and place of fumigation, and chemicals to be used, shall be given by the fumigator to the fire department serving the area in which fumigation is to be performed not less than two hours prior to the time fumigation begins. The fire department shall not charge any fees for any service related to structural pest control activities except for the costs of an emergency response necessitated by illegal or negligent actions.

16 17

18

15

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

If requested by the county agricultural commissioner, notice of each fumigation to be performed shall be given to the commissioner in the county in which the job is to be performed. The notice, which may be mailed or given by telephone, at the option of the commissioner, shall be given at least 24 hours prior to the time fumigation begins, unless the commissioner determines that less time is sufficient. No fee shall be assessed for processing the notice which may be requested by the commissioner.

#### 15. Code section 8505.9 states:

Prior to the commencement of fumigation, warning signs shall be posted in plainly visible locations on or in the immediate vicinity of all entrances to the space under fumigation and shall not be removed until fumigation and ventilation has been completed, and the premises safe for reoccupancy. Ventilation shall be conducted with due regard for the public safety.

#### 16. Code section 8505.10 states:

Warning signs shall be printed in red on white background and shall contain the following statement in letters not less than two inches in height: "DANGER — FUMIGATION." They shall also depict a skull and crossbones not less than one inch in height and shall state in letters not less than one-half inch in height the name of the fumigant, the date and time fumigant was injected, and the name, address and telephone number of the registered company performing the fumigation. Warning signs placed under a tarpaulin shall not be required to state the time the fumigant was injected.

#### Code section 8505.13 states:

A registered company shall maintain a log of each fumigation job performed by it in this state. The log shall be in the form required by the regulations of the board and shall be preserved for a period of at least three years, during which time it shall be available at all times during business hours for inspection by the board and its authorized representatives.

### Code section 8505.16 states:

All fumigators shall be familiar with and able to administer artificial respiration by the best method or methods recommended currently by the Red Cross in its standard texts on first aid.

7 8

9

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

2425

26

27

.28

All fumigating crews shall be outfitted with a safety kit containing safety equipment as specified by the manufacturer's label instructions and by all applicable laws and regulations.

- 19. Code section 8617 states, in pertinent part:
- (a) The board or county agricultural commissioners, when acting pursuant to Section 8616.4, may suspend the right of a structural pest control licensee or registered company to work in a county for up to three working days or, for a licensee, registered company, or an unlicensed individual acting as a licensee, may levy an administrative fine up to one thousand dollars (\$1,000) or direct the licensee to attend and pass a board-approved course of instruction at a cost not to exceed the administrative fine, or both, for each violation of this chapter or Chapter 14.5 (commencing with Section 8698), or any regulations adopted pursuant to these chapters, or Chapter 2 (commencing with Section 12751), Chapter 3 (commencing with Section 14001), Chapter 3.5 (commencing with Section 14101), or Chapter 7 (commencing with Section 15201) of Division 7 of the Food and Agricultural Code, or any regulations adopted pursuant to those chapters, relating to pesticides. However, any violation determined by the board or the commissioner to be a serious violation as defined in Section 1922 of Title 16 of the California Code of Regulations shall be subject to a fine of not more than five thousand dollars (\$5,000) for each violation. Fines collected shall be paid to the Education and Enforcement Account in the Structural Pest Control Education and Enforcement Fund. Suspension may include all or part of the registered company's business within the county based on the nature of the violation, but shall, whenever possible, be restricted to that portion of a registered company's business in a county that was in violation.

(g) Once final action pursuant to this section is taken, no other administrative or civil action may be taken by any state governmental agency for the same violation. However, action taken pursuant to this section may be used by the board as evidence of prior discipline, and multiple local actions may be the basis for statewide disciplinary action by the board pursuant to Section 8620. A certified copy of the order of suspension or fine issued pursuant to this section or Section 8662 shall constitute conclusive evidence of the occurrence of the violation.

20. California Food and Agricultural Code, section 12973 states:

The use of any pesticide shall not conflict with labeling registered pursuant to this chapter which is delivered with the pesticide or with any additional limitations applicable to the conditions of any permit issued by the director or commissioner.

