

MINUTES OF THE
STRUCTURAL PEST CONTROL ACT REVIEW COMMITTEE
MEETING OF THE
STRUCTURAL PEST CONTROL BOARD
December 11, 2012

The meeting was held on Tuesday, December 11, 2012, at Department of Consumer Affairs, 2005 Evergreen Street, California, commencing at 9:11 A.M. with the following members present:

Bob Gordon, Chairman
Mike Katz
Allen Kanady
Darrell Ennes
Lee Whitmore
Ronna Brand

Board staff present:

Susan Saylor, Interim Executive Officer
Robert Lucas, Consumer Services Manager
Ronni O'Flaherty, Staff Services Analyst
Ron Moss, Board Specialist

Departmental staff present:

Kathy Boyle, Staff Environmental Scientist

ROLL CALL

Mr. Gordon read roll call.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES FROM THE NOVEMBER 6, 2012 COMMITTEE MEETING

Mr. Katz moved and Mr. Ennes seconded to approve the minutes of the November 6, 2012 meeting. Passed unanimously.

REVIEW OF THE STRUCTURAL PEST CONTROL ACT AND TITLE 16, CALIFORNIA CODE OF REGULATIONS, FOR PURPOSES OF UPDATING AND IDENTIFYING CONTINUED NEED AND/OR USE

Mr. Katz stated that at the last meeting, the committee voted to add "or designee" to Section 1920(e)(1) to allow for an appointee of the Board to attend informal conferences rather than the Registrar. He stated that it only makes sense to add "or designee" to Section 1920(e)(2) as well to allow that same person to affirm, modify or dismiss the citation being discussed at an informal conference in which the designee attends.

Mr. Katz moved and Mr. Ennes seconded to recommend to the Board the following changes to section 1920(e)(2). Passed unanimously. Changes made at the previous meeting are in single strikethrough and underline, changes made at this meeting are double underlined.

1920. (e) Contest of Citations:

(1) In addition to requesting a hearing provided for in subdivision (b)(4) of section 125.9 of the Business and Professions eCode (hereinafter "administrative hearing"), the person cited may, within ten (10) days after service or receipt of the citation, notify the Registrar or ~~Deputy Registrar~~ Executive Secretary, as designated, in writing of his or her request for an informal conference with the designated Registrar, ~~or Deputy Registrar~~ Executive Secretary, or designee. The informal conference shall include at least one, but no more than two, industry members of the Board, as designated by the Registrar.

(2) The informal conference shall be held within 60 days from the receipt of the request of the person cited. At the conclusion of the informal conference, the Registrar or ~~Deputy Registrar~~ Executive Secretary or designee may affirm, modify or dismiss the citation, including any fine levied or order of abatement issued. The decision shall state in writing the reasons for the action and shall be served or mailed to the person within ten (10) days from the date of the informal conference.

Ms. Boyle directed the committee to correspondence item #24 to review the suggestions of the CACs. She stated that in Section 8617(g) refers to a certified copy. She suggested changing the word "certified" to "true".

The committee decided not to make Ms. Boyle's suggested change.

Ms. Boyle stated that previously, the committee made changes to Section 8617(i) that she would like to see revisited to allow the CACs more time to investigate cases.

Mr. Katz moved and Ms. Brand seconded to recommend to the Board the following changes to Section 8617(i). Passed unanimously. Changes made at the previous meetings are in single strikethrough and underline, changes made at this meeting are double strike through and underlined.

8617(i) ~~An Actions~~ brought pursuant to this section shall be commenced by the commissioner or board under this section must be brought within two years of the occurrence of the act or omission violation. However, ~~When an investigation~~ commissioner submits a completed investigation to the board for action by the Registrar or the Attorney General, ~~is completed and submitted to the director,~~ the action shall be commenced within one year of that submission.

Ms. Boyle suggested adding "or warning agent used in structural fumigation" to Section 8643, 8647, and 8651 to allow for discipline when a warning agent, not being used as a pesticide, is negligently handled.

Mr. Whitmore stated that he is opposed to this change.

Mr. Ineichen suggested inserting "poisonous exterminating agent" as all- encompassing language for this section.

Ms. Boyle stated that beings the committee seems to be opposed to her suggested changes, she would like to move forward with her other suggestions. She commented that Section 1922 is in conflict with Section 8663 whereas Section 8663 allows for a fine of up to \$5,000 for a moderate or serious violation, and Section 1922 allows for a fine of \$700-\$5,000 for a serious and \$250 to \$1,000 for a moderate violation.

