- 3. Section 8625 of the Code provides that the expiration, suspension, or voluntary surrender of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with any investigation of or action or disciplinary proceeding against such licensee, or to render a decision suspending or revoking such license.
- 4. On or about February 12, 2015, Respondent was served by Certified and First Class Mail copies of the Accusation No. 2015-37, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7) at Respondent's address of record which, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 136, is required to be reported and maintained with the Board. Respondent's address of record was and is:

13380 Edgemont Street Moreno Valley, CA 92553

- 5. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c) and/or Business & Professions Code section 124.
- 6. On or about April 2, 2015, the aforementioned documents were returned by the U.S. Postal Service marked "Unclaimed." The address on the documents was the same as the address on file with the Board.
- 7. On or about March 17, 2015, Respondent was served by Certified and First Class Mail copies of the aforementioned documents to an alternate known for Respondent which is: 414 Blazing Star Court Windsor, CA 92492
- 8. On or about April 8, 2015, the aforementioned documents served to the alternate address were returned by the U.S. Postal Service marked "Moved Left No Address."
- 9. Respondent failed to maintain an updated address with the Board and the Board has made attempts to serve the Respondent at the address on file, and at an alternate address. Respondent has not made himself available for service and therefore, has not availed himself of his right to file a notice of defense and appear at hearing.

- 10. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:
- (c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.
- 11. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon him of the Accusation, and therefore waived his right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. 2015-37.
 - 12. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:
 - (a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent.
- 13. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on the relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this matter, as well as taking official notice of all the investigatory reports, exhibits and statements contained therein on file at the Board's offices regarding the allegations contained in Accusation No. 2015-37, finds that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 2015-37, are separately and severally, found to be true and correct by clear and convincing evidence.
- 14. Taking official notice of its own internal records, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3, it is hereby determined that the reasonable costs for Investigation and Enforcement is \$492.50 as of April 10, 2015.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

- 1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Aaron D. Palmer has subjected his Applicator License No. RA 53334 to discipline.
 - 2. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.
- 3. The Structural Pest Control Board is authorized to revoke Respondent's Applicator License based upon the following violations alleged in the Accusation which are supported by the Default Decision Investigatory Evidence Packet in this case.

Respondent has subjected his license to disciplinary action under sections 490 and 4. 8649 of the Code in that on or about June 24, 2014, in a criminal proceeding entitled People of the State of California v. Aaron David Palmer, in Sonoma County Superior Court, case number SCR-649667, Respondent was convicted on his plea of nolo contendere of violating Penal Code section 484(a), petty theft, a misdemeanor, a crime that is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of an applicator. ///

ORDER

IT IS SO ORDERED that Applicator License No. RA 53334, heretofore issued to Respondent Aaron D. Palmer, is revoked.

Pursuant to Government Code section 11520(c), Respondent may serve a written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute.

This Decision shall become effective on

July 1, 2015

DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS

It is so ORDERED June 1, 2015

DOJ Matter ID: SD2014708328

Attachment: Exhibit A: Accusation