- 21. California Food and Agricultural Code, section 15204.5 states:
- (a) It is unlawful for any licensed Branch 1 Structural Pest Controller licensee, including structural pest control operators, field representatives, applicators, and Structural Pest Control Board (SPCB) registered companies, as defined in Section 8506.1 of the Business and Professions Code, to conduct fumigations in any county unless that person or company has also registered for the current calendar year with the commissioner in that county. The registration fee for the SPCB registered company including structural pest control operators and field representatives and applicators shall be set by the county Board of Supervisors, but shall not exceed the cost of processing the registration or twenty-five dollars (\$25), whichever is less. Payment of the fee shall be due at registration or on a date set by the commissioner. Structural pest control operators and field representatives may be added during the year, but the fee shall not exceed the actual cost of processing the registration or ten dollars (\$10), whichever is less.
- (b) Each registration shall be in the form prescribed by the director after consulting with the SPCB and the commissioners and shall include the name and address of the SPCB registered company or structural pest control operator and all satellite offices conducting business in the county, the name of the qualifying manager or the structural pest control operator and his or her license number, and a business telephone number. The registration form for field representatives and applicators shall include their name, license number, business address, and telephone number, and may be included with the business registration.
- (c) Each licensed structural pest controller, including structural pest control operators, field representatives, applicators, or an SPCB registered company, that intends to conduct fumigation operations is required to appear in person at the office of the commissioner to complete the registration required by Section 15204.

- (d) Each SPCB registered company or structural pest control operator that intends to conduct fumigation operations shall notify the agricultural commissioner at least 24 hours prior to commencing fumigation, or as approved on a case-by-case basis by the commissioner. This notice shall include all of the following:
  - (1) Name and address of the registered company or structural pest control operator.
  - (2) Address of the area or areas to be fumigated.
  - (3) The pesticide to be applied.
  - (4) The date of the intended application.
- (e) The commissioner may levy a civil penalty against any person who violates the provisions of this section in accordance with the procedures provided in Section 12999.5.

### **REGULATORY PROVISIONS**

- 22. Title 3, California Code of Regulations, section 6600, states:
  - "Each person performing pest control shall:
  - (a) Use only pest control equipment which is in good repair and safe to operate.
  - (b) Perform all pest control in a careful and effective manner.
- (c) Use only methods and equipment suitable to insure proper application of pesticides.
- (d) Perform all pest control under climatic conditions suitable to insure proper application of pesticides.
  - (e) Exercise reasonable precautions to avoid contamination of the environment."
  - 23. Title 3, California Code of Regulations, section 6739 subdivision (p), states:

Recordkeeping. The employer shall retain written information regarding medical recommendations, fit testing, and the respirator program.

- (1) Records required by this section shall be maintained while the employee is required to use respiratory protection and for three years after the end of employment conditions requiring respiratory protection and shall be available for inspection by the employee, the Director, or commissioner.
  - (2) Fit testing.

- (A) The employer shall establish a record of the qualitative and quantitative fit tests administered to an employee including:
  - 1. The name or identification of the employee tested;
  - 2. Type of fit test performed;
  - 3. Specific make, model, style, and size of respirator tested;
  - 4. Date of test; and
- 5. The pass/fail results for qualitative fit testing or the fit factor and strip chart recording or other recording of the test results for QNFTs.
- (3) A written copy of the current respirator program shall be retained by the employer. Previous versions of the written respirator protection program shall be retained for three years.
- (4) Written information required to be retained under this subsection shall be made available upon request to employees falling under the respiratory protection program and to the commissioner or persons designated by the Director for review and copying.
- 24. Title 3, California Code of Regulations, section 6724 subdivision (d) states:
- (d) Training shall be completed before the employee is allowed to handle pesticides, continually updated to cover any new pesticides that will be handled, and repeated at least annually thereafter. Initial training may be waived if the employee submits a record showing that training meeting the requirements of this section and covering the pesticides and use situations applicable to the new employment situation was received within the last year. A certified applicator is considered trained for the purposes of this section.
  - 25. Title 3, California Code of Regulations, section 6780, states:
- "(a) When fumigation concentrations cannot be controlled and an employee's exposure exceeds the Permissible Exposure Limit (PEL) as specified in Title 8, California Code of Regulations, Section 5155, Airborne Contaminants, or more stringent requirements by product labeling, the employer shall provide and require the employee to wear approved respiratory protective equipment.

