#### BEFORE THE STRUCTURAL PEST CONTROL BOARD DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Application of:

George Webb Termite Control, Inc. George Kenneth Webb, CEO and Qualifying Manager

Company Registration Certificate, Branch 3

STIPULATION FOR A PROBATIONARY REGISTRATION

George Webb Termite Control, Inc., applicant for a structural pest control Company Registration Certificate Branch 3 (hereinafter Applicant), and Susan Saylor, Registrar/Executive Officer, Structural Pest Control Board (Board), hereby stipulate as follows:

- 1. George Kenneth Webb is currently licensed as an Operator Branch 3, Operator License No. OPR 9331, sole owner and Qualifying Manager of George Webb Termite & Pest Control, Company Registration No. PR 2644.
- 2. On May 25, 2016, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order rendered in Accusation No. 2016-24, revoked Operator License No. OPR 9331 and Company Registration No. PR 2644 stayed the revocation and placed the operator license and company registration on five (5) years probation subject to terms and conditions.

#### **ORDER**

Applicant, George Webb Termite Control, Inc., is hereby granted a probationary Company Registration Certificate which will be under the same duration and under the same terms and conditions as Company Registration No. PR 2644. The following terms and conditions shall apply during the period of probation which will expire on May 25, 2021:

- 1. **Obey All Laws.** Applicant shall obey all Federal, State and Local laws and all laws and rules relating to the practice of structural pest control.
- 2. **Quarterly Reports**. Applicant shall file quarterly reports with the Board during the period of probation.
- 3. **Tolling of Probation**. Should, George Kenneth Webb, leave California to reside outside this state, he must notify the Board in writing of the dates of departure and return. Periods of residency or practice outside the state shall not apply to reduction of the probationary period.

- 4. **Notice to Employees**. Applicant, shall upon or before the effective date of this Order, post or circulated a notice to all employees involved in structural pest control operations which accurately recite the terms and conditions of probation. Applicant shall be responsible for said notice being immediately available to said employees. "Employees" as used in this provision includes all full-time, part-time, and temporary and relief employees and independent contractors employed or hired at any time during probation.
- 5. **Completion of Probation**. Upon successful completion of probation, Applicant's company registration will become fully restored.
- 6. **Violation of Probation**. Should Applicant violate probation in any respect, the Board, after giving Applicant notice and an opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order which was stayed. If a petition to revoke probation is filed against Applicant during probation, the Board shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final.
- 7. Restoration Bond. Pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 8697.3, as a condition to the restoration of Company Registration No. PR 2644, George Webb Termite & Pest Control filed a surety bond in the sum of \$17,000. This restoration bond shall be maintained for the duration of the probationary period.
- .8. Random Inspections. Applicant shall reimburse the Board for one random inspection per quarter by the Board specialists during the period of probation not to exceed \$125 per inspection.
- 9. Prohibited from Serving as Officer, Director, Associate, Partner or Qualifying Manager. George Kenneth Webb, is prohibited from serving as an officer, director, associate, partner, qualifying manager or branch office manager of any registered company other than George Webb Termite Control, Inc., during the period that probation is imposed on Operator License No. OPR 9331.
- 10. Restitution to Consumer. Applicant shall satisfy any settlement entered into or judgment rendered against George Kenneth Webb in Patterson v. Webb, et al. (El Dorado County Superior Court No. PC 20150497), filed on September 17, 2015. Failure to satisfy said settlement or judgment shall be deemed a violation of probation. Within 60-days of any settlement or judgment being entered in Patterson v. Webb, Applicant shall submit to the Board, written proof of having satisfied the terms of the settlement or judgment, or written proof of having made arrangements acceptable to the Plaintiff in Patterson v. Webb for the satisfaction of the settlement or judgment. Failure to submit written proof to the Board within 60-days shall be deemed a violation of probation.
- 11. Cost Recovery. Applicant shall reimburse the Board investigative and enforcement costs in the amount of \$8,542.50. Said amount shall be paid in 51 monthly installments of \$167.50 as agreed to by George Kenneth Webb. Probation shall not be terminated until all costs are paid in full.

#### **ACCEPTANCE**

I have carefully read the Order. I understand the Order and the effect it will have on my Company Registration Certificate for George Webb Termite Control, Inc. I enter into this Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Order of the Board.

