ι,	11	. 1		
	1			
	2			
	3			
	4	н. Э		
	5	9 		
	6			
	STRUCTURAL PE	ORE THE ST CONTROL BOARD		
	STATE OI	CONSUMER AFFAIRS CALIFORNIA		
	0			
1	In the Matter of the Accusation/Petition to Revoke Probation Against:	Case No. 2014-63		
	3 JEREMY PATRICK MOFFETT	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER		
	43428 16th Street W., Apt 15 4 Lancaster, CA 93534	[Gov. Code §11520]		
1	5 Applicator License No. RA 52776			
1	6 Responder	nt.		
1	7			
1	8 <u>FINDIN</u>	IGS OF FACT		
1	9 1. On or about June 2, 2014, Comple	1. On or about June 2, 2014, Complainant Susan Saylor, in her official capacity as the		
. 2	20 Registrar/Executive Officer of the Structural I	Pest Control Board, Department of Consumer		
2	21 Affairs, filed Accusation/Petition to Revoke F	Probation No. 2014-63 against Jeremy Patrick		
2	22 Moffett (Respondent) before the Structural Pe	est Control Board. (Accusation/Petition to Revoke		
- -	Probation attached as Exhibit A.)	· ·		
-	24 2. On or about December 23, 2011,	the Structural Pest Control Board (Board) issued a		
4	25 probationary Applicator License No. RA 527	76 to Respondent. The Applicator License was in		
	full force and effect at all times relevant to th	e charges brought in Accusation/Petition to Revoke		
	Probation No. 2014-63, but expired on December 23, 2014. This lapse in licensure, however,			
4	28			
		1		
	(JEREN	AY PATRICK MOFFETT) DEFAULT DECISION & ORDER		

pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 118(b) does not deprive the Board of its
 authority to institute or continue this disciplinary proceeding.

3. On or about June 24, 2014, Respondent was served by Certified and First Class Mail
 copies of the Accusation/Petition to Revoke Probation No. 2014-63, Statement to Respondent,
 Notice of Defense, Request for Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government Code sections
 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7) at Respondent's address of record which, pursuant to Business
 and Professions Code section 136, is required to be reported and maintained with the Board.
 Respondent's address of record was and is:

12599 Telfair Avenue Sylmar, CA 91342

On or about December 22, 2014, Respondent was served a second time by Certified and
 First Class Mail copies of the Accusation/Petition to Revoke Probation No. 2014-63, Statement to
 Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government
 Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7) at Respondent's residence address which, pursuant
 to Business and Professions Code section 136, which was reported and maintained with the
 Board. Respondent's residence address was:

43428 16th Street W., Apt 15 Lancaster, CA 93534.

Service of the Accusation/Petition to Revoke Probation was effective as a matter of
 law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c) and/or Business &
 Professions Code section 124.

5. On or about July 31, 2014, January 5, 2015, and January 8, 2015, the aforementioned
documents were returned by the U.S. Postal Service marked "Undeliverable as addressed. Unable
to Forward" and "Return to Sender. Unclaimed. Unable to Forward."

25 26

27

28

6.

9

10

17

18

Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:

(c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion

1 may nevertheless grant a hearing. 7. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon him 2 of the Accusation/Petition to Revoke Probation, and therefore waived his right to a hearing on the 3 merits of Accusation/Petition to Revoke Probation No. 2014-63. 4 8. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part: 5 6 (a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to 7 respondent. 8 Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds 9. 9 Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on the 10 relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this matter, as well as 11 taking official notice of all the investigatory reports, exhibits and statements contained therein on 12 file at the Board's offices regarding the allegations contained in Accusation/Petition to Revoke 13 Probation No. 2014-63, finds that the charges and allegations in Accusation/Petition to Revoke 14 Probation No. 2014-63, are separately and severally, found to be true and correct by clear and 15 16 convincing evidence. Taking official notice of its own internal records, pursuant to Business and 10. 17 Professions Code section 125.3, it is hereby determined that the reasonable costs for Investigation 18 19 and Enforcement are \$2,215. 20 DETERMINATION OF ISSUES Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Jeremy Patrick Moffett has 21 1. subjected his Applicator License No. RA 52776 to discipline. 22 The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default. 23 2. The Structural Pest Control Board is authorized to revoke Respondent's Applicator 24 3. License based upon the following violations alleged in the Accusation/Petition to Revoke 25 Probation which are supported by the evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence 26 Packet in this case: 27 28 111