- (b) Whenever an employee may be exposed above an exposure standard to methyl bromide, sulfuryl fluoride, or any other fumigant for which only air-supplied respirator equipment is approved, the employer shall either:
  - (1) require the use of air-supplied respirator equipment,
  - (2) employ continuous monitoring to warn employees before the PEL is reached or
  - (3) operate under the provisions of (c) below.
- (c) Upon written application by an employer, the director will review and may accept a Fumigation Safety Program that described methods, work practices, devices, or processes which the director determines will ensure that employees will not be exposed to concentrations of fumigants in excess of the PEL.
- (d) The employer shall have an accident response plan at the worksite. The plan shall provide instructions to protect employees during situations such as spills, fire, and leaks. Employees shall be trained in accident management procedures based on the plan."
  - 26. Title 3, California Code of Regulations, section 6782, states:

Enclosed spaces include vaults, chambers, greenhouses, vans, boxcars, ships, planes, vehicles, and tarpaulin-covered structures and commodities. When fumigating tarpaulin-covered commodities inside buildings, and areas or things inside greenhouses, this section applies to the entire structure.

- (a) Whenever a pesticide is used for fumigation inside an enclosed space, at least two trained employees shall be present at all times when:
  - (1) The fumigant is introduced into the enclosed space;
- (A) Except, only one trained person is required to be present when solid fumigants (including aluminum phosphide, magnesium phosphide, and smoke cartridges) are introduced into the enclosed space from outside the enclosed space;
  - (2) The enclosed space is entered for the purpose of facilitating aeration; and
- (3) The enclosed space is entered to determine the concentration of the fumigant and personal protective equipment is required by pesticide product labeling or regulation.

(b) The second employee shall have immediate access to the personal protective equipment required by the pesticide product labeling for handlers in the event entry into the fumigated enclosed space becomes necessary for rescue.

- (c) Prior to the commencement of fumigation, warning signs shall be posted in plainly visible locations on or in the immediate vicinity of all entrances to the space under fumigation and shall not be removed until fumigation and ventilation have been completed, and the premises is safe for reentering. Warning signs shall be printed in red on white background and shall contain, in English and Spanish, the following statement in letters not less than two inches in height: "DANGER-FUMIGATION." They shall also depict a skull and crossbones not less than one inch in height and shall state in letters not less than one-half inch in height the name of the fumigant, the date and time the fumigant was injected, and the name, address and telephone number of the applicator performing the fumigation.
- (d) Employees shall not be allowed to enter fumigated enclosed areas, except to determine the fumigant concentration or facilitate aeration unless the concentration in the area is known to be at or below the level specified in 6780(a) above.
  - (e) The fumigant shall not be released into an occupied work area.
- (f) After completion of the fumigation, the treated area or products shall be managed so that employees entering the area or working with the treated products are not exposed to a concentration in excess of the level specified in 6780(a) above.
  - 27. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1970 states:

For the purpose of maintaining proper standards of safety and the establishment of responsibility in handling the dangerous gases used in fumigation and the pesticides used in other pest control operations, a registered company shall compile and retain for a period of at least three years, a log for each fumigation job and for each pesticide control operation in which a pesticide is used by the registered company or the registered company's employee.

(a) The log (See Form 43M-47 (Rev. 5/03) at the end of this section) for each fumigation job shall contain the following information:

Name, address and company registration certificate number of prime contractor.

1	Name, address and company registration certificate number of subcontractor, if any.			
2	Address of property.			
3	Date of fumigation.			
4	Name and address of owner or his or her agent.			
5	Date and hour fire department was notified pursuant to Business and Professions			
6	Code section 8505.5.			
7	Date and hour county agricultural commissioner was notified and method of			
8	notification, where required.			
9	Property description including type of structure as to details of roofing, walls, and the			
10	presence of construction elements, conduits, drains, or vacuum systems that could allow the			
11	passage of fumigant from the structure to be fumigated to any adjacent or adjoining structure(s),			
12	thereby connecting them, and method(s) used to prevent passage of the fumigant.			
13	Cubic feet fumigated.			
14	Target pest(s).			
15	Kind of fumigant(s) used.			
16	United States Environmental Protection Agency registration number(s) of			
17	fumigant(s).			
18	Name of warning agent and amount used.			
19	Type of sealing method used.			
20	Weather conditions as to temperature and wind.			
21	Date and hour fumigant introduced.			
22	Cylinder number of each fumigant used.			
23	Weight of each fumigant cylinder before introduction of gas.			
24	Pounds of fumigant used from each cylinder.			
25	Total pounds of fumigant used.			
26	List of any extraordinary safety precautions taken.			
27	Name, signature and license number of operator or field representative			
28	releasing fumigant.			