DATED 5-5-17

GEORGE KENNETH WEBB

ØEO, 100% SHAREHOLDER, QUALIFYING MANAGER

This Stipulation for a Probationary Company Registration Certificate Branch 3 shall become effective on July 14, 2017

FOR THE STRUCTURAL PEST CONTROL BOARD

DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS

#### BEFORE THE STRUCTURAL PEST CONTROL BOARD DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Case No. 2016-24

GEORGE K. WEBB GEORGE WEBB TERMITE & PEST CONTROL 1035 Siskiyou Ave. Oroville, CA 95965 Operator License No. OPR 9331 Company Registration Certificate No. PR 2644

Respondent.

#### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted by the Structural Pest Control Board, Department of Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in this matter.

This Decision shall become effective on May 25, 2016

April 25, 2016 It is so ORDERED

DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS

I						
4	Karasa D. Warana					
1	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California					
2	KENT D. HARRIS Supervising Deputy Attorney General					
3	STANTON W. LEE Deputy Attorney General					
4	State Bar No. 203563 1300 I Street, Suite 125					
5	P.O. Box 944255					
6	Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 445-9921					
7	Facsimile: (916) 324-5567 E-mail: Stanton.Lee@doj.ca.gov					
8	Attorneys for Complainant					
9	BEFORE THE STRUCTURAL PEST CONTROL BOARD					
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS					
11	STATE OF CALIFORNIA					
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 2016-24					
13	GEORGE K. WEBB GEORGE WEBB TERMITE & PEST  STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND DISCIPLINARY ORDER					
14	CONTROL 1035 Siskiyou Ave.					
15	Oroville, CA 95965					
16	Operator License No. OPR 9331 Company Registration Certificate No. PR 2644					
17	Respondent.					
18	reopendent.					
19	IT IS HEDEDY STEDLIL ATED AND ACREED by a live of the state of the sta					
	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the above-					
20	entitled proceedings that the following matters are true:					
21	PARTIES					
22	1. Susan Saylor ("Complainant") is the Registrar/Executive Officer of the Structural					
23	Pest Control Board. She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is represented in					
24	this matter by Kamala D. Harris, Attorney General of the State of California, by Stanton W. Lee					
25	Deputy Attorney General.					
26	2. Respondent George K. Webb, George Webb Termite & Pest Control ("Respondent")					
27	is represented by attorney Jeff Kravit, whose address is: 6747 Fair Oaks Blvd., Carmichael, CA					
28	95608.					

3	i.	On or about November 4, 1994, the Structural Pest Control Board issued Operator
License	e No.	OPR 9331 to George K. Webb, George Webb Termite & Pest Control (Respondent).
The Op	perato	or License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in
Accusa	ition	No. 2016-24 and will expire on June 30, 2018, unless renewed.

4. On or about November 4, 1994, the Structural Pest Control Board issued Company Registration Certificate No. PR 2644 in Branch 3 to George Webb Termite Control with George Kenneth Webb as the owner and Qualifying Manager (Respondent). On or about June 26, 2008, the registration was upgraded to include Branch 2 with Dennis Mohlin Ohlsson as the Branch 2 Qualifying Manager, and the namestyle was changed to George Webb Termite & Pest Control. On or about June 4, 2014, the registration was suspended for failing to have a Branch 2 Qualifying Manager. On or about August 14, 2014, the registration was reinstated and downgraded to include Branch 3 only. The Company Registration Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 2016-24.

### JURISDICTION

- 5. Accusation No. 2016-24 was filed before the Structural Pest Control Board (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on October 20, 2015. Respondent timely filed his Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation.
- 6. A copy of Accusation No. 2016-24 is attached as exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

# ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 7. Respondent has carefully read, and understands the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 2016-24. Respondent has also carefully read, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.
- 8. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to be represented by counsel at his own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel

the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.

9. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

#### <u>CULPABILITY</u>

- 10. Respondent understands and agrees that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 2016-24, if proven at a hearing, constitute cause for imposing discipline upon his Operator License.
- 11. For the purpose of resolving the Accusation without the expense and uncertainty of further proceedings, Respondent agrees that, at a hearing, Complainant could establish a factual basis for the charges in the Accusation, and that Respondent hereby give up his right to contest those charges.
- 12. Respondent agrees that his Operator License is subject to discipline and he agrees to be bound by the Board's probationary terms as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

#### **CONTINGENCY**

13. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Structural Pest Control Board. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Structural Pest Control Board may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.

- 14. The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including PDF and facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 15. This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is intended by the parties to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final, and exclusive embodiment of their agreement. It supersedes any and all prior or contemporaneous agreements, understandings, discussions, negotiations, and commitments (written or oral). This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order may not be altered, amended, modified, supplemented, or otherwise changed except by a writing executed by an authorized representative of each of the parties.
- 16. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

#### **DISCIPLINARY ORDER**

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that both Operator License No. OPR 9331 and Company Registration Certificate No. PR 2644 issued to Respondent are revoked. However, the revocations are stayed and Respondent is placed on probation for five (5) years on the following terms and conditions.

