	11	
-		
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
б		
7		
8	BEFORE THE STRUCTURAL PEST CONTROL BOARD	
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	
12	In the Watter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 2015-9
13	TREVOR COPELAND	
14	4549 Black Avenue Pleasanton, CA 94566	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER
15	Trasalton, CA 94500	[Gov. Code, §11520]
16	Applicator License No. RA 54880	
17		1
18	Respondent.	
19		
20	FINDINGS OF FACT	
21	1. On or about September 17, 2014, Complainant Susan Saylor, in her official capacity	
22	as the Registrar/Executive Officer of the Structural Pest Control Board, Department of Consumer	
23	Affairs, filed Accusation No. 2015-9 against Trevor Copeland (Respondent) before the Structural	
24	Pest Control Board. (Accusation attached as Exhibit A.)	
25		
26	2. On or about September 5, 2013, the Structural Pest Control Board (Board) issued Applicator License No. RA 54880 to Respondent. The Applicator License was in full force and	
27		, the Applicator License was in full force and
28		
ĺ	1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

 ϵ_i

effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 2015-9 and will expire on
September 5, 2016, unless renewed.

3 3. On or about September 29, 2014, Respondent was served by Certified and First Class 4 Mail copies of the Accusation No. 2015-9, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request 5 for Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 6 11507.7) at Respondent's address of record which, pursuant to Business and Professions Code 7 section 136, is required to be reported and maintained with the Board. Respondent's address of 8 record was and is:

9 4549 Black Avenue Pleasanton, CA 94566.

On or about December 10, 2014, Respondent was re-served by Certified and First
Class Mail copies of the Accusation No. 2015-9, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense,
Request for Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6,
and 11507.7) at Respondent's address of record.

5. On or about December 17, 2014, the United States Postal service delivered the aforementioned documents to Respondent's address of record.

6. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of
Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c) and/or Business & Professions Code section
124.

•

7.

9.

15

16

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:

(c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.

8. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon him of the Accusation, and therefore waived his right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. 2015-9

26

27

28

California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:

(a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions

2

or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent.

1

2 Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds 10. 3 Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on the 4 relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this matter, as well as 5 taking official notice of all the investigatory reports, exhibits and statements contained therein on 6 file at the Board's offices regarding the allegations contained in Accusation No. 2015-9, finds that 7 the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 2015-9, are separately and severally, found to be 8 true and correct by clear and convincing evidence. .9 Taking official notice of its own internal records, pursuant to Business and 11. 10 Professions Code section 125.3, it is determined that the reasonable costs for Investigation and 11 Enforcement is \$4,070.00 as of December 29, 2014. 12 DETERMINATION OF ISSUES 13 Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Trevor Copeland has subjected 1. 14 his Applicator License No. RA 54880 to discipline. 15 2. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default. 16 3. The Structural Pest Control Board is authorized to revoke Respondent's Applicator 17 License based upon the following violation alleged in the Accusation that is supported by the 18 evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this case: Respondent has 19 subjected his Applicator License to discipline because he was convicted of an offense 20 substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of an applicator (Bus. & Prof. 21 Code, §§ 8649, 8655; Cal. Code Regs., tit. 16, § 1937.1). 22 /// 23 /// 24 $\parallel \parallel$ 25 111 26 /// 27 /// 28 3

1	ORDER		
. 2	IT IS SO ORDERED that Applicator License No. RA 54880, heretofore issued to		
3	Respondent Trevor Copeland, is revoked.		
4	Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a		
5	written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within		
6	seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may		
7	vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute.		
8	This Decision shall become effective onApril 9, 2015		
9	It is so ORDERED		
10			
11	\sim		
12	Kand tamley		
- 13	FOR THE STRUCTURAL PEST CONTROL BOARD		
14	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
15			
16	90466551.DOC DOJ Matter ID:SF2014902579		
17	Attachment: Exhibit A: Accusation		
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	4		