- 28. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1971 states:
- (a) All fumigation crews shall be provided with and shall have in their possession on the job:
  - (1) A fumigation safety kit, in proper condition for use, containing at least the following:
  - (A) Statements of instructions published by the manufacturer of the fumigants being used.
- (B) Two or more effective gas masks or other safety equipment approved by the National Institute of Occupational Safety and Health, for protection against the type of fumigant being
  - (C) A chart of instructions for artificial resuscitation.
- (2) Proper testing equipment as required by the manufacturer's label instructions and all applicable laws and regulations.
- (b) The crew shall be instructed in the use and care of kit and safety equipment and in first aid methods.
  - 29. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1973 states:

Following a fumigation, the licensee must personally:

- (a) Perform proper testing after aeration using testing equipment for clearing the structure as required by the manufacturer's label instructions and all applicable laws and regulations.
- (b) Release the property for occupancy by posting a Notice of Re -Entry (See Form 43M-42 (Rev. 5/07) at the end of this section). The form must be no smaller than 8 1/2" x 11" and be printed in black lettering on a white background.
  - 30. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1974 states:

Prior to commencement of fumigation, warning signs which comply with the provisions of sections 8505.9 and 8505.10 (see Form 43M-15 (New 8/93)) shall be posted. Such warning signs shall be at least 11" x 15".

(a) Prior to sealing a structure which is to be fumigated, fumigation warning signs shall be posted on the structure at or near all entrances and on each side of the structure and kept at those locations until the structure is declared to be safe for re-occupancy by the licensee exercising direct and personal supervision over the fumigation.

(b) Fumigation warning signs shall also be placed on the outside surface of the tarps used to seal the structure and shall be clearly visible on all accessible sides of the space under fumigation and from any direction from which the site may be approached.

Additional fumigation warnings signs shall be posted at all joint seams of the tarp at the first floor level.

### COST RECOVERY

31. Code section 125.3 states, in pertinent part, that a Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

#### 7622 Evergreen Drive, Goleta, CA

32. On or about October 21, 2011, inspector Mary Ann Rajala ("Rajala"), from the Agricultural Commissioner's Office, County of Santa Barbara, conducted a use monitoring inspection at 7622 Evergreen Drive, Goleta, California ("Evergreen project"). Respondent Gallegos fumigated the property on or about October 19, 2011 with Vikane. Rajala visited the site twice on October 21, 2011, once at 11:28 a.m., and again at 12:30 p.m. During the initial visit, Rajala noted that tarps were intact surrounding the structure and aeration had not been initiated. During her second visit, she noted that Respondent's employees had begun removing tarps from the structure. None of the employees were wearing respiratory protection or continuous monitoring devices while performing these activities. Violations were noted, as more particularly set forth below, and Violation Notice No. 107-808135, was issued to Respondent Gallegos.

#### FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

#### (Violation of Law - Proper Equipment)

33. Respondent Gallegos' company registration and Respondent Angel's operator license, are subject to disciplinary action under Code section 8646, in that on or about October 21, 2011, on the Evergreen project, Respondents violated California Code of Regulations, title 3,

section 6780(a), by failing to wear the approved respiratory protective equipment and/or continuous monitoring devices and failing to follow the CAP procedures<sup>1</sup>.

### SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

### (Violation of Law – Failure to Follow Manufacturer's Label Instructions)

34. Respondent Gallegos' company registration and Respondent Angel's operator license are subject to disciplinary action under Code section 8646, in that on or about October 21, 2011, on the Evergreen project, Respondents failed to comply with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1971, subdivision (a) (2), by failing to provide the fumigation crew with a functioning device (such as an Interscan) at the job site able to test the air concentration of the sulfuryl fluoride which poses a reasonable possibility of creating a health hazard.

#### 3778 Center Avenue, Santa Barbara, CA

35. On or about November 28, 2011, inspector Matt Victoria ("Victoria"), from the Agricultural Commissioner's Office, County of Santa Barbara, conducted a use monitoring inspection at 3778 Center Avenue, Santa Barbara, California ("Center project"). Respondent Gallegos fumigated the property the same day with Vikane. Victoria noted that one of the seams was not posted with warning signs. Violations were noted, as more particularly set forth below, and Violation Notice No. 107-808191, was issued to Respondent Gallegos.

#### THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

### (Violation of Law - Warning Signs)

36. Respondent Gallegos' company registration and Respondent Angel's operator license, are subject to disciplinary action under Code section 8646, in that on or about November 28, 2011, on the Center project, Respondents violated California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1974, by failing to post warning signs at all joint seams of the tarp at the first floor level of the structure.

///

///

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The current Fumigation Safety Program required by law is entitled the California Aeration Plan ("CAP").

. 

