1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	BEFORE THE STRUCTURAL PEST CONTROL BOARD	
7	DEPARTMENT OF PESTICIDE REGULATION STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
8		
9	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 2011-2	
10		
11	MARCO TULIO MARTINEZ DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER	
12	5005 August Street, #3 Los Angeles, CA 90008 De dictored Angeliantes No. DA 50315	
13	Registered Applicator License No. RA 50315 [Gov. Code, §11520]	
14	Demendent	
15	Respondent.	
16		
17	FINDINGS OF FACT	
18	1. On or about July 12, 2010, Complainant Kelli Okuma, in her official capacity as the	
19	Registrar/Executive Officer of the Structural Pest Control Board, Department of Pesticide	
20	Regulation, filed Accusation No. 2011-2 against Marco Tulio Martinez (Respondent) before the	ļ
21	Structural Pest Control Board.	
22	2. On or about September 16, 2009, the Structural Pest Control Board (Board) issued	
23	Registered Applicator License No. RA 50315 to Respondent. The Registered Applicator License	;
24	was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on	
25	September 16, 2012, unless renewed.	
26	3. On or about July 21, 2010, Teresa Sutton, an employee of the Department of Justice,	
27	served by Certified and First Class Mail a copy of the Accusation No. 2011-2, Statement to	
28	Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for Discovery, and Government Code sections 11507:5,	
	1	
	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER (Case No. 2011-2	2)

я́

Ĵ

1	11507.6, and 11507.7 to Respondent's address of record with the Board, which was and is: 5005	
2	August Street, #3, Los Angeles, CA 90008.	
3	A copy of the Accusation is attached as exhibit A, and is incorporated herein by reference.	
4	4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of	
5	Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c).	
6	5. On or about July 26, 2010, the Domestic Return Receipt signed by Respondent was	
7	returned to the Department of Justice by the U.S. Postal Service.	
8	6. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:	
9 10	(c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall	
11	constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.	
12	7. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon him	
13	of the Accusation, and therefore waived his right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No.	
14	2011-2.	
15	8. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:	
16	(a) [I]f the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the	
17	agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other	
18	evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent.	
19	9. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds	
20	Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on the	
21	evidence on file herein, finds that the allegations in Accusation No. 2011-2 are true.	
22	10. The total cost for investigation and enforcement in connection with the Accusation	
23	are \$665.00 as of August 16, 2010.	
24	DETERMINATION OF ISSUES	
25	1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Marco Tulio Martinez has	
26	subjected his Registered Applicator License No. RA 50315 to discipline.	
27	2. A copy of the Accusation is attached.	
28	3. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.	
	2	
	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER (Case No. 2011)	•2)

 α

1	4. The Structural Pest Control Board is authorized to revoke Respondent's Registered
2.	Applicator License based upon the following violations alleged in the Accusation:
3	a. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code sections 8649 and 490,
4	subdivision (a), in that on or about February 16, 2010, in the criminal proceeding titled People v.
5	Marco Tulio Martinez, etc. (Super. Ct. Riverside County, 2010, Case No. RIM 10000797),
6	Respondent pled nolo contendere to violating Penal Code section 490.5 (theft of retail
7	merchandise, a misdemeanor), a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and
8	duties of a registered applicator. The circumstances of the crime are as follows: On or about
9	December 29, 2009, Respondent shoplifted various merchandise from Stater Brothers grocery
10	store located in Moreno Valley, California.
11	ORDER
12	IT IS SO ORDERED that Registered Applicator License No. RA 50315, heretofore issued
13	to Respondent Marco Tulio Martinez, is revoked.
14	Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a
15	written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within
16	seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may
17	vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute.
18	This Decision shall become effective on October 29, 2010
19	It is so ORDERED <u>September 29, 2010</u>
20	
21	FOR THE STRUCTURAL PEST CONTROL BOARD DEPARTMENT OF PESTICIDE REGULATION
22	DEPARTMENT OF PESTICIDE REGULATION
23	50725600.DOCX DOJ docket number:LA2010501762
24	Attachment:
25	Exhibit A: Accusation No. 2011-2
26	
27	
28	
	3 DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER (Case No. 2011-2

Exhibit A Accusation No. 2011-2

1	E	dmund G. Brown Jr.	
2	A	Ltorney General of California LFREDO TERRAZAS enior Assistant Attorney General	
3	G	enior Assisfant Attorney General	
4	S S	LLFREDO TERRAZAS enior Assistant Attorney General GREGORY J. SALUTE supervising Deputy Attorney General state Bar No. 164015 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles CA 90013	,
5		LUS Aligeles, CA JUUID	
6		Telephone: (213) 897-2520 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804	
7		Attorneys for Complainant	
8		BEFORE THE	
9		STRUCTURAL PEST CONTROL BOARD DEPARTMENT OF PESTICIDE REGULATION	
10		STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
11		In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 2011-2	
12		MARCO T. MARTINEZ,	
13	;]	a.k.a. MARCO TULIO MARTINEZ, a.k.a. MARCO TULIO MARTINEZ CRUZ, A C C U S A T I O N	
14	t	a.k.a. MARCO JULIO MARTINEZ 5005 August Street, Unit #3	
15	5	Los Angeles, California 90008 Registered Applicator's License No. RA 50315	
10	5	Respondent.	
1'	7 -		
1	8	Complainant alleges:	
1	9	PARTIES	
. 2	.0	1. Kelli Okuma ("Complainant") brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as	
2	1	the Registrar/Executive Officer of the Structural Pest Control Board ("Board"), Department of	
2	22	Pesticide Regulation.	
	23	2. On or about September 16, 2009, the Board issued Registered Applicator's License	
	24	Number RA 50315 in Branches 2 (general pest) and 3 (termite) to Marco T. Martinez, also known	
	25	as Marco Tulio Martinez, Marco Tulio Martinez Cruz, and Marco Julio Martinez	
	26	("Respondent"). Respondent's registered applicator's license will expire on September 16, 2012,	
	27	unless renewed.	
	28	///	
		1	.

