	a sector of the		
1			
2			
3			
4		1.2	
5			
6	BEFORE THE STRUCTURAL PEST CONTROL BOARD		
7	DEPARTMENT OF PESTICIDE REGULATION STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
8			
9	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 2011-46		
10	JEREMY PAUL MORGAN DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER		
11	Wizard Pest Control 8754 Golf Drive		
12	Spring Valley, CA 91977 [Gov. Code, §11520]		
13	Applicator License No. RA 49533		
14	Respondent.		
15	FINDINGS OF FACT		
16	1. On or about March 16, 2011, Complainant Kelli Okuma, in her official capacity as		
17	the Registrar/Executive Officer of the Structural Pest Control Board, Department of Pesticide		
18	Regulation, filed Accusation No. 2011-46 against Jeremy Paul Morgan (Respondent) before the		
19	Structural Pest Control Board. (Accusation attached as Exhibit A.)		
20	2. On or about December 11, 2008, the Structural Pest Control Board (Board) issued		
21	Applicator License No. RA 49533 to Respondent. The Applicator License was in full force and		
22	effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on December 11, 2011,		
23	unless renewed.		
24	3. On or about March 22, 2011, Respondent was served by Certified and First Class		
25	Mail copies of the Accusation No. 2011-46, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Reques		
26	for Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and		
27	11507.7) at Respondent's address of record which, pursuant to Business and Professions Code		
28	section 136, is required to be reported and maintained with the Board, which was and is:		
	1		
	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORD	ER	

1 2	Wizard Pest Control 8754 Golf Drive Spring Valley, CA 91977	
3	Respondent was also served at the residence address listed on his December 10, 2010, arrest	
4	report which was and is:	
5	248 South Dawes Avenue	
6	Stockton, CA 95215	
7	4. Service of the Accusations were effective as a matter of law under the provisions of	
8	Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c) and/or Business & Professions Code section	
9	124.	
10	5. On or about March 29, 2011, the aforementioned documents mailed to Respondent's	
11	address of record were returned by the U.S. Postal Service marked "Refused - Not Living Here."	
12	The address on the documents was the same as the address on file with the Board. On or about	
13	March 30, 2011, the aforementioned documents mailed to Respondent at the address in Stockton,	
14	California were returned by the U.S. Postal Service marked "Returned to Sender - Insufficient	
15	Address."	
16	6. On or about April 5, 2011, Respondent was served by Certified and First Class Mail	
17	copies of the Accusation No. 2011-46, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for	
18	Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7) a	t
19	an alternate address Respondent filed with the Board, which was and is:	
20	P.O. Box 486	
21	Spring Valley, CA 91976	
22	7. On or about April 7, 2011, the aforementioned documents mailed to Respondent's	
23	alternate address were returned by the U.S. Postal Service marked "Not Deliverable as Addressed	
24	– Unable to Forward."	
25	8. Respondent failed to maintain an updated address with the Board and the Board has	
26	made several attempts to serve the Respondent at all addresses on file. Respondent has not made	;
27	himself available for service and therefore, has not availed himself of his right to file a notice of	
28	defense and appear at hearing.	
	2	

1	9.	Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:
2	(c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts	
3	of the	accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall
4	constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.	
5	10.	Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon him
6	of the Accusation, and therefore waived his right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No.	
7	2011-46.	
8	11.	California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:
9	hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent.	
10 11		
12	12.	Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds
13	Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on the	
14	relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this matter, as well as	
15	taking official notice of all the investigatory reports, exhibits and statements contained therein on	
16	file at the Board's offices regarding the allegations contained in Accusation No. 2011-46, finds	
17	that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 2011-46, are separately and severally, found to	
18	be true and correct by clear and convincing evidence.	
19	13.	Taking official notice of its own internal records, pursuant to Business and
20	Professions Code section 125.3, it is hereby determined that the reasonable costs for Investigation	
21	and Enforcement is \$1,105.00 as of April 7, 2011.	
22		DETERMINATION OF ISSUES
23	1.	Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Jeremy Paul Morgan has
24	subjected his Applicator License No. RA 49533 to discipline.	
25	2.	The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.
26	3.	The Structural Pest Control Board is authorized to revoke Respondent's Applicator
27	License b	ased upon the following violation alleged in the Accusation which is supported by the
28	Default D	Decision Investigatory Evidence Packet in this case:
		3

