		· .
1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR.	
2	Attorney General of California GREGORY J. SALUTE	
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General HELENE E. SWANSON	
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 130426	
5	300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013	
6	Telephone: (213) 620-3005 Fax: (213) 897-2804	
7	E-mail: Helene.Swanson@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Complainant	
8	PFFOL	E THE
9	STRUCTURAL PEST	CONTROL BOARD ONSUMER AFFAIRS
10	STATE OF C	CALIFORNIA
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 2010-07
12	GERARDO ELIZARRARAZ	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER
13	P.O. Box 2887 Lancaster, CA 93539	
14	Registered Applicator No. RA45002	[Gov. Code, §11520]
15		
16	Respondent.	
17		
18	FINDING	<u>S OF FACT</u>
19	1. On or about August 18, 2009, Comp	blainant Kelli Okuma, in her official capacity as
20	the Registrar/Executive Officer of the Structural	Pest Control Board, Department of Consumer
21	Affairs, filed Accusation No. 2010-07 against C	erardo Elizarraraz (Respondent) before the
22	Structural Pest Control Board.	
23 24	2. On or about January 9, 2006, the St	ructural Pest Control Board (Board) issued
24 25	Registered Applicator No. RA 45002 to Respon	ndent. The Registered Applicator expired on
25	January 9, 2009, and was not renewed.	
20 27	3. On or about August 25, 2009, Anna	a Carpenter, an employee of the Department of
27	Justice, served by Certified and First Class Mai	a copy of the Accusation No. 2010-07, Statement
20		1
	DEFA	AULT DECISION AND ORDER (OAH's Case No. 2010-07)

1	to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for Discovery, and Government Code sections
2	11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7 to Respondent's address of record with the Board, which was and
3	is:
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 P.O. Box 2887 Lancaster, CA 93539 A copy of the Accusation is attached as Exhibit A, and is incorporated herein by reference. 4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c). 5. On or about September 10, 2009, the aforementioned documents which were served by first class mail were returned by the U.S. Postal Service marked "Not Deliverable As Addressed – Unable to Forward." On or about September 28, 2009, the aforementioned documents which were served by certified mail were returned by the U.S. Postal Service marked "unclaimed." 6. On or about September 30, 2009, Ms. Carpenter also served a copy of the Accusation, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for Discovery, and Government Code
15 16	sections 11507.5, et al. by first class mail and certified mail on Respondent at the following address which was provided by the Board:
17	address which was provided by the board.
18 19	348 E. Avenue, K8, #D Lancaster, CA 93535
20	7. On or about October 13, 2009, the documents which were served on September 30,
21	2009 were returned to the Office of the Attorney General as undeliverable because there is "no
[.] 22	person at this address with this name".
23 24	8. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:
25 26 27	(c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.
28	
	2
	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER (OAH's Case No. 2010-07

1	9. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service	upon him
2	of the Accusation, and therefore waived his right to a hearing on the merits of Accusa	
3	2010-07.	
4	10. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:	
5	(a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear a	t the
6	hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admis or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any not respondent.	ssions
7	11. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Boa	ard finds
8	Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, ba	
9	evidence on file herein, finds that the allegations in Accusation No. 2010-07 are true	
10	12. The total cost for investigation and enforcement in connection with the	
11	are \$1,439.00 as of October 16, 2009.	
12	DETERMINATION OF ISSUES	
13	1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Gerardo Elizarrara	z has
14	subjected his Registered Applicator No. RA 45002 to discipline.	
15	2. A copy of the Accusation is attached.	
16	3. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.	
17	4. The Structural Pest Control Board is authorized to revoke Respondent's	Registered
18	Applicator based upon the following violations alleged in the Accusation:	
19	a. Respondent is subject to discipline under Business and Professions Cod	le sections
20	8620 and 8649, because he was convicted of a crime which is substantially related	to the
21	qualifications, functions and duties of a structural pest control applicator. On Septe	ember 11,
22	2008, in the Superior Court, County of Los Angeles, California, in the matter entitl	ed <i>People v</i> .
23	Gerardo Elizarraraz, Case No. VA100115 (2008), Respondent was convicted by t	he Court
24	following his plea of nolo contendere, to violations of Penal Code section 273(D),	subdivision (a)
25	(inflict injury on a child), and Penal Code section 273A, subdivision (a) great bodi	ly injury/death
26	to a child), both felonies.	
27		
28		
	3 DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER (OAH's	Case No. 2010-07)

11		
1	ORDER	
2	IT IS SO ORDERED that Registered Applicator No. RA 45002, heretofore issued to	
3	Respondent Gerardo Elizarraraz, is revoked.	
4	Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a	
5	written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within	
6	seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may	
7	vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute.	
8	This Decision shall become effective on <u>January 14, 2010</u>	
9	It is so ORDERED December 15, 2009	
10	Pull I Deret	
11	FOR THE STRUCTURAL PEST CONTROL BOARD	
12	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS	
13	50514836.DOC DOJ docket number:LA2008900582	
14	Attachment:	
- 15	Exhibit A: Accusation No.2010-07	.
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
27		
28		
	4	
	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER (OAH's Case No. 2010-07	')

