## 2 3 5 BEFORE THE UCTURAL PEST CONTROL BOARD 6 DEPARTMENT OF PESTICIDE REGULATION STATE OF CALIFORNIA 7. 8 Case No. 2010-86 In the Matter of the Accusation Against: 9 10 DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER EDGAR GARCIA 11 1116 North Fountain Way Anaheim, CA 92806 [Gov. Code, §11520] 12 Registered Applicator License No. RA 49023 13 14 Respondent. 15 16 FINDINGS OF FACT 17 On or about June 23, 2010, Complainant Kelli Okuma, in her official capacity as the 18 1. Registrar/Executive Officer of the Structural Pest Control Board, Department of Pesticide 19 Regulation, filed Accusation No. 2010-86 against Edgar Garcia (Respondent) before the 20 Structural Pest Control Board. (Accusation attached as Exhibit A.) 21 On or about July 10, 2008, the Structural Pest Control Board (Board) issued 22 2. Registered Applicator License No. RA 49023 to Respondent. The Registered Applicator License 23 was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on 24 25 July 10, 2011, unless renewed. On or about July 7, 2010, Respondent was served by Certified and First Class Mail 26 copies of the Accusation No. 2010-86, Statement to Respondent, two blank copies of the Notice 27 of Defense, Request for Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government Code sections 11507.5, 28

11507.6, and 11507.7) at Respondent's address of record which, pursuant to Business and Professions Code sections 136 and 8567, is required to be reported and maintained with the Board, which was and is: 1116 North Fountain Way, Anaheim, CA 92806.

- 4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c) and/or Business & Professions Code section 124.
  - 5. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:
  - (c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.
- 6. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon him of the Accusation, and therefore waived his right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. 2010-86.
  - 7. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:
  - (a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent.
- 8. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds
  Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on the
  relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Investigatory Evidence Packet in this matter,
  as well as taking official notice of all the investigatory reports, exhibits and statements contained
  therein on file at the Board's offices regarding the allegations contained in Accusation No. 201086, finds that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 2010-86, are separately and severally
  true and correct by clear and convincing evidence.
- 9. Taking official notice of its own internal records, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3, it is hereby determined that the reasonable costs for Investigation and Enforcement are \$1,887.50 as of October 6, 2010.

| //

- 1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Edgar Garcia has subjected his Registered Applicator License, No. RA 49023 to discipline,
  - The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default. 2.
- The Structural Pest Control Board is authorized to revoke Respondent's Registered 3. Applicator License based upon the following violations alleged in the Accusation which are supported by the evidence contained in the Default Decision Investigatory Evidence Packet in this case.:
- Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Business and Professions Code a. section 8649, in that he was convicted of a crime, possession of a controlled substance, to wit, methamphetamine, which is substantially related to the qualifications, duties and functions of a registered applicator.
- Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Business and Professions Code Ъ. section 8649, in that he was convicted of a crime, theft, which is substantially related to the qualifications, duties and functions of a registered applicator.

///

/// ///

## ORDER

IT IS SO ORDERED that Registered Applicator License No. RA 49023, heretofore issued to Respondent Edgar Garcia, is revoked.

Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute.

This Decision shall become effective on November 16, 2010

It is so ORDERED December 15, 2010

FOR THE STRUCTURAL PEST CONTROL BOARD
DEPARTMENT OF PESTICIDE REGULATION

70361488.DOC DOJ Matter ID:SD2010800336

Attachment:

Exhibit A: Accusation

1.6