3

(JEREMY PATRICK MOFFETT) DEFAULT DECISION & ORDER

· .	
1	a. Business and Professions Code section 8649, in conjunction with CCR, title 16,
2	section 1937.1 - Conviction of Substantially Related Crime;
3	b. Violation of Probation Condition No. 1 – Failure to Obey All Laws and Rules
4	Relating to the Practice of Structural Pest Control;
5	c. Violation of Probation Condition No. 2 – Failure to Obey all Local, State and Federal
6	Laws; and
7	d. Violation of Probation Condition No. 3 – Failure to File Quarterly Reports.
8	ORDER
9	IT IS SO ORDERED that Applicator License No. RA 52776, heretofore issued to
10	Respondent Jeremy Patrick Moffett, is revoked.
11	Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a
12	written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within
13	seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may
14	vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute.
15	
16	This Decision shall become effective on <u>July 1, 2015</u>
17	
18	It is so ORDERED June 1, 2015
19	
20	
21	EDD VIER CONTINUE
22	FOR THE STRUCTURAL PEST CONTROL BOARD DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER
23	AFFAIRS
24	
25	
26	
27	Attachment:
28	Exhibit A: Accusation/Petition to Revoke Probation
	4

Exhibit A

Accusation/Petition to Revoke Probation

[]		ļ		
1	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California			
2	GREGORY J. SALUTE	reaction but by particle (5/2020).		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General DESIREE A. TULLENERS	FILED		
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 157464	Date 6 214 By Rupan		
5	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013	- algross II		
6	Telephone: (213) 897-2578 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804			
7	Attorneys for Complainant			
8	BEFORE THE			
9	DEPARTMENT OF C	T CONTROL BOARD CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
10	STATE OF C	CALIFORNIA		
11	In the Matter of the Accusation/Petition to	Case No. 2014-63		
12	Revoke Probation Against,			
13	JEREMY PATRICK MOFFETT 12599 Telfair Ave.	ACCUSATION AND PETITION TO REVOKE PROBATION		
14	Sylmar, CA 91342 Applicator License No. RA 52776			
15	Respondent.			
16				
17	Complainant alleges:			
18	PAR	RTIES		
19	1. Susan Saylor (Complainant) brings	this Accusation and Petition to Revoke Probation		
20.	solely in her official capacity as the Registrar/Executive Officer of the Structural Pest Control			
21	Board, Department of Consumer Affairs.			
22	2. On or about December 23, 2011, the Structural Pest Control Board (Board) issued			
23.	probationary Applicator License No. RA 52776 to Jeremy Patrick Moffett (Respondent). The			
24	Applicator License was in effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire			
25	on December 23, 2014, unless renewed.			
26	3. In a prior matter entitled, "In the Matter of the Application of: Jeremy Patrick			
27	Moffett," the Board issued a Stipulation of a Probationary License and Order, effective December			
28	23, 2011, in which Respondent's Applicator License was placed on probation for a period of five			
	1			
	AC	CUSATION AND PETITION TO REVOKE PROBATION		

.

٠

(5) years under certain terms and conditions. A copy of the stipulation is attached as Exhibit A, and is incorporated by reference.

JURISDICTION

4. This Accusation and Petition to Revoke Probation is brought before the Board under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

5. Section 8567 states:

9 "Should a field representative or applicator change his or her employment, or should an
10 operator enter the employ of a registered company, or being already employed by a registered
11 company change his or her employment, or being employed by a registered company leave that
12 employment and enter the pest control business on his or her own behalf, he or she shall notify
13 the registrar in writing, on a form prescribed by the board and issued by the registrar in
14 accordance with rules and regulations adopted by the board. Whereupon the registrar shall
15 register the change in his or her records."

6. Section 8620 provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may suspend or revoke a
license when it finds that the holder, while a licensee or applicant, has committed any acts or
omissions constituting cause for disciplinary action or in lieu of a suspension may assess a civil
penalty.