Mr. Whitmore stated that the language in Section 8663 simply sets the maximum fine for a serious or moderate violation.

Ms. Boyle suggested replacing "serious" with "A", "moderate" with "B" "minor" with "C" to make consistent with other codes such as the Food and Agriculture Code.

The committee decided that they like the current language used in the Act using “serious”, “moderate” and “minor”.

Mr. Lucas stated that he has an Attorney General's opinion on this topic and would like to review it.

Mr. Whitmore moved to not make Ms. Boyle's recommended changes to Sections 1922 and 8663. There was no second on the motion.

Mr. Kanady moved and Ms. Brand seconded to direct staff to review Sections 1922 and 8663. Passed unanimously.

Ms. Boyle suggested changing “Director” to “Commissioner” in Section 8698.3. She added that DPR has no reason to be involved with civil penalty actions against fumigators who violate this chapter.

Mr. Kanady moved and Ms. Brand seconded to recommend to the Board to make the following changes to Section 8698.3. Passed unanimously.

8698.3. (a) ~~The Director of the Department of Pesticide Regulation~~ A commissioner of any county listed in Section 8698 may levy a civil penalty against a person violating this chapter, including any regulation adopted pursuant to this chapter failing to comply with Section 8698.1.

(b) Before a civil penalty is levied, the person charged with the violation shall receive notice of the nature of the violation and shall be given an opportunity to be heard, including the right to review the director's evidence and a right to present evidence on his or her own behalf.

(c) Review of the decision of the director may be sought by the person against whom the penalty was levied, within 30 days of receiving notice of the decision, pursuant to Section 1094.5 of the Code of Civil Procedure.

(d) After the exhaustion of the review procedure provided in this section, the director, or his or her representative, may file a certified copy of a final decision of the director that directs the payment of a civil penalty and, if applicable, any order that denies a petition for a writ of administrative mandamus, with the clerk of the

superior court of any county. Judgment shall be entered immediately by the clerk in conformity with the decision or order. No fees shall be charged by the clerk of the superior court for the performance of any official service required in connection with the entry of judgment pursuant to this section.

Ms. Boyle introduced other suggested changes to Section 8698.3.

Mr. Gordon stated that the committee decided to let the PCOC Fumigation Enforcement Committee address any necessary changes to Chapter 14.5.

The committee discussed the inability of the commissioners to collect the fees due pursuant to 8698.1.

Ms. Whitmore stated that he would present Ms. Boyle's suggestions regarding Chapter 14.5 to the PCOC Fumigation Enforcement Committee to consider.

Mr. Whitmore pointed out that the committee's recommended changes to Section 8663(c) are not reflected correctly in the committee binders.

Mr. Whitmore moved and Mr. Kanady seconded to direct Ms. O'Flaherty to correct the recommendations made to Section 8663(c) in the committee binders as follows. Passed unanimously.

(c) The board or county agricultural commissioners, when acting pursuant to Section 8616.4, may levy a fine of up to ~~one thousand dollars (\$1,000)~~ five thousand dollars (\$5,000) against a registered company acting as a prime contractor for any ~~major~~ "serious or moderate" violation as defined in Section 1922 of Title 16 committed by ~~any licensee~~ a subcontractor with whom the prime contractor has subcontracted, if before that violation had occurred, the prime contractor had been notified ~~of by certified mail, return receipt requested, of more than two or more major~~ "serious" or "moderate" violations committed by ~~the that~~ subcontractor within 12 consecutive months.

Ms. Saylor stated that the PCOC Director's meeting was held over the past weekend where Mr. Gordon and Mr. Good presented the bond and insurance increase proposals.

Mr. Gordon stated that this meeting resulted in a vote of support of the proposed increase of both the bond and insurance requirements, as well as eliminating the option to post a cash deposit in lieu of bond or insurance.

Ms. Saylor reviewed with the committee members Ms. Clary's legal opinion regarding not allowing the filing of WDO reports when fines are past due.

Mr. Whitmore moved and Mr. Ennes seconded to withdraw the committee's recommendation to not allow WDO filings when a fine is unpaid in Section 8617(f). Passed unanimously.

