# 

# BEFORE THE STRUCTURAL PEST CONTROL BOARD DEPARTMENT OF PESTICIDE REGULATION STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Case No. 2011-42

## BARRY RONALD HERRON

1386 Linhere Street Carpinteria, CA 93013

Applicator's License No. RA 50560

Respondent.

# DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER

[Gov. Code, §11520]

#### FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. On or about March 10, 2011, Complainant Kelli Okuma, in her official capacity as the Registrar/Executive Officer of the Structural Pest Control Board, Department of Pesticide Regulation, filed Accusation No. 2011-42 against Barry Ronald Herron (Respondent) before the Structural Pest Control Board. (Accusation attached as Exhibit A.)
- 2. On or about December 28, 2009, the Structural Pest Control Board (Board) issued Applicator's License No. RA 50560 to Respondent. The Applicator's License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 2011-42 and will expire on December 28, 2012, unless renewed.
- 3. On or about March 30, 2011, Respondent was served by Certified and First Class Mail copies of the Accusation No. 2011-42, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and

11507.7) at Respondent's address of record which, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 136, is required to be reported and maintained with the Board, which was and is:

# 1386 Linhere Street Carpinteria, CA 93013.

- 4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c) and/or Business & Professions Code section 124.
  - 5. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:
  - (c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.
- 6. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon him of the Accusation, and therefore waived his right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. 2011-42.
  - 7. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:
  - (a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent.
- 8. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on the relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this matter, as well as taking official notice of all the investigatory reports, exhibits and statements contained therein on file at the Board's offices regarding the allegations contained in Accusation No. 2011-42, finds that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 2011-42, are separately and severally, found to be true and correct by clear and convincing evidence.
- 9. Taking official notice of its own internal records, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3, it is hereby determined that the reasonable costs for Investigation and Enforcement is \$ 1,572.50 as of July 18, 2011.

#### **DETERMINATION OF ISSUES**

- 1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Barry Ronald Herron has subjected his Applicator's License No. RA 50560 to discipline.
  - 2. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.
- 3. The Structural Pest Control Board is authorized to revoke Respondent's Applicator's License based upon the following violations alleged in the Accusation which are supported by the evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this case.:
- a. Violation of Business and Professions Code section 8649 for his conviction in June
   2010 for violating Health and Safety Code section 11358 (cultivation of marijuana); and
  - b. Violation of Business and Professions Code section 490 for the same conviction.

#### ORDER

IT IS SO ORDERED that Applicator's License No. RA 50560, heretofore issued to Respondent Barry Ronald Herron, is revoked.

Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute.

This Decision shall become effective on October 29, 2011

It is so ORDERED September 29, 2011

FOR THE STRUCTURAL PEST CONTROL
BOARD

DEPARTMENT OF PESTICIDE REGULATION

50938599.DOC DOJ Matter ID:LA2010600904

Attachment: Exhibit A: Accusation

2.6

FILED KAMALA D. HARRIS 1 Attorney General of California Date 3/10/11 By Kelli Okura KAREN B. CHAPPELLE 2 Supervising Deputy Attorney General GEOFFREY L. WARD 3 State Bar No. 246437 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 4 Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-2660 5 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804 Attorneys for Complainant 6 BEFORE THE 7 STRUCTURAL PEST CONTROL BOARD DEPARTMENT OF PESTICIDE REGULATION 8 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 Case No. 2011-42 In the Matter of the Accusation Against: 10 BARRY RONALD HERRON 11 ACCUSATION 12 1386 Linhere Street Carpinteria, CA 93013 13 Applicator's License No. RA 50560, Branches 2 14 and 3 Respondent. 15 16 Complainant alleges: 17 **PARTIES** 18 Kelli Okuma ("Complainant") brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as 19 the Registrar/Executive Officer of the Structural Pest Control Board, Department of Pesticide 20 Regulation ("Board"). 21 Applicator's License 22 On or about December 28, 2009, the Board issued Applicator's License Number RA 23 50560 in Branches 2 and 3 to Barry Ronald Herron ("Respondent"). The applicator's license was 24 in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on 25 26 December 28, 2012, unless renewed. 27 111 28 ///

Accusation

### JURISDICTION AND RELEVANT STATUTES

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board under the authority of the following laws:
- 4. Section 8620 of the Business and Professions Code ("Code") and Code section 8623 provide, in pertinent part, that the Board may suspend or revoke a license when it finds that the holder, while a licensee or applicant, has committed any acts or omissions constituting cause for disciplinary action.
- 5. Code Section 8620 also provides that the Board may assess a civil penalty in lieu of a suspension:

In addition to its authority to suspend or revoke a license, the board may assess a civil penalty as follows:

(a) Upon the conclusion of a hearing held pursuant to Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 11500) of Part 1 of Division 3 of Title 2 of the Government Code, if the proposed decision of the hearing officer is that the licensee is guilty of or has committed any one of the acts or omissions constituting grounds for disciplinary action, the proposed decision shall provide for the imposition of a suspension or for the revocation of the license. In this case, the board may impose the suspension or revocation. The board may also, in lieu of a suspension, assess a civil penalty. The licensee may express a preference for a form of discipline, but the board shall not be bound by any expression of preference.