///

### 3360 Braemar Avenue, Goleta, CA

37. On or about November 30, 2011, inspector Matt Victoria ("Victoria"), and inspector Mary Ann Rajala ("Rajala") from the Agricultural Commissioner's Office, County of Santa Barbara, conducted a use monitoring inspection at 3360 Braemar Avenue, Goleta, California ("Braemar project"). Respondent Gallegos fumigated two buildings on the property on or about November 28, 2011, with Vikane. Victoria and Rajala noted that Respondent Gallegos' employee Mario Jaquez ("Jaquez") was removing tarps from one of the buildings. Victoria and Rajala asked Jaquez if he was trained to handle Vikane and he replied he had not been trained and that this was his first day employed with Respondent Gallegos. Respondent Pena confirmed that Jacquez had not been trained. Respondent Gallegos' employees were not equipped with two functional positive pressure self-contained breathing apparatus or combination air-supplied/SCB A respirators. Violations were noted, as more particularly set forth below, and Violation Notice No. 107-808192, was issued to Respondent Gallegos.

## FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

# (Violation of Law - Handler Training)

38. Respondent Gallegos' company registration and Respondent Angel's operator license are subject to disciplinary action under Code section 8646, in that on or about November 30, 2011, on the Braemar project, Respondents violated California Code of Regulations, title 3, section 6724 (d), by failing to have employee Jaquez complete pesticide handling training before employee Jaquez was allowed to handle pesticides.

# FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

# (Violation of Law - Proper Equipment)

39. Respondent Gallegos' company registration and Respondent Angel's operator license, are subject to disciplinary action under Code section 8646, in that on or about November 30, 2011, on the Braemar project, Respondents violated California Code of Regulations, title 3, section 6782(b), by failing to have its employees equipped with two functional positive pressure self-contained breathing apparatus or combination air-supplied/SCB A respirators.

#### Pest Control Headquarters Inspection

40. In and between April 10, 2012 and May 8, 2012, a pest control headquarters inspection was conducted on Respondent Gallegos by the Kern County Department of Agriculture and Measurement Standards. During the inspection it was discovered that Respondent Gallegos did not have written information available regarding fit testing for four of its employees.

#### SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

## (Violation of Law - Recordkeeping of Respiratory Protection)

41. Respondent Gallegos' company registration and Respondent Angel's operator license, are subject to disciplinary action under Code section 8646, in that in and between April 10, 2012 and May 8, 2012, Respondents violated California Code of Regulations, title 3, section 6739, subdivision (p) by failing to retain written information regarding respiratory fit testing for employees Javier Pena, Luis Vasquez, Feliciano Mercado, and Miguel Orozco.

### 83 N. La Cumbre Road, Santa Barbara, CA

- 42. On or about April 12, 2012, inspector Katie Caterino ("Caterino"), from the Agricultural Commissioner's Office, County of Santa Barbara, received an anonymous call regarding a fumigated structure located on La Cumbre Road, north of State Street. The caller stated that the tarps were open and that the structure was unattended. At approximately 8:45 a.m., inspector Caterino arrived with inspector Rajala at 83 N. La Cumbre Road, Santa Barbara, California ("Cumbre project"). No crew was on site so the inspectors conducted a tarp/aeration check. Three separate structures were under fumigation. The largest structure (apartment building) had one aeration duct and two inlet devices installed. The aeration duct was open, but was nearly constricted at the base and was measured at approximately 9 1/2 feet. Only the top inlet device was open, the bottom inlet device was clipped shut.
- 43. The tarps of a second fumigated structure (carport) were butterflied open, no aeration duct or inlet devices were installed and most of the warning signs were still posted. The warning sign at the location where the carport tarps were butterflied open was on the ground.

44. On a third structure (two detached cottages), there were five openings through the tarps. No aeration duct or inlet devices were installed and some of the warning signs were still posted. The warning signs at the locations where the tarp seals had been opened were on the ground. Violations were noted, as more particularly set forth below:

### SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

# (Violation of Law-Failure to Follow Labeling Instructions/CAP)

45. Respondent Gallegos' company registration and Respondent Angel's operator license are subject to disciplinary action under Code section 8647, in that on or about April 12, 2012, on the Cumbre project, Respondents failed to comply with Food and Agricultural Code section 12973, and California Code of Regulations, title 3, section 6780, subdivision (b)(3)(c) by failing to comply with the Vikane labeling instructions which require that the California Aeration Plan ("CAP") be followed. Step 1 of CAP requires that after all aeration fans are activated, the seal from each previously installed inlet device should be removed. Two inlet devices were required to be installed on the apartment building. In this case, Respondents failed to follow CAP as only one inlet device on the apartment building had the seal removed. Further, CAP also requires that the aeration ducting extend from the attached fan inside the fumigated space, through tarpaulins, to the first story roofline or at least 10 feet above ground level for higher rooflines. The two story apartment building has an outdoor walkway above the first story apartments. Although the aeration duct did not reach 10 feet in height, the aeration duct was attached to the railing of the second story walkway and was therefore located above the first story roofline.

# EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

# (Violation of Law-Failure to Follow Labeling Instructions/CAP)

46. Respondent Gallegos' company registration, Respondent Angel's operator license, and Respondent Pena's field representative license are subject to disciplinary action under Code section 8647, in that on or about April 12, 2012, on the Cumbre project, Respondent Pena failed to comply with Food and Agricultural Code section 12973, and California Code of Regulations, title 3, section 6780, subdivision (b)(3)(c) and 6780 subdivision (b) by failing to comply with the Vikane labeling instructions which require that the California Aeration Plan ("CAP") be

2.7

followed. Two violations of the CAP occurred. First, Respondent Pena removed the tarp seals prior to the end of the aeration. CAP requires that the tarps and/or seals be removed from the structure anytime after the required hours of aeration are completed. CAP requires a 12 hour aeration when the initial concentration of the fumigant introduced is 16 ounces or less per thousand cubic feet. Respondent Pena started the aeration at 7:30 p.m. and broke the tarp seals of the carport and the cottages at approximately 5:30 a.m., after 10 hours of aeration. Respondent Pena therefore removed the seals on the carport and the cottages prior to the 12 hour aeration being complete.

47. Secondly, Respondent Pena did not complete a 12 hour aeration on the apartment building. On the apartment building, the top inlet device was open for the entire 12 hour aeration; however, the second inlet device was only open from 7:30 p.m. -5:30 a.m., (10 hours), and then reopened at approximately 9:30 a.m. The second inlet device was therefore open for approximately 11 hours before the tarp was dropped. Thus, a complete 12 hour aeration was not done on the apartment building prior to dropping the tarps. As CAP was not followed, California Code of Regulations, title 3, section 6780 subdivision (b) requires that the employer either use air-supplied respirators or employ continuous monitoring to warn employees before the PEL is reached. Respondent Gallegos did not require that air-supplied respirators or continuous monitoring as employees did not wear SCBA's while dropping the tarps and no continuous monitoring was conducted.

# NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

# (Violation of Law - Warning Signs)

48. Respondent Gallegos' company registration, Respondent Angel's operator license, and Respondent Pena's field representative license are subject to disciplinary action under Code section 8646, in that on or about April 12, 2012, on the Cumbre project, Respondents violated Food and Agricultural Code section 12973, California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1974, and California Code of Regulations, title 3, section 6782 subdivision c, by failing to post the required warning signs on the structure. When Respondent Pena left the fumigation site on April 12, 2012, the carport and cottages had not been certified and the tarps and most of the warning

signs remained on the structures; therefore, these structures were still considered under fumigation. Respondent Pena left the carport and cottage tarps open and unattended for approximately 4 hours. Aeration had not been completed at this point and warning signs to the entrances of the tarp openings were not posted but lying on the ground. Respondent Pena failed to take appropriate precautions to prevent re-occupancy of the fumigated area until the aeration and clearing processes were complete and the fumigation warning signs were not clearly posted on all accessible sides of the space under fumigation.

## TENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

# (Failure to Lock and/or Barricade Structure)

49. Respondent Gallegos' company registration, Respondent Angel's operator license, and Respondent Pena's field representative license are subject to disciplinary action under Code section 8647, in that on or about April 12, 2012, on the Cumbre project, Respondents failed to comply with Code section 8505.7 by failing to lock, barricade or otherwise secure the property against entry until declared safe for re-occupancy. Respondent Pena stated to Rajala that he tested the structures with a fumiscope and did not detect sulfuryl fluoride and therefore did not believe he needed to secure the structures against re-entry. Respondent Pena also stated that he did not certify the structures prior to leaving the site.