8617(f) Failure of a licensee or registered company to pay a fine within 30 days of the date of assessment or to comply with the order of suspension, unless the citation is being appealed, may result in disciplinary action being taken by the board. Where a citation containing a fine is issued to a licensee and it is not contested or the time to appeal the citation has expired and the fine is not paid, the full amount of the assessed fine shall be added to the fee for renewal of that license. A license shall not be renewed without payment of the renewal fee and fine. Where a citation containing a fine is issued to a registered company and it is not contested or the time to appeal the citation has expired and the fine is not paid, the board shall not sell to the registered company any pesticide use stamps ~~or allow any Wood Destroying Organisms (WDO) filings~~ until the assessed fine has been paid. Where a citation containing the requirement that a licensee attend and pass a board-approved course of instruction is not contested or the time to appeal the citation has expired and the licensee has not attended and passed the required board-approved course of instruction, the licensee's license shall not be renewed without proof of attendance and passage of the required board-approved course of instruction.

Ms. Saylor reviewed Ms. Clary's legal opinion regarding not allowing cash deposits in lieu of maintaining a bond or insurance with the committee members.

Mr. Katz moved and Mr. Ennes seconded to recommend the following changes to Sections 8690 and 8697. Passed unanimously. Recommendations made at previous meetings are reflected with single strikethrough and underline; recommendations made at this meeting are reflected in double underline.

8690. The board shall not issue any company registration under this chapter unless the applicant shall have filed with the board on a form prescribed by the board ~~written~~ evidence of an insurance policy approved by the board ~~or a bond~~ as specified in this article, being in effect at the time of the issuance of the

company registration. This ~~written~~ evidence shall include a provision that the board shall be given a 10-day notice by the insurance company ~~or bonding company~~ should the policy ~~or bond~~ be canceled or changed during the policy or bond period in a manner as to affect the ~~written~~ evidence. No other method of deposit, such as a Certificate of Deposit, or other undertaking will satisfy this requirement.

8697. Each company registered under the provisions of this chapter shall maintain a bond executed by an admitted surety insurer in the amount of ~~four thousand dollars (\$4,000)~~ twelve thousand five hundred (\$12,500). No other method of deposit, such as a Certificate of Deposit, or other undertaking will satisfy this requirement.

Ms. Saylor reviewed the legal opinion from Ms. Clary regarding the registration of limited liability companies (LLC).

Mr. Katz stated that a LLC holds no responsibility to the consumer.

Mr. Katz moved and Mr. Whitmore seconded to recommend to the Board to remove "LLC" from Section 8504 as follows. Passed unanimously.

8504. "Person" includes an individual, firm, partnership, corporation, ~~limited liability company~~, association or other organization or any combination thereof.

Ms. Saylor pointed out that Section 8516 refers to ten business days and Section 8518 refers to ten working days and asked the committee if they would like to make these two sections consistent.

Mr. Katz moved and Ms. Brand seconded to recommend to the Board to change "working" to "business" in Section 8518. Passed unanimously. Changes made at the previous meetings are in single strikethrough and underline, changes made at this meeting are double strike through and underlined.

8518. When a registered company completes work under a contract, it shall prepare, on a form prescribed by the board, a notice of work completed and not completed, and shall furnish that notice to the owner of the property or the owner's agent within 10 ~~working~~ business days after completing the work. The

notice shall include a statement of the cost of the completed work and estimated cost of work not completed.

These documents shall indicate specifically whether all of the recommended work as set forth in the inspection report was completed, or, if not, the document shall indicate specifically which recommendations were not completed.

The address of each property inspected or upon which work was completed shall be reported on a form prescribed by the board and shall be filed with the board no later than 10 ~~working~~ business days after completed work.

Every property upon which work is completed shall be assessed a filing fee pursuant to Section 8674.

Failure of a registered company to report and file with the board the address of any property upon which work was completed pursuant to subdivision (b) of Section 8516 or Section 8518 is grounds for disciplinary action and shall subject the registered company to a fine of not more than two thousand five hundred dollars (\$2,500).

The registered company shall retain for three years all original notices of work completed, work not completed, and activity forms.

Notices of work completed and not completed shall be made available for inspection and reproduction to the executive officer of the board or his or her duly authorized representative during business hours. Original notices of work completed or not completed or copies thereof shall be submitted to the board upon request within two business days.

Mr. Ennes pointed out that at the previous meeting, the committee recommended to remove "or bond" from Section 8690 but missed one instance where "or Bond" was used but not removed.

Mr. Ennes moved and Mr. Whitmore seconded to recommend to the Board to remove "or bond" throughout Section 8690. Changes made at the previous meetings are in single strikethrough and underline, changes made at this meeting are double strike through and underlined.