1	Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 490 and 8649 of the		
2	Code in that on or about December 17, 2010, in a criminal proceeding entitled People of the State		
3	of California v. Jeremy Paul Morgan, in San Joaquin County Superior Court, case number		
4	SF116478A, Respondent was convicted on his plea of guilty of violating Penal Code section		
5	12021, subdivision (a)(1), a second strike felon and addict in possession of a firearm.		
6	ORDER		
7	IT IS SO ORDERED that Applicator License No. RA 49533, heretofore issued to		
8	Respondent Jeremy Paul Morgan, is revoked.		
9	Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a		
10	written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within		
11	seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may		
12	vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute.		
13	This Decision shall become effective onJune 1, 2011		
14	It is so ORDERED May 2, 2011		
15			
16	TOD THE OTRIJOTURAL DEST CONTROL DOARD		
17	DEPARTMENT OF PESTICIDE REGULATION		
18			
19			
20			
21	DOJ Matter ID: SD2011700262		
22	Attachment:		
23	Exhibit A: Accusation		
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	4		
	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER	R	

	Kamala D. Harris			
2	Attorney General of California LINDA K. SCHNEIDER			
3	Attorney General of California LINDA K. SCHNEIDER Supervising Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 101336 AMANDA DODDS Senior Legal Analyst 110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266 Date 3/16/11 By Helli Chuna			
4	AMANDA DODDS Senior Legal Analyst			
5	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101			
6	San Diego, CA 92186-5266			
7	Telephone: (619) 645-2141 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061			
8	Attorneys for Complainant			
9	BEFORE THE STRUCTURAL PEST CONTROL BOARD DEPARTMENT OF PESTICIDE REGULATION			
10		CALIFORNIA		
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 2011-46		
12	JEREMY PAUL MORGAN	ACCUSATION		
13	Wizard Pest Control 8754 Golf Drive			
14	Spring Valley, CA 91977			
15	Applicator License No. RA 49533			
16	Respondent.			
17				
18	Complainant alleges:			
19	PAJ	RTIES		
20	1. Kelli Okuma (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as			
21	the Registrar and Executive Officer of the Structural Pest Control Board, Department of Pesticide			
22	Regulation.			
23	2. On or about December 11, 2008, the Structural Pest Control Board issued Applicator			
24	License Number RA 49533 to Jeremy Paul Morgan (Respondent). The Applicator License was in			
25	full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on			
26	December 11, 2011, unless renewed.			
27	///			
20				
28	///	·		

Ň T

1	JURISDICTION	
2	3. This Accusation is brought before the Structural Pest Control Board (Board),	
3	Department of Pesticide Regulation, under the authority of the following laws. All section	
4	references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.	
5	. 4. Section 8620 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may suspend or	
6	revoke a license when it finds that the holder, while a licensee or applicant, has committed any	
7	acts or omissions constituting cause for disciplinary action or in lieu of a suspension may assess a	
8	civil penalty.	
9	5. Section 8625 of the Code states:	
10	The lapsing or suspension of a license or company registration by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, or the voluntary surrender	
11	of a license or company registration shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to proceed with any investigation of or action or disciplinary proceeding against such	
12	licensee or company, or to render a decision suspending or revoking such license or registration.	
13	STATUTORY PROVISIONS	
14		
15	6. Section 482 of the Code states:	
16 17	Each board under the provisions of this code shall develop criteria to evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when:	
18	(a) Considering the denial of a license by the board under Section 480; or	
10	(b) Considering suspension or revocation of a license under Section 490.	
20	Each board shall take into account all competent evidence of rehabilitation furnished by the applicant or licensee.	
21	7. Section 490 of the Code states, in pertinent part, that the Board may suspend or	
22	revoke a license when it finds that the licensee has been convicted of a crime.	
23	8. Section 8649 of the Code states:	
24	Conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and	
25	duties of a structural pest control operator, field representative, applicator, or registered company is a ground for disciplinary action. The certified record of	
26	conviction shall be conclusive evidence thereof.	
27	111	
28		
	2	
	Accusatio	