If a licensee elects to stipulate to a disciplinary action prior to an administrative hearing, the board may impose a civil penalty, in accordance with this section, in lieu of suspension. If a proposed stipulation is rejected by the board, it is null and void and does not constitute an admission of any violation charged.

- (b) The civil penalty shall not be more than five thousand dollars (\$5,000) for an actual suspension of one to 19 days.
- (c) The civil penalty shall not be more than ten thousand dollars (\$10,000) for an actual suspension of 20 to 45 days.
- (d) If a licensee is assessed the civil penalty in lieu of an actual suspension, the penalty shall be paid before the effective date of the decision.
- (e) If the civil penalty is not paid before the effective date of the suspension, the license shall be suspended until the penalty is paid or until the actual suspension is served.

No civil penalty shall be assessed in lieu of any suspension which exceeds 45 days. With the exception of the proceedings on suspensions undertaken or on fines levied pursuant to Section 8617, the proceedings under this article shall be conducted in accordance with Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 11500) of Part 1 of Division 3 of Title 2 of the Government Code, and the board shall have all the powers granted therein.

1

2

3

4

5

#### COST RECOVERY

9. Code section 125.3 provides in pertinent part that a Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

#### FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Business and Professions Code Section 8649 - Substantially Related Criminal Conviction)

- 10. Respondent's license is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 8649 because Respondent pled no contest to and was convicted of a violation of Health and Safety Code section 11358 (cultivating marijuana), a felony, on June 8, 2010 in Santa Barbara Superior Court in the matter entitled *People vs. Barry Ronald Herron*, Case No. 1331850.
- 11. The circumstances of the Respondent's conviction for marijuana cultivation are as follows:
  - a. On or about March 22, 2010, Respondent posted a Craigslist advertisement offering to sell marijuana clippings (also known as "clones"). The ad included his first initial, indicated he lived in Carpinteria, and listed his cell phone number.
  - b. After contacting Respondent, Sheriff's officers arranged a meeting in Montecito, where Respondent was working as an exterminator. Respondent drove his work truck to the meeting during working hours. He was arrested after he sold marijuana clones to an undercover officer. The officers then discovered two glass pipes used to smoke methamphetamine and an operable digital scale in his truck.
  - c. After arresting him, Sheriff's officers executed a search warrant at his house, where he lived with his wife and three children. There they found marijuana plants growing in plain view in the back yard and other marijuana plants in the garage.

///

///

111 .

12. Respondent's conduct is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensed applicator, because during working hours he sold marijuana he cultivated and used work equipment to do so.

#### SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Business and Professions Code Section 490 - Substantially Related Criminal Conviction)

13. By committing the acts alleged in paragraphs 10 through 12 above, realleged herein and incorporated as though set forth in full, Respondent's license is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 490 because Respondent's conviction for a violation of Health and Safety Code section 11358 is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensed applicator, because during work hours he sold marijuana he cultivated and used work equipment to do so.

# OTHER MATTERS

14. Pursuant to Code section 8654, if discipline is imposed on Applicator's License Number RA 50560, issued to Respondent, then Barry Ronald Herron, shall be prohibited from serving as an officer, director, associate, partner or responsible managing employee of a Board licensee, and any licensee which employs, elects, or associates him shall be subject to disciplinary action.

#### **PRAYER**

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Structural Pest Control Board issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Applicator's License Number RA 50560, issued to Barry Ronald Herron;
- 2. Ordering Barry Ronald Herron to pay the Structural Pest Control Board the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3; and,

26 / ///

27 | ///

28 | ///

	<ol> <li>Taking such otl</li> </ol>	her and further action as deemed necessary and proper.
1	J, Taking such ou	for and referred determined measures, and proper.
2		
3	DATED: 3/10/11	Loop. Okuma
4	DATED. JIV III	KELLI OKUMA  Registros/Fraguting Officer
5		Registrar/Executive Officer Structural Pest Control Board
6		Department of Pesticide Regulation State of California
7		Complainant
8		
9		
	,	
10	LA2010600904	
11	10656492_3.doc	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20	<u> </u>	
21	\	
23	11	
2	<u>{</u>	
2	4	
2	.5	
2	26	
2	27	
	28	
_		