20

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

7. Section 8625 states:

"The lapsing or suspension of a license or company registration by operation of law or by
order or decision of the board or a court of law, or the voluntary surrender of a license or
company registration shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to proceed with any investigation
of or action or disciplinary proceeding against such licensee or company, or to render a decision
suspending or revoking such license or registration."

2

- 26 117
- 27 ///
- 28 ///

8. Section 8649 states:

"Conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a structural pest control operator, field representative, applicator, or registered company is a ground for disciplinary action. The certified record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence thereof."

9. Section 8654 states:

"Any individual who has been denied a license for any of the reasons specified in Section 6 8568, or who has had his or her license revoked, or whose license is under suspension, or who has 7 failed to renew his or her license while it was under suspension, or who has been a member, 8 officer, director, associate, qualifying manager, or responsible managing employee of any 9 partnership, corporation, firm, or association whose application for a company registration has 10 been denied for any of the reasons specified in Section 8568, or whose company registration has 11 been revoked as a result of disciplinary action, or whose company registration is under 12 suspension, and while acting as such member, officer, director, associate, qualifying manager, or 13 responsible managing employee had knowledge of or participated in any of the prohibited acts for 14 which the license or registration was denied, suspended or revoked, shall be prohibited from 15 serving as an officer, director, associate, partner, qualifying manager, or responsible managing 16 employee of a registered company, and the employment, election or association of such person by 17 a registered company is a ground for disciplinary action." 18

19

1

2

3

4

5

10. Section 8655 states:

"A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere made to a 20charge substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a structural pest control 21operator, field representative, applicator, or registered company is deemed to be a conviction 22 within the meaning of this article or Section 8568 of this chapter. The board may order the license 23 or registration suspended or revoked, or may decline to issue a license, when the time for appeal 24 has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting 25 probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under 26 the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the individual or registered company 27 111 28

to withdraw a plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information or indictment."

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1

2

REGULATORY PROVISIONS

11. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1911, states:

"Each operator, field representative and applicator shall file his or her address of record with the board and shall notify the board of any change in address within ten (10) days of such change. The address of record of a field representative, an operator or an applicator shall be the address of the registered company by which he or she is employed or with which he or she is associated or his or her residence address if he or she is not employed and associated.

"Each licensee shall also file his or her address for mailing purposes with the board and
shall notify the board of any change in address within ten (10) days of such change."

California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1937.1 states, in pertinent part: 12 12. "For the purposes of denial, suspension or revocation of a license or company registration 13 pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the code, a crime or act shall be 14 15 considered to be substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registered company under Chapter 14 of Division 3 of the code if to a substantial degree it 16 evidences present or potential unfitness of such licensee or registered company to perform the 17 functions authorized by the license or company registration in a manner consistent with the public 18 19 health, safety, or welfare,"

20

COST RECOVERY

13. Section 125.3 states, in pertinent part, that a Board may request the administrative law
judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to
pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

24

14. CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE / DANGEROUS DRUG

25 "Methamphetamine," is a Schedule II controlled substance as designated by Health and
26 Safety Code section 11055, subdivision (d)(2), and is categorized as a dangerous drug pursuant to
27 section 4022.

28 ///

1	ACCUSATION		
2	FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE		
3	(Conviction of Substantially Related Crime)		
4	15. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 8649, in conjunction with		
5	California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1937.1, in that, Respondent was convicted of a		
6	crime substantially related to the qualifications, function or duties of an applicator as follows:		
7	a. On or about July 16, 2013, after pleading nolo contendere, Respondent was convicted		
8:	of one felony count of violating Vehicle Code section 2800.2, subdivision (a) [evade peace		
9 -	officer], and one felony count of Health and Safety Code section 11379, subdivision (a)		
10	[sale/offer to sell/transportation of a controlled substance], in the criminal proceeding entitled The		
11	People of the State of California v. Jeremy Patrick Moffett (Super. Ct. Los Angeles County, 2013,		
12	No. MA059112.) The Court sentenced Respondent to serve eight years in State Prison, and		
13	denied probation.		
14	b. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that, on or about March 21, 2013,		
15	Respondent failed to stop during a traffic enforcement stop by the Los Angeles County Sheriff's		
16	Department. Respondent slowed down like he was going to yield, looked back at the officers,		
17	accelerated, and proceeded on the right shoulder at a high rate of speed. A deputy sheriff followed		
18	Respondent on the freeway and observed him as he drove his motorcycle at a high rate of speed,		
19	passing several vehicles. Respondent exited the freeway and could be seen looking back. Within		
20	seconds of him looking back, he lost control and crashed in a dirt area. Respondent was detained		
21	by law enforcement. During a search of his person, a deputy recovered a clear plastic baggie that		
22	contained a large amount of Methamphetamine from Respondent's left pant's pocket.		
23	PETITION TO REVOKE PROBATION		
24	16. Grounds exist to revoke Respondent's probationary Applicator License No. RA		
25	52776, in that, Respondent failed to comply with his probation terms and conditions, as follows:		
26	111		
27	111		
28	1/1		
	5		