- 1. Obey All Laws. Respondent shall obey all federal, state, and local laws and all laws and rules relating to the practice of structural pest control.
- 2. Quarterly Reports. Respondent shall file quarterly reports with the Board during the period of probation.
- 3. Tolling of Probation. Should Respondent leave California to reside outside this state, Respondent must notify the Board in writing of the dates of departure and return. Periods of residency, practice outside the state, periods of inactive or cancellation due to non-renewal shall not apply to reduction of the probationary period.
- 4. Notice to Employers. Respondent shall notify all present and prospective employers of the decision in case no. 2016-24. and the terms, conditions and restriction imposed on Respondent by said decision.

Within 30 days of the effective date of this decision, and within 15 days of Respondent undertaking new employment, Respondent shall cause his/her employer to report to the Board in writing acknowledging the employer has read the decision in case No. 2016-24.

- 5. Notice to Employees. Respondent shall, upon or before the effective date of this decision, post or circulate a notice to all employees involved in structural pest control operations which accurately recite the terms and conditions of probation. Respondent shall be responsible for said notice being immediately available to said employees. "Employees" as used in this provision includes all full-time, part-time, temporary and relief employees and independent contractors employed or hired at any time during probation.
- 6. Posted Notice of Suspension. Respondent George Webb Termite & Pest Control shall prominently post a suspension notice provided by the Board of the Board's order of suspension at its principal office and each of its branch offices in a place conspicuous and readable to the public. Said notice shall remain so posted during the entire period of actual suspension.
- 7. Completion of Probation. Upon successful completion of probation, Respondent's license/certificate will be fully restored.
- 8. Violation of Probation. Should Respondent violate probation in any respect, the Board, after giving Respondent notice and an opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order which was stayed. If a petition to revoke probation is filed against Respondent during probation, the Board shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final.
- 9. Actual Suspension. Company Registration No. PR 2644 and Operator License No. OPR 9331 are suspended for 15 consecutive days, beginning on the effective date of the decision. In lieu of the 15 day suspension, Respondent has the option to pay a civil penalty in the amount of \$3,000, thereby eliminating the actual suspension. The civil penalty is due prior to the effective date of the decision.
- 10. Restoration Bond. Pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 8697.3, as a condition to the restoration of Company Registration No. PR 2644, George Webb Termite & Pest

 Control shall file a surety bond in the sum of \$17,000 due no later than the last day of suspension.

- 11. Correspondence Course. Within six (6) months of the effective date of this decision, Respondent shall complete four (4) hours of a Board approved Rules and Regulations course. These hours cannot be used towards renewal of Operator License No. OPR 9331.
- 12. Reimbursement of Random Inspections. Respondent shall reimburse Board for one random inspection per quarter, not to exceed \$125.
- 13. Restitution to Consumer. Respondent shall satisfy any settlement entered into or judgment rendered against him in Patterson v. Webb, et al. (El Dorado County Superior Court No. PC 20150497), filed on September 17, 2015. Failure to satisfy said settlement or judgment shall be deemed a violation of probation. Within 60-days of any any settlement or judgment being entered in Patterson v. Webb, Respondent shall submit to the Board, written proof of having satisfied the terms of the settlement or judgment, or written proof of having made arrangements acceptable to the Plaintiff in Patterson v. Webb for the satisfaction of the settlement or judgment. Failure to submit written proof to the Board within 60-days shall be deemed a violation of probation.
- 14. Prohibited from Serving as Officer, Director, Associate, Partner or Qualifying Manager. Respondent is prohibited from serving as an officer, director, associate, partner, qualifying manager, or branch office manager of any registered company other than George Webb Termite & Pest Control during the period that discipline is imposed on Operator License No. OPR 9331.
- 15. Cost Recovery. Respondent shall reimburse the Board investigative and enforcement costs in the amount of \$8,542.50. Said amount may be paid in monthly installments as agreed by the Board and shall be paid in full no later than three month prior to the end of probation. Probation shall not be terminated until all costs are paid in full.