8690. The board shall not issue any company registration under this chapter unless the applicant shall have filed with the board on a form prescribed by the board ~~written~~ evidence of an insurance policy approved by the board ~~or a bond~~

as specified in this article, being in effect at the time of the issuance of the company registration. This ~~written~~ evidence shall include a provision that the board shall be given a 10-day notice by the insurance company ~~or bonding company~~ should the policy ~~or bond~~ be canceled or changed during the policy ~~or bond~~ period in a manner as to affect the ~~written~~ evidence.

Harvey Logan, Western Exterminator Company, suggested that staff documents instances where consumers are harmed by the low bond requirement. He added that the last time the Board tried to increase the bond requirement that this was the main factor in the proposal being rejected.

Ms. Saylor stated that she would look into this.

Mr. Lucas proposed language to the committee to change Section 8505.17(c) to allow for online filing of Pesticide Use Reports (PUR).

Mr. Gordon commented that the proposed language does not remove the requirement to affix a pesticide use stamp to the report.

Mr. Lucas stated that it is the opinion of Ms. Clary that "affix" is broad enough to encompass online filing of the PUR.

After much discussion, Mr. Ennes moved and Mr. Whitmore seconded to recommend to the Board to make the following changes to Section 8505.17(c). Passed unanimously.

8505.17 (c) Registered structural pest control companies shall prepare and submit to the county agricultural commissioner a monthly report of all pesticides used in that county. The report shall be on a form approved by the Director of Pesticide Regulation and shall contain the name and registration number of each pesticide, and the amount used, and the number of applications made. The report shall be submitted to the commissioner by the 10th day of the month following the month of application and a copy of the completed report shall be maintained for a period of three years from the report submission date. Each pesticide use report or combination of use reports representing a registered structural pest control company's total county pesticide use for the month shall have affixed thereto a pesticide use stamp issued by the board in the denomination fixed by the board in accordance with Section 8674 as the pesticide use report filing fee. The board shall provide for the sale of these stamps and for the refund of moneys paid for stamps which are returned to it

unused. When a registered structural pest control company performs no pest control during a month in a county in which it has given notice pursuant to Section 15204 of the Food and Agricultural Code, the registered company shall submit a use report stating this fact to the commissioner. No pesticide use stamp is required on negative use reports.

Ms. Saylor asked the committee if it is their intention once all of their recommendations are made to ask legal to address all of the sections relating to pesticide use stamps.

Mr. Gordon stated that it is the intention of the committee to address the online filing of PUR and the use of the stamps.

The committee reviewed Section 1922.3.

Mr. Whitmore asked if the courses being discussed in Section 1922.3 still exist.

Ms. Boyle stated that these classes were put in place to require licensees to gain additional knowledge relating to their violation rather than issuing a fine. She added that these courses have already been approved by the Board, but a particular course would have to be approved by the CAC in which the licensee is required to complete the course for to ensure that the course relates to the violation in which the licensee is being disciplined for and these hours cannot be used to satisfy one's continuing education requirements.

The committee reviewed and discussed Section 1936.1.

Mr. Gordon expressed concern with the Company Registration Form asking whether the Principal Office is located in a commercial building or at a residence.

Mr. Whitmore commented that a facility that is used for storage of pesticides should be considered a branch office.

Mr. Ineichen stated that this is an issue with companies who have employees scattered throughout the state who store their pesticides in their vehicles and take service calls from their cell phones, but do not have to register their homes as a branch office, therefore, the Board has not access to their records.

Ms. Saylor stated that one thing she would like to address is the ability of companies to register using a post office box.

After much discussion, the committee decided that there were no recommendations to change this section but asked staff to look into the history of the Company Registration Form.

The committee reviewed and discussed Section 1936.2 and decided not to make any changes to these sections.

In review of Section 1937, Ms. Neblett suggested changing the word "ventilation" to "aeration" in subsection c of this section.

Mr. Whitmore moved and Mr. Ennes seconded to make the following changes to Section 1937(c). Passed unanimously.

1937(c) Specific minimum requirements of training and experience are accorded to the branch or branches for which the applicant is applying, as follows:

Branch 1 A minimum of one hundred hours of training and experience in preparation, fumigation, ~~ventilation~~ aeration, and certification required.

Branch 2 A minimum of forty hours of training and experience, twenty hours of which are actual field work, required. The minimum hour requirement must include training and experience in Integrated Pest Management as defined in section 1984, and the impact of structural pest control services on water quality.

