| 1 | |
|----|---|
| | |
| 1 | KAMALA D. HARRIS |
| 2 | Attorney General of California LINDA K. SCHNEIDER |
| 3 | Supervising Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 101336 |
| 4 | ADRIAN R. CONTRERAS Deputy Attorney General |
| 5 | Supervising Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 101336 ADRIAN R. CONTRERAS Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 267200 110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101 |
| 6 | P.O. Box 85266 |
| 7 | San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-2634 |
| 8 | Facsimile: (619) 645-2061 E-mail: adrian.contreras@doj.ca.gov |
| 9 | Attorneys for Complainant |
| 10 | BEFORE THE STRUCTURAL PEST CONTROL BOARD DEPARTMENT OF PESTICIDE PECULATION |
| 11 | DEPARTMENT OF PESTICIDE REGULATION STATE OF CALIFORNIA |
| 12 | |
| 13 | In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 2011–62 |
| 14 | |
| 15 | 4850 Pacific Highway San Diego, CA 92110A C C U S A T I O N |
| 16 | |
| 17 | Applicator License No. RA 49293 Respondent. |
| 18 | |
| 19 | Complainant alleges: |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | capacity as the Interim Registrar/Executive Officer of the Structural Pest Control Board, |
| 23 | 3 Department of Pesticide Regulation. |
| 24 | 2. On or about September 19, 2008, the Structural Pest Control Board issued Applicator |
| 25 | License Number RA 49293 to Garry Hugh Dickinson (Respondent). The Applicator License will |
| 20 | 6 expire on September 19, 2011, unless renewed. |
| 2 | 7 |
| 2 | 8 |
| | 1 |
| | Accusation |

| 1 | /// |
|----|--|
| 2 | JURISDICTION |
| 3 | 3. This Accusation is brought before the Structural Pest Control Board (Board), |
| 4 | Department of Pesticide Regulation, under the authority of the following laws. All section |
| 5 | references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated. |
| 6 | 4. Section 8620 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may suspend or |
| 7 | revoke a license when it finds that the holder, while a licensee or applicant, has committed any |
| 8 | acts or omissions constituting cause for disciplinary action or in lieu of a suspension may assess a |
| 9 | civil penalty. |
| 10 | 5. Section 8620 of the Code further provides, in pertinent part, that a respondent may |
| 11 | request that a civil penalty of not more than \$5,000 be assessed in lieu of an actual suspension of |
| 12 | 1 to 19 days, or not more than \$10,000 for an actual suspension of 20 to 45 days. Such request |
| 13 | must be made at the time of the hearing and must be noted in the proposed decision. The |
| 14 | proposed decision shall not provide that a civil penalty shall be imposed in lieu of a suspension. |
| 15 | 6. Section 8625 of the Code states: |
| 16 | "The lapsing or suspension of a license or company registration by operation of law or by |
| 17 | order or decision of the board or a court of law, or the voluntary surrender of a license or |
| 18 | company registration shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to proceed with any investigation |
| 19 | of or action or disciplinary proceeding against such licensee or company, or to render a decision |
| 20 | suspending or revoking such license or registration." |
| 21 | STATUTORY PROVISIONS |
| 22 | 7. Section 482 of the Code states: |
| 23 | "Each board under the provisions of this code shall develop criteria to evaluate the |
| 24 | rehabilitation of a person when: |
| 25 | "(a) Considering the denial of a license by the board under Section 480; or |
| 26 | "(b) Considering suspension or revocation of a license under Section 490. |
| 27 | "Each board shall take into account all competent evidence of rehabilitation furnished by |
| 28 | the applicant or licensee." |
| | 2 |
| | Accusation |

8. Section 490 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may suspend or revoke a license when it finds that the licensee has been convicted of a crime.

3

4

5

6

7

1

2

9. Section 8649 of the Code states:

"Conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a structural pest control operator, field representative, applicator, or registered company is a ground for disciplinary action. The certified record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence thereof."

10. Section 8655 of the Code states:

"A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere made to a 8 charge substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a structural pest control 9 operator, field representative, applicator, or registered company is deemed to be a conviction 10 within the meaning of this article or Section 8568 of this chapter. The board may order the license 11 or registration suspended or revoked, or may decline to issue a license, when the time for appeal 12 has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting 13 probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under 14 the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the individual or registered company 15 to withdraw a plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, 16 or dismissing the accusation, information or indictment." 17