#### **ACCEPTANCE**

I have carefully read the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Operator License, and Company Registration Certificate. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily,

	) <del> </del>					
1	knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Structural					
2	Pest Control Board.					
3						
4	DATED: 1-22-16					
5	GEORGE'K, WEBB, GEORGE WEBB TERMITE & PEST CONTROL					
6,	Respondent					
7	1-22-10 /////					
8	DATED: 1-10-16 Jeff Kravitz/					
9	Kravitz Lay Office Attoyney for Respondent					
. 10						
11.	ENDORSEMENT					
12	The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully					
13	submitted for consideration by the Structural Pest Control Board,  Dated: \( \lambda 25 \rangle 16 \)  Respectfully submitted,					
14	KAMALA D. HARRIS					
15	Attorney General of California KUNTID. HARRIS					
16	Supervising Deputy Attorney General					
17	A Service of the serv					
.18	STANTON W. SED Deputy Attorney General					
19	Attorneys for Complainant					
20						
21						
22	\$A2015105327 32301411.doc					
23						
.24						
25						
26						
27						
28						
	1					

STIPULATED SETTLEMENT (2016-24)

Exhibit A

Accusation No. 2016-24

1 KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California 2 KENT D. HARRIS Supervising Deputy Attorney General 3 STANTON W. LEE Deputy Attorney General 4 State Bar No. 203563 1300 I Street, Suite 125 5 P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 445-9921 Facsimile: (916) 324-5567 6 7 Attorneys for Complainant 8 BEFORE THE STRUCTURAL PEST CONTROL BOARD Q DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 2016-24 12 GEORGE K. WEBB ACCUSATION GEORGE WEBB TERMITE & PEST 13. CONTROL 1035 Siskiyou Ave. 14 Oroville, ČA 95965 15 Operator License No. OPR 9331 Company Registration Certificate No. PR 2644 16 Respondent. 17 18 19 Susan Saylor ("Complainant") alleges: 20 **PARTIES** 21 Complainant brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as the 1. 22 Registrar/Executive Officer of the Structural Pest Control Board ("Board"), Department of 23 Consumer Affairs. 24 **Operator License** 25 On or about November 4, 1994, the Board issued Operator License Number OPR 2. 9331 ("license") in Branch 3 to George K. Webb ("Respondent"), as the owner and Qualifying 26 27 Manager of George Webb Termite & Pest Control. The license was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on June 30, 2018, unless renewed. 28

## **Company Registration Certificate**

3. On or about November 4, 1994, the Board issued Company Registration Certificate Number PR 2644 ("registration") in Branch 3 to George Webb Termite Control with George Kenneth Webb as the owner and Qualifying Manager. On or about June 26, 2008, the registration was upgraded to include Branch 2 with Dennis Mohlin Ohlsson as the Branch 2 Qualifying Manager, and the namestyle changed to George Webb Termite & Pest Control. On or about May 21, 2014, Dennis Mohlin Ohlsson disassociated as the Branch 2 Qualifying Manager. On or about June 4, 2014, the registration was suspended for failing to have a Branch 2 Qualifying Manager. On or about August 14, 2014, the registration was reinstated and downgraded to include Branch 3 only.

### JURISDICTION

- 4. Code section 8620 provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may suspend or revoke a license when it finds that the holder, while a licensee or applicant, has committed any acts or omissions constituting cause for disciplinary action or in lieu of a suspension may assess a civil penalty.
  - Code section 8624 states:

If the board suspends or revokes an operator's license and one or more branch offices are registered under the name of the operator, the suspension or revocation may be applied to each branch office.

If the operator is the qualifying manager, a partner, responsible officer, or owner of a registered structural pest control company, the suspension or revocation may be applied to the company registration.

The performance by any partnership, corporation, firm, association, or registered company of any act or omission constituting a cause for disciplinary action, likewise constitutes a cause for disciplinary action against any licensee who, at the time the act or omission occurred, was the qualifying manager, a partner, responsible officer, or owner of the partnership, corporation, firm, association, or registered company whether or not he or she had knowledge of, or participated in, the prohibited act or omission.

///

26 ///

27 | /

28 II /

#### 6. Code section 8625 states:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The lapsing or suspension of a license or company registration by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, or the voluntary surrender of a license or company registration shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to proceed with any investigation of or action or disciplinary proceeding against such licensee or company, or to render a decision suspending or revoking such license or registration.