# **DISCIPLINARY CONSIDERATIONS-MATTERS IN AGGRAVATION**

- 50. To determine the degree of penalty to be imposed, if any, Complainant makes the following allegations:
- a. On or about October 10, 2003, Company Registration Certificate No. PR 4415 was issued in Branch 1 to Gallegos Pest Control with Angel Gallegos as Qualifying Manager and Owner. On or about August 3, 2007, First Amended Accusation No. 2007-54 was filed against Company Registration Certificate No. PR4415. On or about May 26, 2008, the decision rendered in First Amended Accusation No. 2007-54 revoked Company Registration Certificate No. PR4415, with the revocation stayed, and the Certificate placed on 3 years probation, with conditions including a 15 day suspension and repayment of investigative and prosecution costs in the amount of \$7106.50.

	b.	On or about August 10, 2007, Company Registration Certificate No.	PR
4415 was issued	d a \$60	0 fine levied by the Ventura County Agricultural Commissioner for	
violation of sect	tion 85	05.15 of the Business and Professions Code. The fine was paid on or a	ıbou
September 25, 2	2007.		

- c. On or about December 26, 2007, Company Registration Certificate No. PR 4415 was issued a \$700 fine levied by the Ventura County Agricultural Commissioner for violation of section 6702(b)(5) of the California Code of Regulations. The fine was paid on or about February 6, 2008.
- d. On or about June 10, 2010, Company Registration Certificate No. PR 4415 was issued a \$3500 fine levied by the Ventura County Agricultural Commissioner for violation of section 12973 of the Food and Agricultural Code. The fine was paid on or about July 15, 2010.
- e. Company Registration Certificate No. PR 4415 was cancelled on October 14, 2011.
- 51. To determine the degree of penalty to be imposed, if any, Complainant makes the following additional allegations:
- a. On or about October 14, 2011, Company Registration Certificate No. PR 6391 was issued in Branch 1 to Gallegos Pest Control Inc. with Angel Gallegos as President and Qualifying Manager and Martha L. Gallegos as Secretary.
- b. On or about May 23, 2012, Company Registration Certificate No. PR 6391 was issued a \$2670 fine levied by the Santa Barbara County Agricultural Commissioner for violation of section 6780(b) and 1971(a)(2) of the California Code of Regulations. The fine was paid on or about April 16, 2013.
- c. On or about May 24, 2012, Company Registration Certificate No. PR 6391 was issued a \$250 fine levied by the Santa Barbara County Agricultural Commissioner for violation of section 1974(b) of the California Code of Regulations. The fine was paid on or about October 2, 2012.

.d.	On or about May 24, 2012, Company Registration Certificate No. PR 6391 was
issued a \$9:	50 fine levied by the Santa Barbara County Agricultural Commissioner for violation of
section 672	4 (d) and 6782 (b) of the California Code of Regulations. The fine was paid on or
about Nove	mber 20, 2012.

- e. On or about May 31, 2012, Company Registration Certificate No. PR 6391 was issued a \$250 fine levied by the Kern County Agricultural Commissioner for violation of section 6739 (p) of the California Code of Regulations. The fine was paid on or about June 14, 2012.
- 52. To determine the degree of penalty to be imposed, if any, Complainant makes the following additional allegations:
- a. On or about September 18, 2003, Operator's License No. OPR 10788 was issued in Branch 1 to Angel Gallegos.
- b. On or about August 3, 2007, First Amended Accusation No. 2007-54 was filed against Operator's License No. OPR 10788. On or about May 26, 2008, the decision rendered in First Amended Accusation No. 2007-54 revoked Operator's License No. OPR 10788, with the revocation stayed, and the license placed on 3 years probation, with conditions including a 15 day suspension and repayment of investigative and prosecution costs in the amount of \$7106.50.
- c. On or about October 20, 2006, Operator's License No. OPR 10788 was issued a \$500 fine levied by the Santa Barbara County Agricultural Commissioner for violation of sections 6780 (b) and (c) of the California Code of Regulations and section 8505.15 of the Business and Professions Code. The fine was paid on or about March 20, 2007.
- d. On or about August 10, 2007, Operator's License No. OPR 10788 was issued a \$600 fine levied by the Ventura County Agricultural Commissioner for violation of section 8505.15 of the Business and Professions Code. The fine was paid on or about September 25, 2007.
- e. On or about December 26, 2007, Operator's License No. OPR 10788 was issued a \$700 fine levied by the Ventura County Agricultural Commissioner for violation of section 6702(b)(5) of the California Code of Regulations. The fine was paid on or about February 6, 2008.