18

11. Section 493 of the Code states:

"Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a board within 19 the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspend or revoke a 20 license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who holds a license, upon the 21 ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the 22 qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the 23 crime shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact, 24 and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in 25 order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related to the 26 qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question. 27

| 1 | "As used in this section, 'license' includes 'certificate,' 'permit,' 'authority,' and |
|----|--|
| 2 | 'registration.'" |
| 3 | REGULATORY PROVISIONS |
| 4 | 12. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1937.1 states: |
| 5 | "For the purposes of denial, suspension or revocation of a license or company registration |
| 6 | pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the code, a crime or act shall be |
| 7 | considered to be substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or |
| 8 | registered company under Chapter 14 of Division 3 of the code if to a substantial degree it |
| 9 | evidences present or potential unfitness of such licensee or registered company to perform the |
| 10 | functions authorized by the license or company registration in a manner consistent with the public |
| 11 | health, safety, or welfare. Such crimes or acts shall include, but not be limited to, the following: |
| 12 | "(a) Any violation of the provisions of Chapter 14 of Division 3 of the code. |
| 13 | "(b) Commission of any of the following in connection with the practice of structural pest |
| 14 | control: |
| 15 | "(1) Fiscal dishonesty |
| 16 | "(2) Fraud |
| 17 | "(3) Theft |
| 18 | "(4) Violations relating to the misuse of pesticides." |
| 19 | 13. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1937.2 states: |
| 20 | <i>сс</i> |
| 21 | "(b) When considering the suspension or revocation of a structural pest control license or |
| 22 | company registration on the grounds that the licensee or registered company has been convicted |
| 23 | of a crime, the board, in evaluating the rehabilitation of such person or company and his or her or |
| 24 | its present eligibility for a license or company registration will consider the following: |
| 25 | "(1) Nature and severity of the act(s) or offense(s). |
| 26 | "(2) Total criminal record. |
| 27 | "(3) The time that has elapsed since commission of the act(s) or offense(s). |
| 28 | |
| | 4 |
| | Accusation |

·o'

| 1 | "(4) Whether the licensee or registered company has complied with any terms of parole, |
|--|---|
| 2 | probation, restitution or any other sanctions lawfully imposed against the licensee or registered |
| 3 | company. |
| 4 | "(5) If applicable, evidence of expungement proceedings pursuant to Section 1203.4 of the |
| 5 | Penal Code. |
| 6 | "(6) Evidence, if any of rehabilitation submitted by the licensee or registered company. |
| 7 | "(c) When considering a petition for reinstatement of a structural pest control license or |
| 8 | company registration, the board shall evaluate evidence of rehabilitation submitted by the |
| 9 | petitioner, considering those criteria specified in subsection (b)." |
| 10 | COSTS |
| 11 | 14. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the |
| 12 | administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of |
| 13 | the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and |
| 14 | enforcement of the case. |
| 15 | CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE |
| 16 | (January 20, 2011 Conviction for Burglary on July 24, 2010) |
| 17 | 15. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 490 and 8649 of the Code |
| 10 | |
| 18 | in that Respondent was convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, |
| 18 19 | in that Respondent was convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of an applicator. The circumstances are as follows: |
| | |
| 19 | and duties of an applicator. The circumstances are as follows: |
| 19 20 | and duties of an applicator. The circumstances are as follows: 16. On or about January 20, 2011, in a criminal proceeding entitled <i>People v. Garry H.</i> |
| 19 20 21 | and duties of an applicator. The circumstances are as follows: 16. On or about January 20, 2011, in a criminal proceeding entitled <i>People v. Garry H.</i> <i>Dickinson</i>, in San Diego County Superior Court, case number M120965, Respondent was |
| 19 20 21 22 | and duties of an applicator. The circumstances are as follows: 16. On or about January 20, 2011, in a criminal proceeding entitled <i>People v. Garry H.</i> <i>Dickinson</i>, in San Diego County Superior Court, case number M120965, Respondent was convicted on his plea of guilty of violating Penal Code section 459, burglary, a misdemeanor. |
| 19 20 21 22 23 | and duties of an applicator. The circumstances are as follows: 16. On or about January 20, 2011, in a criminal proceeding entitled <i>People v. Garry H.</i> <i>Dickinson</i>, in San Diego County Superior Court, case number M120965, Respondent was convicted on his plea of guilty of violating Penal Code section 459, burglary, a misdemeanor. Three additional charges of petty theft, burglary, and attempted burglary were dismissed pursuant |
| 19 20 21 22 23 24 | and duties of an applicator. The circumstances are as follows: 16. On or about January 20, 2011, in a criminal proceeding entitled <i>People v. Garry H.</i> <i>Dickinson</i>, in San Diego County Superior Court, case number M120965, Respondent was convicted on his plea of guilty of violating Penal Code section 459, burglary, a misdemeanor. Three additional charges of petty theft, burglary, and attempted burglary were dismissed pursuant to a plea agreement. |
| 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 | and duties of an applicator. The circumstances are as follows: 16. On or about January 20, 2011, in a criminal proceeding entitled <i>People v. Garry H.</i> <i>Dickinson</i>, in San Diego County Superior Court, case number M120965, Respondent was convicted on his plea of guilty of violating Penal Code section 459, burglary, a misdemeanor. Three additional charges of petty theft, burglary, and attempted burglary were dismissed pursuant to a plea agreement. 17. As a result of the conviction, on or about January 20, 2011, Respondent was |
| 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 | and duties of an applicator. The circumstances are as follows: 16. On or about January 20, 2011, in a criminal proceeding entitled <i>People v. Garry H.</i> <i>Dickinson</i>, in San Diego County Superior Court, case number M120965, Respondent was convicted on his plea of guilty of violating Penal Code section 459, burglary, a misdemeanor. Three additional charges of petty theft, burglary, and attempted burglary were dismissed pursuant to a plea agreement. 17. As a result of the conviction, on or about January 20, 2011, Respondent was sentenced to three years summary probation and ordered to pay \$1,030.00 in fines; pay restitution |