#### Code section 8622 states:

When a complaint is accepted for investigation of a registered company, the board, through an authorized representative, may inspect any or all properties on which a report has been issued pursuant to Section 8516 or a notice of completion has been issued pursuant to Section 8518 by the registered company to determine compliance with the provisions of this chapter and the rules and regulations issued thereunder. If the board determines the property or properties are not in compliance, a notice shall be sent to the registered company so stating. The registered company shall have 30 days from the receipt of the notice to bring such property into compliance, and it shall submit a new original report or completion notice or both and an inspection fee of not more than one hundred twenty-five dollars (\$125) for each property inspected. If a subsequent reinspection is necessary, pursuant to the board's review of the new original report or notice or both, a commensurate reinspection fee shall also be charged. If the board's authorized representative makes no determination or determines the property is in compliance, no inspection fee shall be charged.

The notice sent to the registered company shall inform the registered company that if it desires a hearing to contest the finding of noncompliance, the hearing shall be requested by written notice to the board within 20 days of receipt of the notice of noncompliance from the board. Where a hearing is not requested pursuant to this section, payment of any assessment shall not constitute an admission of any noncompliance charged.

#### STATUTORY PROVISIONS

# 8. Code section 8505.17(c) states:

Registered structural pest control companies shall prepare and submit to the county agricultural commissioner a monthly report of all pesticides used in that county. The report shall be on a form approved by the Director of Pesticide Regulation and shall contain the name and registration number of each pesticide and the amount used. The report shall be submitted to the commissioner by the 10th day of the month following the month of application and a copy shall be maintained by the licensee for a period of three years after the report submission date. Each pesticide use report or combination of use reports representing a registered structural pest control company's total county pesticide use for the month shall require a pesticide use stamp or stamp number issued by the board in the denomination fixed by the board in accordance with Section 8674 as the pesticide use report filing fee. The board shall provide for the sale of these stamps and stamp numbers and for the refund of moneys paid for stamps and stamp numbers which are returned to it unused. When a registered structural pest control company performs no pest control during a month in a county in which it has given notice pursuant to Section 15204 of the Food and Agricultural Code, the registered company shall submit a use report stating this fact to the commissioner. A pesticide use stamp or stamp number is not required on negative

### 9. Code section 8516 states, in pertinent part:

(b) No registered company or licensee shall commence work on a contract, or sign, issue, or deliver any documents expressing an opinion or statement relating to the absence or presence of wood destroying pests or organisms until an inspection has been made by a licensed Branch 3 field representative or operator. The address of each property inspected or upon which work is completed shall be reported on a form prescribed by the board and shall be filed with the board no later than 10 business days after the commencement of an inspection or upon completed work.

Every property inspected pursuant to subdivision (b) of Section 8516.1, or Section 8518, or subdivision (b) of this section shall be assessed a filing fee pursuant to Section 8674.

Failure of a registered company to report and file with the board the address of any property inspected or work completed pursuant to Section 8516.1, Section 8518, or this section are grounds for disciplinary action and shall subject the registered company to a fine of not more than two thousand five hundred dollars (\$2,500).

A written inspection report conforming to this section and on a form approved by the board shall be prepared and delivered to the person requesting the inspection or to the person's designated agent within 10 business days of the inspection, except that an inspection report prepared for use by an attorney for litigation purposes is not required to be reported to the board. The report shall be delivered before work is commenced on any property. The registered company shall retain for three years all original inspection reports, filed notes, and activity forms.

Reports shall be made available for inspection and reproduction to the executive officer of the board or his or her duly authorized representative during business hours. Original inspection reports or copies thereof shall be submitted to the board upon request within two business days. The following shall be set forth in the report:

- (6) A foundation diagram or sketch of the structure or structures or portions of the structure or structures inspected, indicating thereon the approximate location of any infested or infected areas evident, and the parts of the structure where conditions that would ordinarily subject those parts to attack by wood destroying pests or organisms exist.
- (7) Information regarding the substructure, foundation walls and footings, porches, patios and steps, air vents, abutments, attic spaces, roof framing that includes the eaves, rafters, fascias, exposed timbers, exposed sheathing, ceiling joists, and attic walls, or other parts subject to attack by wood destroying pests or organisms. Conditions usually deemed likely to lead to infestation or infection, such as earth-wood contacts, excessive cellulose debris, faulty grade levels, excessive moisture conditions, evidence of roof leaks, and insufficient ventilation are to be reported.
- (9) Indication or description of any areas that are inaccessible or not inspected with recommendation for further inspection if practicable. If, after the report has been made in compliance with this section, authority is given later to open

inaccessible areas, a supplemental report on conditions in these areas shall be made.

# 10. Code section 8518 states, in pertinent part:

When a registered company completes work under a contract, it shall prepare, on a form prescribed by the board, a notice of work completed and not completed and shall furnish that notice to the owner of the property or the owner's agent within 10 working days after completing the work. The notice shall include a statement of the cost of the completed work and estimated cost of work not completed.