, n

10

 $\left(\begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array}\right)$

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

Ŀ,

 \hat{I}_{i}

1	STATUTORY PROVISIONS	
2	3. Business and Professions Code ("Code") section 8620 provides, in pertinent part, that	
3	the Board may suspend or revoke a license when it finds that the holder, while a licensee or	
4	applicant, has committed any acts or omissions constituting cause for disciplinary action or in lieu	
5	of a suspension may assess a civil penalty.	
6	4. Code section 8654 states:	
7 8 9	Any individual who has been denied a license for any of the reasons specified in Section 8568, or who has had his or her license revoked, or whose license is under suspension, or who has failed to renew his or her license while it was under suspension, or who has been a member, officer, director, associate, qualifying manager, or responsible managing employee of any partnership, corporation, firm, or association whose application for a company registration has been denied for any of the reasons specified in Section 8568, or whose company registration has been	
10 11 12 13 14	revoked as a result of disciplinary action, or whose company registration has been suspension, and while acting as such member, officer, director, associate, qualifying manager, or responsible managing employee had knowledge of or participated in any of the prohibited acts for which the license or registration was denied, suspended or revoked, shall be prohibited from serving as an officer, director, associate, partner, qualifying manager, or responsible managing employee of a registered company, and the employment, election or association of such person by a registered company is a ground for disciplinary action.	
15	5. Code section 8649 states:	
16 17 18	Conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a structural pest control operator, field representative, applicator, or registered company is a ground for disciplinary action. The certified record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence thereof.	
19	6. Code section 8655 states:	
20 21 22 23 24 25	suspended or revoked, or may decline to issue a license, when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the individual or registered company to withdraw a plea of guilty and to enter a plea	
26		.
27	7 ///	
2		
	<u> </u>	 ion

Code section 490, subdivision (a), states:

7.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

In addition to any other action that a board is permitted to take against a licensee, a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime, if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.

COST RECOVERY

8. Code section 125.3 states, in pertinent part, that a Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Criminal Conviction)

Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code sections 8649 and 490, 9. 12 subdivision (a), in that on or about February 16, 2010, in the criminal proceeding titled People v. 13 Marco Tulio Martinez, etc. (Super. Ct. Riverside County, 2010, Case No. RIM 10000797), 14 Respondent pled nolo contendere to violating Penal Code section 490.5 (theft of retail 15 merchandise, a misdemeanor), a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and 16 duties of a registered applicator. The circumstances of the crime are as follows: On or about 17 December 29, 2009, Respondent shoplifted various merchandise from Stater Brothers grocery 18 store located in Moreno Valley, California. 19

20

OTHER MATTERS

10. Code section 8620 provides, in pertinent part, that a respondent may request that a
civil penalty of not more than \$5,000 be assessed in lieu of an actual suspension of I to 19 days,
or not more than \$10,000 for an actual suspension of 20 to 45 days. Such request must be made
at the time of the hearing and must be noted in the proposed decision. The proposed decision
shall not provide that a civil penalty shall be imposed in lieu of a suspension.

26 11. Pursuant to Code section 8654, if discipline is imposed on Registered Applicator's
27 License Number RA 50315, issued to Marco T. Martinez, also known as Marco Tulio Martinez,
28 Marco Tulio Martinez Cruz, and Marco Julio Martinez (hereinafter "Marco T. Martinez"), Marco

T. Martinez shall be prohibited from serving as an officer, director, associate, partner, qualifying manager, or responsible managing employee for any registered company during the time the discipline is imposed, and any registered company which employs, elects, or associates Marco T. Martinez shall be subject to disciplinary action.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Structural Pest Control Board issue a decision:

Revoking or suspending Registered Applicator's License Number RA 50315, issued
 to Marco T. Martinez, also known as Marco Tulio Martinez, Marco Tulio Martinez Cruz, and
 Marco Julio Martinez;

Prohibiting Marco T. Martinez, also known as Marco Tulio Martinez, Marco Tulio
 Martinez Cruz, and Marco Julio Martinez, from serving as an officer, director, associate, partner,
 qualifying manager or responsible managing employee of any registered company during the
 period that discipline is imposed on Registered Applicator's License Number RA 50315, issued
 to Marco T. Martinez, also known as Marco Tulio Martinez, Marco Tulio Martinez Cruz, and
 Marco Julio Martinez;

Ordering Marco T. Martinez, also known as Marco Tulio Martinez, Marco Tulio
 Martinez Cruz, and Marco Julio Martinez, to pay the Structural Pest Control Board the reasonable
 costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions
 Code section 125.3;

21

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

4

22 DATED: 2/12/10 23 24

4.

LA2010501762

accusation.rtf

Registrar/Executive Officer Structural Pest Control Board Department of Pesticide Regulation State of California *Complainant*

Accusation