Exhibit A Accusation No. 2010-07

1 2	EDMUND G. BROWN JR. Attorney General of California GREGORY J. SALUTE	`
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General HELENE E. SWANSON State Bar No. 130426	
4	State Bar No. 130426 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702	
5	Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 620-3005	
6	Facsimile: (213) 897-2804 Attorneys for Complainant	
7	BEFORE THE	
8	STRUCTURAL PEST CONTROL BOARD DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
.9		
10	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 2010–7	
11	GERARDO ELIZARRARAZ A C C U S A T I O N P.O. Box 2887	
12	Lancaster, California 93539 Registered Applicator License No. RA 45002	
13	Respondent.	
14		
15	Complainant alleges:	<u> </u>
. 16	PARTIES	
17	1. Kelli Okuma ("Complainant") brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as	
- 18	the Registrar/Executive Officer of the Structural Pest Control Board, Department of Consumer	,
19 · 20	Affairs.	
	Registered Applicator License	
21	2. On or about January 9, 2006, the Structural Pest Control Board issued Registered	
- 22	Applicator License Number RA 45002 to Gerardo Elizarraraz ("Respondent"). The registered	
23 24	applicator license was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein	
24 25	and will expire on January 9, 2010, unless renewed.	
26		
20		
27		
20	1	
	Accusation	4

1	STATUTORY PROVISIONS
2	3. Section 8620 of the Business and Professions Code ("Code") provides, in pertinent
3	part, that the Board may suspend or revoke a license when it finds that the holder, while a
4	licensee or applicant, has committed any acts or omissions constituting cause for disciplinary
5	action or in lieu of a suspension may assess a civil penalty.
6	4. Code section 8625 states:
7 8 9	The lapsing or suspension of a license or company registration by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, or the voluntary surrender of a license or company registration shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to proceed with any investigation of or action or disciplinary proceeding against such licensee or company, or to render a decision suspending or revoking such license or registration.
10 11	5. Code section 8649 states:
12	Conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions,
12	and duties of a structural pest control operator, field representative, applicator, or registered company is a ground for disciplinary action. The certified record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence thereof.
14	6. Code section 8654 states:
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 21 22	Any individual who has been denied a license for any of the reasons specified in Section 8568, or who has had his or her license revoked, or whose licenseis under suspension, or who has failed to renew his or her license while it was under suspension, or who has been a member, officer, director, associate, qualifying manager, or responsible managing employee of any partnership, corporation, firm, or association whose application for a company registration has been denied for any of the reasons specified in Section 8568, or whose company registration has been revoked as a result of disciplinary action, or whose company registration is under suspension, and while acting as such member, officer, director, associate, qualifying manager, or responsible managing employee had knowledge of or participated in any of the prohibited acts for which the license or registration was denied, suspended or revoked, shall be prohibited from serving as an officer, director, associate, partner, qualifying manager, or responsible managing employee of a registered company, and the employment, election or association of such person by a registered company is a ground for disciplinary action.
23	<u>COST RECOVERY</u>
24	7. Code section 125.3 states, in pertinent part, that a Board may request the
25	administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of
26	the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and
27	enforcement of the case.
28	2 Accusation

Ħ

CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

Respondent has subjected his registered applicator's license to discipline pursuant to 8. 2 Code section 8649, in that on September 11, 2008, in the Superior Court, County of Los Angeles, 3 California, in the matter entitled People v. Gerardo Elizarraraz, Case No. VA100115, (2008), 4 Respondent was convicted by the court following his plea of nolo contendere to violations of 5 Penal Code section 273D, subdivision (a) (inflict injury on a child), and Penal Code section б 273A, subdivision (a) (great bodily injury/death to a child), both felonies. The circumstances of 7 the crime are that on March 14, 2007, police officers were summoned to Whittier Presbyterian 8 Hospital regarding injuries to Respondent's 3-month old baby. The baby was being treated for a 9 fracture to her left tibia. When contacted by police officers, Respondent admitted that he grabbed 10 the baby's leg and yanked it because the baby was crying and wiggling around while Respondent 11 was trying to feed her. Respondent told the officers he heard the baby's leg snap. In a 12 subsequent interview at the police station Respondent admitted to the detectives that he frequently 13 spanked his children, bit their toes to discipline them, and that he had suffocated the infant by 14 pressing her head into his shoulder to silence her. Respondent also admitted that when the baby 1:5 was on the mattress he would press down on the baby's neck to silence her. Further, Respondent 16 admitted to violently shaking the infant repeatedly. Such conduct is substantially related to the 17 qualifications, functions, and duties of a registered applicator. 18

19

1

OTHER MATTERS

9. Code section 8620 provides, in pertinent part, that a respondent may request that a
civil penalty of not more than \$5,000 be assessed in lieu of an actual suspension of one to 19
days, or not more than \$10,000 for an actual suspension of 20 to 45 days. Such request must be
made at the time of the hearing and must be noted in the proposed decision. The proposed
decision shall not provide that a civil penalty shall be imposed in lieu of a suspension.

10. Pursuant to Code section 8654, if discipline is imposed on Registered Applicator's
License Number RA 45002, issued to Respondent, then Gerardo Elizarrarz shall be prohibited
from serving as an officer, director, associate, partner, qualifying manager, or responsible
managing employee for any registered company during the time the discipline is imposed, and

3

any registered company which employs, elects, or action.	associates him shall be subject to disciplinary	
	issociates him shall be subject to disciplinary	
2 action.		
3 PRAY	ER	
4 WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a	hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,	
5 and that following the hearing, the Structural Pest (Control Board issue a decision:	
6 1. Revoking or suspending Registered Ap	Revoking or suspending Registered Applicator License Number RA 45002, issued to	
7 Gerardo Elizarraraz;		
8 2. Ordering Gerardo Elizarrarz to pay the	Structural Pest Control Board the reasonable	
9 costs of the investigation and enforcement of this c	ase, pursuant to Code section 125.3; and,	
10 3. Taking such other and further action as	deemed necessary and proper.	
11		
12 DATED: 8/18/09	10 De	
13 KELL	OKUMA	
14 Structu	rar/Executive Officer ral Pest Control Board	
15 State of	ment of Consumer Affairs f California	
Compi	anani	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21	. (
22		
23	·	
24		
25		
26		
27 LA2008900582	₩¢₹, 4,5	
28 28 28	and the second	
	Accusat	