The address of each property inspected or upon which work was completed shall be reported on a form prescribed by the board and shall be filed with the board no later than 10 working days after completed work.

#### 11. Code section 8610(c) states:

Each registered company shall designate an individual or individuals who hold an operator's license to act as its qualifying manager or managers. The qualifying manager or managers must be licensed in each branch of pest control in which the company engages in business. The designated qualifying manager or managers shall supervise the daily business of the company and shall be available to supervise and assist all employees of the company, in accordance with regulations which the board may establish.

#### 12. Code section 8611 states:

Each branch office shall have a branch supervisor designated by the registered company to supervise and assist the company's employees who are located at that branch. The branch supervisor shall be an individual who is licensed by the board as an operator or a field representative and his or her license shall be prominently displayed in the branch office.

If a branch supervisor ceases for any reason to be connected with a registered company, the company shall notify the registrar in writing within 10 days from that cessation. If this notice is given, the company's branch office registration shall remain in force for a reasonable length of time to be determined by rules of the board, during which period the company shall submit to the registrar in writing the name of another qualified branch supervisor.

#### 13. Code section 8612 states:

The licenses of qualifying managers and company registrations shall be prominently displayed in the registered company's office, and no registration issued hereunder shall authorize the company to do business except from the location for which the registration was issued. Each registered company having a branch office or more than one branch office shall be required to display its branch office registration prominently in each branch office it maintains.

When a registered company opens a branch office it shall notify the registrar in writing on a form prescribed by the board and issued by the registrar in accordance with rules and regulations adopted by the board. The notification shall include the name of the individual designated as the branch supervisor and shall be submitted with the fee for a branch office prescribed by this chapter.

#### 14. Code section 8613 states:

A registered company which changes the location of its principal office or any branch office or which changes its qualifying manager, branch supervisor, officers, or its bond or insurance shall notify the registrar in writing of such change within 30 days thereafter. A fee for filing such changes shall be charged in accordance with Section 8674.

#### 15. Code section 8641 states:

Failure to comply with the provisions of this chapter, or any rule or regulation adopted by the board, or the furnishing of a report of inspection without the making of a bona fide inspection of the premises for wood-destroying pests or organisms, or furnishing a notice of work completed prior to the completion of the work specified in the contract, is a ground for disciplinary action.

#### 16. Code section 8642 states:

The commission of any grossly negligent or fraudulent act by the licensee as a pest control operator, field representative, or applicator or by a registered company is a ground for disciplinary action.

#### 17. Code section 8644 states:

Fraud or misrepresentation, after inspection, by any licensee or registered company engaged in pest control work of any infestation or infection of wood-destroying pests or organisms found in property or structures, or respecting any conditions of the structure that would ordinarily subject structures to attack by wood-destroying pests or organisms, whether or not a report was made pursuant to Sections 8516 and 8517 of this code, is a ground for disciplinary action.

### 18. Code section 8646 states:

Disregard and violation of pesticide use and application, structural pest control device, fumigation, or extermination laws of the state or of any of its political subdivisions, or regulations adopted pursuant to those laws, is a ground for disciplinary action.

#### 19. Code section 8650 states:

Acting in the capacity of a licensee or registered company under any of the licenses or registrations issued hereunder except:

- (a)In the name of the licensee or registered company as set forth upon the license or registration, or
- (b)At the address and location or place or places of business as licensed or registered or as later changed as provided in this chapter is a ground for disciplinary action.

#### 20. Code section 8652 states:

Failure of a registered company to make and keep all inspection reports, contracts, documents, and records, other than financial records, for a period of not

less than three years after completion of any work or operation for the control of structural pests or organisms, is a ground for disciplinary action. These records shall be made available to the registrar during business hours.

#### REGULATORY PROVISIONS

21. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1918 states:

"Supervise" as used in Business and Professions Code Sections 8506.2, 8610 and 8611 means the oversight, direction, control, and inspection of the daily business of the company and its employees, and the availability to observe, assist, and instruct company employees, as needed to secure full compliance with all laws and regulations governing structural pest control.

In cases of ownership of more than one registered company by the same sole owner, corporation or partnership where the qualifying manager or managers cannot supervise each registered company because of the location of the companies, the qualifying manager or managers may designate an individual or individuals licensed as an operator or as a field representative in the branch or branches of business being conducted to supervise the company. This designated supervisor or supervisors must be under the direct supervision of the qualifying manager or managers. Any such designation of supervisors does not relieve the qualifying manager or managers of responsibility to supervise as required in sections 8506.2 and 8610.

22. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1937.14 states:

All work completed by licensees or registered companies shall be done within the specific requirements of any plans or specifications and shall meet accepted trade standards for good and workmanlike construction in any material respect, and shall comply with provisions of Section 2516(c)(1), (2), (4) and (6) of Title 24 of the California Code of Regulations.

23. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1970 states, in pertinent part:

For the purpose of maintaining proper standards of safety and the establishment of responsibility in handling the dangerous gases used in fumigation and the pesticides used in other pest control operations, a registered company shall compile and retain for a period of at least three years, a log for each fumigation job and for each pesticide control operation in which a pesticide is used by the registered company or the registered company's employee.

(b) The report for each pest control operation, other than fumigation, in which a pesticide is used shall contain the following information:

Date of treatment.

Name of owner or his or her agent.

Address of property.

Description of area treated.

Target pest(s).

Pesticide and amount used.

Identity of person or persons who applied the pesticide.

8

10 11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

2324

25

26

27. 28

#### KNOLLWOOD PROJECT

31. On or about May 8, 2014, Respondent inspected the property located on Knollwood Drive, in Cameron Park, California ("Knollwood project"), for wood destroying pests and organisms and thereafter issued Complete Wood Destroying Pests and Organisms Inspection Report No. 14-476 ("Inspection Report No. 14-476"). In said report, Respondent made certain findings, including, but not limited to, the following:

#### Section I Findings:

- a. Decay was found at the following areas: Decking off the master bedroom; shingle molding on the barge rafter; beam end; T-1-11 siding above and below the deck; fascia board below the back deck; entry door to the subarea; deck joists; decking boards on the deck attached to the house; beam extension; and barge rafter.
  - b. Subterranean termite damage to the garage doorjamb.
- c. Subterranean termite infestation and damage to the wall studs and sheetrock in the garage storage room.
  - d. Earth to wood contact at the deck connected to the retaining wall and adjoining deck.

## **Section II Findings:**

- e. Faulty grade and earth contacts with the T-1-11 siding.
- Wood products and cardboard in earth contact in subarea.
- g. Residual water stains under the vanity sink.
- h. Hall bath toilet was loose.
- Moisture damage to the sheetrock adjacent to the hot water heater.

#### Inaccessible areas:

- j. The deck was constructed over a flat roof, making the framing and area underneath inaccessible for inspection.
  - k. The garage was inaccessible for complete inspection due to storage.
- 32. Respondent made the following recommendations, including but not limited to:
  Chemically treating the structure to control the subterranean termite infestation,
  repairing/replacing the decay and termite damaged wood members with new material, removing

 the wood products from the subarea, securing the hall bath toilet, repairing/replacing the sheetrock as necessary, lowering the soil to correct the faulty grade and earth contacts, and installing a support system to separate the deck which is attached to the house from the retaining wall and from the adjoining deck. Respondent provided an estimate of \$9,490 for the work. (The diagram shown on the inspection report does not show all of the rear deck that is attached to and part of the structure.) Respondent also noted on the report that the roman style tub shower was not water tested due to the lack of a drain stopper assembly.

- 33. On or about June 11, 2014, the property went into escrow.
- 34. On or about June 25, 2014, John Deller ("Deller") of Buy Right Home Inspections performed an inspection of the property and issued an inspection report. In said report, Deller made certain findings, including, but not limited to the following: signs of moisture damage at the wood deck and railings off of the master bedroom; wood decking in the rear yard has moisture damage in many areas; no stem wall was found at the garage walls; signs of moisture damage at the exterior T1-11 siding in several areas; signs of moisture damage at the exterior wood trim in several areas; earth to wood contact at the exterior wall on the right side of the garage; the soil slopes toward the crawl space access door; dry moisture stains at the ceiling in the hallway outside the first bedroom and in the first bedroom; signs of moisture damage at the garage door to the exterior; signs of moisture exposure and pest activity at the front garage wall in the storage room in the garage; the toilet in the hall bath and master bath were loose; the bathtub drain stoppers were disconnected in the hall and master bathrooms; and the floor was spongy between the master bathroom toilet and shower.
- 35. Respondent had his son-in-law De Garcia, Applicator License Number RA 53789, perform the termite treatment, including pressure treating the sub-soil with Termidor, and trenching and treating the soil at the stucco stone on the exterior. The seller of the property, through his realtor, hired contractor Paul Methner of Helpful Home Solutions to perform the recommended work included in Inspection Report No. 14-476, including, but not limited to the following: repairing and replacing all the decayed wood with new material at the deck off of the master bedroom, the shingle moulding barge rafters, T-1-11 siding above and below the deck,

fascia board deck joists, and beam extension. In addition, lowering the grade and removing the wood products from the subarea.