÷

1	FIRST CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION		
2	(Failure to Obey all Laws and Rules Relating to The Practice of Structural Pest Control)		
3	17. At all times after the effective date of Respondent's probation, Condition No. 1		
4	stated:		
5	"Applicant shall obey all laws and rules relating to the practice of structural pest control."		
6.	18. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because he failed to comply with		
7	Probation Condition No. 1, referenced above when he failed to comply with section 8567 and		
8	California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1911. The facts and circumstances regarding this		
9	violation are that Respondent failed to notify on a form prescribed by the Board his change of		
10	employment and address of record.		
11	SECOND CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION		
12	(Failure to Obey All Local, State, and Federal Laws)		
13	19. At all times after the effective date of Respondent's probation, Probation Condition		
14	No. 2 stated:		
15	"Obey all Local, State and Federal laws."		
16	20. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because he failed to comply with		
17	Probation Condition No. 2 referenced above, when he was convicted of evading a peace officer		
18	and sale/offer to sell/transportation of a controlled substance on or about July 16, 2013.		
19	Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in		
20	paragraph 15, subparagraph (b), as though set forth fully.		
21	THIRD CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION		
22	(Failure to File Quarterly Reports)		
23	21. At all times after the effective date of Respondent's probation, Condition No. 3		
24	stated:		
25	"Quarterly Reports. Applicant shall file quarterly reports with the Board during the period		
26	of probation."		
27	22. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because he failed to comply with		
28	Probation Condition No. 3, referenced above when he failed to comply with California Code of		
	6		
	ACCUSATION AND PETITION TO REVOKE PROBATION		

••

,

Regulations, tittle 16, section 1937.12, subdivision (a) (1). The facts and circumstances regarding this violation are that Respondent failed to file Quarterly reports since June 2012.

OTHER MATTERS

Section 8620, provides, in pertinent part, that a respondent may request that a civil
penalty of not more than \$5,000 be assessed in lieu of an actual suspension of 1 to 19 days, or not
more than \$10,000 for an actual suspension of 20 to 45 days. Such request must be made at the
time of the hearing and must be noted in the proposed decision. The proposed decision shall not
provide that a civil penalty shall be imposed in lieu of a suspension.

9 24. Pursuant to section 8654, if discipline is imposed on Applicator License No. RA
52776 issued to Respondent, Respondent shall be prohibited from serving as an officer, director,
associate, partner, qualifying manager, or responsible managing employee for any registered
company during the time the discipline is imposed, and any registered company which employs,
elects, or associates Respondent shall be subject to disciplinary action.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Structural Pest Control Board issue a decision:

1. Revoking the probation that was granted by the Board, and imposing the disciplinary order that was stayed, thereby, revoking Applicator License No. RA 52776 issued to Respondent;

2. Revoking or suspending Applicator License No. RA 52776 issued to Respondent;

3. Prohibiting Respondent from serving as an officer, director, associate, partner,

7

qualifying manager, or responsible managing employee for any registered company during the time that discipline is imposed pursuant to section 8654;

23 ///

1

2

3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- 24 || ///
- 25 ///
- 26 ///
- 27 ///

111

28

Ordering Respondent to pay the Board the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant section 125.3; and 5. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper. DATED: SU Registrar/Executive Officer Structural Pest Control Board Department of Consumer Affairs State of California Complainant LA2013510084 [•]14 ACCUSATION AND PETITION TO REVOKE PROBATION