•"

n |

9. Section 8654 of the Code states:

Any individual who has been denied a license for any of the reasons specified in Section 8568, or who has had his or her license revoked, or whose license is under suspension, or who has failed to renew his or her license while it was under suspension, or who has been a member, officer, director, associate, qualifying manager, or responsible managing employee of any partnership, corporation, firm, or association whose application for a company registration has been denied for any of the reasons specified in Section 8568, or whose company registration has been revoked as a result of disciplinary action, or whose company registration is under suspension, and while acting as such member, officer, director, associate, qualifying manager, or responsible managing employee had knowledge of or participated in any of the prohibited acts for which the license or registration was denied, suspended or revoked, shall be prohibited from serving as an officer, director, associate, partner, qualifying manager, or responsible managing employee of a registered company, and the employment, election or association of such person by a registered company is a ground for disciplinary action.

10

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

10. Section 8655 of the Code states:

A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere made to a charge substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a structural pest control operator, field representative, applicator, or registered company is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this article or Section 8568 of this chapter. The board may order the license or registration suspended or revoked, or may decline to issue a license, when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the individual or registered company to withdraw a plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information or indictment.

REGULATORY PROVISIONS

11

11. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1937.1 states:

For the purposes of denial, suspension or revocation of a license or company registration pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the code, a crime or act shall be considered to be substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registered company under Chapter 14 of Division 3 of the code if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of such licensee or registered company to perform the functions authorized by the license or company registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare. Such crimes or acts shall include, but not be limited to, the following:

(a) Any violation of the provisions of Chapter 14 of Division 3 of the code.

(b) Commission of any of the following in connection with the practice of structural pest control:

(1) Fiscal dishonesty

(2) Fraud

(3) Theft

(4) Violations relating to the misuse of pesticides.

12.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1937.2 states:

(b) When considering the suspension or revocation of a structural pest control license or company registration on the grounds that the licensee or registered company has been convicted of a crime, the board, in evaluating the rehabilitation of such person or company and his or her or its present eligibility for a license or company registration will consider the following:

(1) Nature and severity of the act(s) or offense(s).

(2) Total criminal record.

(3) The time that has elapsed since commission of the act(s) or offense(s).

(4) Whether the licensee or registered company has complied with any terms of parole, probation, restitution or any other sanctions lawfully imposed against the licensee or registered company.

(5) If applicable, evidence of expungement proceedings pursuant to Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code.

(6) Evidence, if any of rehabilitation submitted by the licensee or registered company.

(c) When considering a petition for reinstatement of a structural pest control license or company registration, the board shall evaluate evidence of rehabilitation submitted by the petitioner, considering those criteria specified in subsection (b).

COSTS

19 13. Section 125.3 of the Code states, in pertinent part, that a Board may request the
administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of
the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and

22 enforcement of the case.

23

24

25

CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(December 17, 2010 Criminal Conviction for Felon/Drug Addict in Possession of a Firearm)

14. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 490 and 8649 of the

26 Code in that Respondent was convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications,

27 || functions, and duties of an applicator. The circumstances are as follows:

28 || ///

a. On or about December 17, 2010, in a criminal proceeding entitled People of the State of California v. Jeremy Paul Morgan, in San Joaquin County Superior Court, case number SF116478A, Respondent was convicted on his plea of guilty of violating Penal Code section 12021, subdivision (a)(1), a second strike felon and addict in possession of a firearm. (See Disciplinary Considerations, paragraph 15, below.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

b. As a result of the conviction, on or about December 17, 2010, Respondent was sentenced to 120 days in the county jail, with credit for seven days, and five years conditional probation. Respondent was further ordered not to own, possess or have custody or control of a firearm, submit to a waiver his Fourth Amendment rights upon request of law enforcement, and pay fees, fines, and restitution in the amount of \$1,465. 10