Accusation

enforcement officers. He was further ordered to stay away from the Target Store on Sports Arena Boulevard in San Diego.

1

2

3

22

23

The facts that led to the conviction are that July 24, 2010, at roughly 12:40 p.m. an 18. officer with the San Diego Police Department responded to a call regarding a theft suspect that a 4 security officer was holding at the Target Store at 3245 Sports Arena Boulevard in San Diego. 5 The officer spoke with the security guard who was holding the suspect, Respondent, for 6 shoplifting. The security guard told the officer there were several recent incidents of theft 7 involving Respondent at Target. The security guard discovered these incidents upon reviewing 8 surveillance footage to identify shortages in the cosmetics area. The police officer saw some of 9 the footage with the security guard. The footage covered three days, July 22-July 24, 2010. 10

On July 22, 2010, Respondent entered Target at roughly 12:17 p.m. and exchanged 3 19. 11 DVD movies, which he had previously collected while he was in the store and for which he never 12 paid, for a Target Gift Card at Guest Services. Then, he walked from Guest Services to the 13 cosmetic aisle, placed 5 cosmetic items in a red bag, and went to a register. He used the gift card 14 to buy a Visa debit card. He left the store without paying for the concealed cosmetic items. 15 Afterwards, he returned for a second time. He selected three more DVD movies and a floor rug. 16 Respondent left the store with the DVDs and rug without paying for them. He then returned a 17 third time that day and selected a folding table, a wall clock, and a lamp. He left the store without 18 paying for these items. 19

On July 23, 2010, Respondent entered Target at roughly 2 p.m., selected an item from 20. 20 the cosmetic section, placed it under his arm, and left without paying for it. 21

On July 24, 2010, Respondent entered Target at roughly 1:24 p.m. and selected a 21. barbeque grill. He walked to the doors at the entrance but the security guard stopped him.

Respondent admitted to the police officer after being informed of his Miranda rights 24 22. the following: he found a way to take merchandise from Target and return it without a receipt, for 25 store credit. On the 22nd, he went into Target to buy a barbeque grill for his birthday. He did not 26 have any money. He walked to the cosmetics section to get Olay products for his girlfriend. He 27 took 5 Olay products and left without paying because he had no money. He also wanted to get 28

| 1 | store credit by taking DVDs, figuring he had done it before without getting caught. He admitted | |
|-----|---|----|
| 2 | seeing the registers and making no attempt to pay for the Olay products. He put the products in | |
| 3 1 | his vehicle and then went back into the store to get a table and other merchandise to return. He | |
| 4 | admitted he took DVDs on that same day and returned them in exchange for Visa gift cards, | |
| 5 | which he used for gas. On the 23rd, he took another Olay cosmetic product. Whenever he had | |
| 6 | his red bag with him, he put the items he selected in it. He waited near the exit to exchange the | |
| 7 | Olay product. He noticed a Target employee who refused to exchange any items from him, so he | |
| 8 | waited until this employee was relieved by another employee to exchange the Olay product, but | |
| 9 | Respondent left because this employee never left. On the 24th, he entered Target without any | |
| 10 | money. He wanted to take the barbeque grill. | |
| 11 | 23. Respondent consented to a search of his residence. The officer found the items | |
| 12 | Respondent took from Target inside of the residence. | |
| 13 | PRAYER | |
| 14 | WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, | |
| 15 | and that following the hearing, the Structural Pest Control Board issue a decision: | |
| 16 | 1. Revoking or suspending Applicator License Number RA 49293, issued to Garry | |
| 17 | Hugh Dickinson; | |
| 18 | 2. Ordering Garry Hugh Dickinson to pay the Structural Pest Control Board the | |
| 19 | reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and | |
| 20 | Professions Code section 125.3; and | |
| 21 | 3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper. | |
| 22 | DATED Flack | |
| 23 | DATED: <u>5/20/11</u> WILLIAM H. DOUGLAS Interim Registrar/Executive Officer | |
| 24 | Structural Pest Control Board Department of Pesticide Regulation | |
| 25 | State of California | |
| 26 | Complainant | |
| 27 | SD2011800177 | |
| 28 | 80501658.doc | |
| | 7 | |
| | Accusati | on |