Branch 3 A minimum of one hundred hours of training and experience, eighty hours of which are actual field work, required. The minimum hour requirement must include training and experience in Integrated Pest Management, and the impact of structural pest control services on water quality.

The committee reviewed and discussed Sections 1937.1, 1937.2, 1937.11, 1937.12, and 1937.13 without making any recommendations for changes.

In review of Section 1937.14, Mr. Ineichen pointed out that the sections of law referenced in this Section are no longer valid.

Mr. Katz moved and Ms. Brand seconded to recommend the following changes to Section 1937.14 to the Board. Passed unanimously.

§1937.14. Quality of Work Completed.

All work completed by licensees or registered companies shall be done within the specific requirements of any plans or specifications and shall meet accepted trade standards for good and workmanlike construction in any material respect,

and shall comply with provisions of ~~Section 2516(c)(1), (2), (4) and (6) of Title 24, California Code of Regulations~~ state and local building codes.

Mr. Lucas stated that not all state and local building codes coincide.

Mr. Katz moved and Ms. Brand seconded to amend the motion as follows. Passed unanimously. Original motion is indicated by single strikethrough and underline, the amended motion indicated by double strikethrough and underline.

§1937.14. Quality of Work Completed.

All work completed by licensees or registered companies shall be done within the specific requirements of any plans or specifications and shall meet accepted trade standards for good and workmanlike construction in any material respect, and shall comply with provisions of ~~Section 2516(c)(1), (2), (4) and (6) of Title 24, California Code of Regulations~~ state and or local building codes.

Mr. Whitmore moved and Mr. Ennes seconded to direct staff to look into the new provisions regarding mechanics liens to present to the committee. Passed unanimously.

The committee reviewed and discussed Section 1937.17, 1940, 1941 and 1942 and decided not to recommend any changes to these sections.

Ms. Saylor suggested not making any changes to Section 1948 at this time and that the fees established in Business and Professions Code 8674 would have to be changed in order to increase the fees outlined in this section. She added that a lot of work needs to be done to establish what the fees should actually be.

The committee reviewed and discussed Section 1950.

Mr. Katz expressed concern that a licensee can take the same courses every renewal period, which eliminates the intent of continuing education, which is for the licensee to expand and keep current their knowledge of industry practices.

Mr. Whitmore asked Ms. Saylor if there is a way to revoke the renewal of a licensee who certified that they had, but had not met the continuing education requirements.

Ms. Saylor stated that she would ask Mr. Edwards, the Board's Deputy Attorney General if this is possible.

Mr. Gordon suggested raising the fines issued to licensees who do not fulfill their continuing education requirements.

Mr. Whitmore moved and Mr. Ennes seconded to include rules and regulations to Section 1950(b).

Mr. Whitmore moved and Mr. Ennes seconded to amend the previous motion to include IPM as well. Passed unanimously.

1950 (b) Each licensee is required to gain a certain number of continuing education hours during the three year renewal period. The number of hours required depends on the number of branches of pest control in which licenses are held. The subject matter covered by each activity shall be designated as "general", "IPM", "rules and regulations" or "technical", or ~~"general"~~ by the Board when the activity is approved. Hour values shall be assigned by the Board to each approved educational activity, in accordance with the provisions of section 1950.5.

In discussion of Section 1950, Mr. Whitmore commented that an Operator should be required to obtain more continuing education hours than what is currently required.

Mr. Whitmore moved and Mr. Ennes seconded to recommend to the Board to increase the Operator's continuing education requirement as follows. Motion did not pass. (AYES: Mr. Whitmore, Mr. Ennes; NOES: Mr. Katz, Mr. Kanady, Mr. Gordon; Ms. Brand was not present for the vote.)

1950 (c) Operators licensed in one branch of pest control shall complete ~~46~~ 24 continuing education hours during each three year renewal period. Operators licensed in two branches of pest control shall complete ~~20~~ 28 continuing education hours during each three year renewal period. Operators licensed in three branches of pest control shall complete ~~24~~ 32 continuing education hours during each three year renewal period. In each case, a minimum of four continuing education hours in a technical subject directly related to each branch of pest control held by the licensee must be completed for each branch license, a minimum of two hours in Integrated Pest Management as defined in section 1984

must be completed by Branch 2 and/or 3 licensees renewing on or after June 30, 2010, and a minimum of ~~eight~~ twelve hours must be completed from Board approved courses on the Structural Pest Control Act, the Rules and Regulations, or structural pest control related agencies' rules and regulations.