c. The facts that led to the conviction are that in or about the evening of December 11 10, 2010, the San Joaquin Sheriff's Department responded to a call of a burglary in process at a 12 Stockton residence. Upon arrival, the deputies spoke to the victim who stated that upon returning 13 to her residence, she confronted two unknown males attempting to load her boyfriend's gun safe 14 into the back of their SUV. One suspect, who was wearing a black hoodie sweatshirt, fled on 15 foot, while the driver fled in the SUV. The deputies contacted the registered owner of the SUV 16 using the license plate information provided by the victim. The SUV's owner reported that she 17 was visiting friends and her vehicle was taken by one of the suspects without her permission, and 18 later returned it with fresh damage. The following morning, December 11, 2010, deputies 19 converged on the residence where the suspect was reported living. As they approached the 20 residence, they observed Respondent and another male in the driveway. Respondent appeared to 21 be hiding something underneath the vehicle he was standing next to. A deputy discovered that 22 Respondent had concealed a loaded Smith and Wesson .38 Special inside a black hoodie 23 sweatshirt on the ground. When the deputy alerted his partners that he found a gun, Respondent 24 attempted to flee. A struggle ensued and the deputies were forced to deploy a canine and use 25 physical force to subdue Respondent. A small bag containing 18 additional rounds of 26 ammunition was inside the sweatshirt. Inside Respondent's pocket was a black holster. 27 Respondent's explanation was that he found the gun and the sweatshirt on the ground shortly 28

Accusation

before the deputies arrived. Respondent confirmed he lived at the same residence as the other suspect in the residential burglary. Respondent was found to have an active warrant on another matter and was arrested.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

DISCIPLINARY CONSIDERATIONS

i Na series se

(June 20, 2005 Criminal Conviction for Carrying a Concealed Weapon & Drug Possession)

15. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent, pursuant to California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1937.2, Complainant alleges:

a. On or about June 20, 2005, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People of the State of California v. Jeremy Paul Morgan*, in San Joaquin County Superior Court, case number
SF096386A, Respondent was convicted on his plea of guilty of violating Penal Code section
12025, subdivision (a)(1), carrying a concealed weapon in a vehicle, a felony. Respondent also
pled guilty to violating Health and Safety Code section 11377, subdivision (a), possession of a
controlled substance, a felony. An additional count of carrying a loaded firearm, in violation of
Penal Code section 12031, subdivision (a)(1), was dismissed pursuant to a plea agreement.

b. As a result of the conviction on the weapon charge, on or about June 20, 2005, 15 Respondent was sentenced to three years conditional probation, and ordered to serve two days in 16 the county jail, with credit for two days. Respondent was further ordered not to own, possess or 17 have custody or control of a firearm, and submit to a waiver his Fourth Amendment rights as to 18 weapons upon request of law enforcement. As to the drug possession charge, the court deferred 19 entry of judgment for 18-36 months, pursuant to Penal Code section 1000, and Respondent was 20 ordered to enroll in and complete a drug diversion program, and pay fines, fees, and restitution in 21 the amount of \$500. Respondent failed to enroll in the drug treatment program by the deadline, 22 then he violated program rules by admitting use of cocaine and methamphetamine, refused to 23 submit to a biological sample, refused to attend outside support group meetings, and left the 24 program without testing and without permission. On or about January 10, 2006, Respondent's 25 probation granted on June 20, 2005, was revoked. Upon conviction for the drug possession, the 26 court sentenced Respondent to 120 days in the county jail, with credit for 23 days, and formal 27 probation for five years. Respondent was ordered to pay \$375 in fees, fines, and restitution, and 28

comply with the terms of felony probation, including the condition that he not commit the same or similar offense, that he participate in a treatment program, abstain from the use of alcohol and drugs, not own, possess or have custody or control of a firearm, submit to a waiver his Fourth Amendment rights upon request of law enforcement, register as a narcotics offender, and submit to drug testing as required.

OTHER MATTERS

16. Pursuant to section 8654 of the Code, if discipline is imposed on Applicator License Number RA 49533 issued to Respondent, Jeremy Paul Morgan shall be prohibited from serving as an officer, director, associate, partner, qualifying manager, or responsible managing employee for any registered company during the time the discipline is imposed, and any registered company which employs, elects, or associates Jeremy Paul Morgan shall be subject to disciplinary action.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Structural Pest Control Board issue a decision:

Revoking or suspending Applicator License Number RA 49533, issued to Jeremy
 Paul Morgan;

Ordering Jeremy Paul Morgan to pay the Structural Pest Control Board the reasonable
 costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions
 Code section 125.3;

20

21

24

25

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

7

22 DATED: 3/16/11 23

26 || SD2011700262 27 || 80473704.doc

3.

OKUMA

KELLI OKUMA Registrar/Executive Officer Structural Pest Control Board Department of Pesticide Regulation State of California *Complainant*