- f. On or about June 10, 2010, Operator's License No. OPR 10788 was issued a \$3500 fine levied by the Ventura County Agricultural Commissioner for violation of section 12973 of the Food and Agricultural Code. The fine was paid on or about July 15, 2010.
- g. On or about May 23, 2012, Operator's License No. OPR 10788 was issued a \$2670 fine levied by the Santa Barbara County Agricultural Commissioner for violation of sections 6780 (b) and 1971(a)(2) of the California Code of Regulations. The fine was paid on or about April 16, 2013.
- h. On or about May 24, 2012, Operator's License No. OPR 10788 was issued a \$250 fine levied by the Santa Barbara County Agricultural Commissioner for violation of section 1974 (b) of the California Code of Regulations. The fine was paid on or about October 2, 2012.
- i. On or about May 24, 2012, Operator's License No. OPR 10788 was issued a \$950 fine levied by the Santa Barbara County Agricultural Commissioner for violation of sections 6724 (d) and 6782 (b) of the California Code of Regulations. The fine was paid on or about November 20, 2012.
- j. On or about May 31, 2012, Operator's License No. OPR 10788 was issued a \$250 fine levied by the Kern County Agricultural Commissioner for violation of sections 6739 (p) of the California Code of Regulations. The fine was paid on or about June 14, 2012.
- 53. To determine the degree of penalty to be imposed, if any, Complainant makes the following additional allegations:
- a. On or about January 31, 2008, Field Representative License No. FR32691 issued to Respondent Pena was issued a \$250 fine levied by the Santa Barbara County Agricultural Commissioner for violation of section 8505.2 of the Business and Professions Code. The fine was paid on or about April 21, 2008.

#### OTHER MATTERS

54. Notice is hereby given that section 8620 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that a respondent may request that a civil penalty of not more than \$5,000 be assessed in lieu of an actual suspension of 1 to 19 days, or not more than \$10,000 for an actual suspension of 20 to 45 days. Such request must be made at the time of the hearing and must be noted in the proposed

16.

decision. The proposed decision shall not provide that a civil penalty shall be imposed in lieu of a suspension.

- 55. Pursuant to Code section 8624, the causes for discipline established as to Company Registration Certificate Number PR 6391, issued to Gallegos Pest Control, likewise constitute cause for discipline against Operator's License Number OPR 10788, issued to Angel Gallegos, who serves as the Qualifying Manager for Branch 1 of Gallegos Pest Control, regardless of whether Angel Gallegos had knowledge of or participated in the acts or omissions which constitute cause for discipline against Gallegos Pest Control.
- 56. Angel Gallegos, who serves as the Qualifying Manager for Branch 1 of Gallegos Pest Control, had knowledge of or participated in the acts or omissions which constitute cause for discipline against Gallegos Pest Control.
- 57. Pursuant to Code section 8654, if discipline is imposed on Company Registration Certificate Number PR 6391, issued to Gallegos Pest Control, then Angel Gallegos, who serves as the Qualifying Manager for Branch 1 of Gallegos Pest Control, shall be prohibited from serving as an officer, director, associate, partner, qualifying manager, or responsible managing employee for any registered company during the time the discipline is imposed, and any registered company which employs, elects, or associates him, shall be subject to disciplinary action.
- 58. Javier Pena was a field representative employed by Gallegos Pest Control, and had knowledge of and participated in the acts or omissions which constitute cause for discipline against Gallegos Pest Control.
- 59. Pursuant to Code section 8654, if discipline is imposed on Company Registration Certificate Number PR 6391, issued to Gallegos Pest Control, then Javier Pena (who was a field representative employed by Gallegos Pest Control), shall be prohibited from serving as an officer, director, associate, partner, qualifying manager, or responsible managing employee of a registered company, and the employment, election or association of him by a registered company is a ground for disciplinary action.

///

///

# PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Structural Pest Control Board issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Company Registration Certificate Number PR6391, issued to Gallegos Pest Control;
- 2. Revoking or suspending Operator's License Number OPR10788, issued to Angel Gallegos:
- Revoking or suspending Field Representative License Number FR32691, issued to Javier Pena:
- Prohibiting Angel Gallegos from serving as an officer, director, associate, partner, qualifying manager or responsible managing employee of any registered company during the period that discipline is imposed on Company Registration Certificate Number PR6391, issued to Gallegos Pest Control:
- 5. Prohibiting Javier Pena from serving as an officer, director, associate, partner, qualifying manager or responsible managing employee of any registered company during the period that discipline is imposed on Company Registration Certificate Number PR6391, issued to Gallegos Pest Control;
- Ordering Gallegos Pest Control, Angel Gallegos, and Javier Pena to pay the Structural Pest Control Board the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3; and.
  - 7. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

25

26

27

28

LA2013509387

Interim Registrar/Executive Officer

Structural Pest Control Board Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California