Mr. Whitmore moved and Mr. Kanady seconded to recommend to the Board the following changes to Section 1950(e). Passed unanimously.

1950 (e) ~~For the renewal period ending December 31, 2008, and each subsequent renewal period, a~~ A licensed applicator shall have completed 12 hours of Board approved continuing education. Such continuing education shall consist of eight hours of continuing education covering pesticide application and use, and four hours covering the Structural Pest Control Act and its rules and regulations or structural pest related agencies' rules and regulations.

Mr. Kanady moved and Mr. Ennes seconded to recommend to the Board to delete Section 1950(e) in its entirety. Passed unanimously.

Mr. Ennes moved and Ms. Brand seconded to recommend to the Board to include applicators and IPM in Section 1950, new subsection f. Passed unanimously.

§1950. Continuing Education Requirements.

(a) Except as provided in section 1951, every licensee is required, as a condition to renewal of a license, to certify that he or she has completed the continuing education requirements set forth in this article. A licensee who cannot verify completion of continuing education by producing certificates of activity completion, whenever requested to do so by the Board, may be subject to disciplinary action under section 8641 of the code.

(b) Each licensee is required to gain a certain number of continuing education hours during the three year renewal period. The number of hours required depends on the number of branches of pest control in which licenses are held. The subject matter covered by each activity shall be designated as "technical" or "general" by the Board when the activity is approved. Hour values shall be assigned by the Board to each approved educational activity, in accordance with the provisions of section 1950.5.

(c) Operators licensed in one branch of pest control shall complete 16 continuing education hours during each three year renewal period. Operators licensed in two branches of pest control shall complete 20 continuing education hours during each three year renewal period. Operators licensed in three branches of pest control shall complete 24 continuing education hours during each three year renewal period. In each case, a minimum of four continuing education hours in a technical subject directly related to each branch of pest control held by the licensee must be completed for each branch license, a minimum of two hours in Integrated Pest Management as defined in section 1984 must be completed by Branch 2 and/or 3 licensees renewing on or after June 30, 2010, and a minimum of eight hours must be completed from Board approved courses on the Structural Pest Control Act, the Rules and Regulations, or structural pest control related agencies' rules and regulations

(d) Field representatives licensed in one branch of pest control shall have completed 16 continuing education hours, field representatives licensed in two branches of pest control shall have completed 20 continuing education hours, field representatives licensed in three branches of pest control shall have completed 24 continuing education hours during each three year renewal period. In each case, a minimum of four continuing education hours in a technical subject directly related to each branch of pest control held by the licensee must be completed for each branch of pest control licensed, a minimum of two hours in Integrated Pest Management must be completed by Branch 2 and/or 3 licensees renewing on or after June 30, 2010, and a minimum of eight hours must be completed from Board approved courses on the Structural Pest Control Act, the Rules and Regulations, or structural pest control related agencies' rules and regulations.

~~(e) For the renewal period ending December 31, 2008, and each subsequent renewal period, a licensed applicator shall have completed 12 hours of Board approved continuing education. Such continuing education shall consist of eight hours of continuing education covering pesticide application and use, and four hours covering the Structural Pest Control Act and its rules and regulations or structural pest related agencies' rules and regulations.~~

(fe) For the renewal period ending June 30, 2010 and each subsequent renewal period, a licensed applicator shall have completed 12 hours of Board approved continuing education. Such continuing education shall consist of six hours of continuing education covering pesticide application and use, two hours covering Integrated Pest Management, and four hours covering the Structural Pest Control Act and its rules and regulations or structural pest related agencies' rules and regulations

(g_f) Operators who hold a field representative's or applicator's license in a branch of pest control in which they do not hold an operator's license must gain four of the continuing education hours required by section 1950(c) in a technical subject directly related to the branch or branches of pest control in which the field representative's or applicator's license is held, and two hours of IPM in order to keep the field representative's or applicator's license active.

(h_g) No course, including complete operator's courses developed pursuant to section 8565.5, may be taken more than once during a renewal period for continuing education hours.

FUTURE MEETING DATES

The next meeting was scheduled for January 18, 2013 in Sacramento.

The following meeting was scheduled for February 21, 2013 in Anaheim.

ADJOURNMENT

The meeting adjourned at 2:00 P.M.



Bob Gordon, Committee Chairperson



Susan Saylor, Interim Registrar

4/26/13
DATE