- 36. On or about August 4, 2014, Respondents issued a Standard Notice of Work Completed and Not Completed ("Completion Notice"), stating that recommendation 1M-1 in Inspection Report No. 14-476 had been completed by his firm. The Completion Notice noted that all other recommendations made were not completed by his firm.
- 37. On or about August 5, 2014, Respondent re-inspected the Knollwood property and issued a Re-Inspection Report for Wood Destroying Pests and Organisms No. 14-476-RI ("Re-Inspection Report No. 14-476-RI"). Respondent certified that the property was free of evidence of active infestations or infections in the visible and accessible areas. Respondent also noted that the recommended repairs itemized in Inspection Report No. 14-476, had been performed by person(s) not employed by his company.
- 38. On or about August 6, 2014, escrow closed and the new home owner moved into the property. Shortly after moving in, the homeowner found dry rot damage and leaking shower, damage to the subfloor in the master bathroom, and dry rot damage in the eaves. The homeowner contacted the Respondent regarding his findings.
- 39. On or about September 16, 2014, Respondent re-inspected the Knollwood property and issued a Supplemental/Re-Inspection Report for Wood Destroying Pests and Organisms No. 14-476-S ("Supplemental Report No. 14-476-S"). Respondent made the following findings: 1) Evidence of decay to gable end rafters; and 2) Decay to the subfloor below the roman tub shower in the master bathroom. Respondent recommended repairing the eaves and damaged barge rafters, and repairing the shower pan and replacing/repairing the decayed subfloor.
- 40. On or about September 18, 2014, Respondent repaired the eaves and damaged barge rafters but failed to file a Standard Notice of Work Completed and Not Completed with the Board.
  - 41. On or about October 21, 2014, the homeowners filed a complaint with the Board.
- 42. On or about November 5, 2014, the Board notified Respondent of the complaint filed by the homeowners.

43. On or about January 21, 2015	, a Board specialist inspe	ected the Knollwood property
and found numerous violations.	•	,

- 44. On or about January 29, 2015, and on or about March 10, 2015, a Board specialist went to Respondent's office to review Respondent's records, however, Respondent was unable to provide the Board specialist with all the records requested for review.
- 45. On or about March 10, 2015, a Board specialist went to Respondent's son-in-law's residence to speak to De Garcia regarding the work he performed on the Knollwood project. When the Board specialist arrived, he found a white Toyota pick-up truck in the drive way with Respondent's name logo and telephone number on it. In the bed of the pick-up truck there were pesticide containers that were not locked or stored in a proper container. There were pesticide like materials in the service container spray tank that had an unlocked lid and no label. There were also pesticides in the cab of the truck.
- 46. On or about April 2, 2015, a Board specialist prepared and issued a Report of Findings along with a Notice ordering Respondent to bring the property into compliance by correcting the items described in the Report of Findings and to submit a Standard Notice of Work Completed and Not Completed to the Board within thirty (30) days.

# FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

# (Failure to Comply with the Code - Improper Inspection)

47. Respondent's registration and operator's license are subject to discipline under Code section 8641, in that, on or about May 8, 2014, concerning the Knollwood property, Respondent failed to comply with the following Code sections:

# Inspection Report No. 14-476 dated May 8, 2014 Section 8516(b)(6)(7) and (9):

a. Respondent failed to report fungus infection and damage with evidence of leaks and water intrusion to the base of the garage wall at the left front corner and failed to make a recommendation to open the wall for further inspection, as required and defined by California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1990(a)(3)(4), (b)(5), (e) and 1991(a)(11).

11 /

- b. Respondent failed to report water intrusion at the base of the walls at the garage and storage room/closet at the right front corner of the garage and behind the area that was reported as having a faulty grade condition. Respondent failed to make a recommendation to open the walls for further inspection and report the faulty grade level as a Section I condition, which was contributing to the infestation and possible infections, as required and defined by California Code of Regulation, title 16, section 1990(a)(3)(4), (b)(5), (e), and 1991(a)(11).
- c. Respondent failed to report fungus infection and damage to the deck boards extending into the inaccessible area over the flat roof, and failed to list this as a Section 1 condition and failed to make a recommendation for further inspection, as required and defined by California Code of Regulation, title 16, section 1990(a)(3)(4), (b)(5), (e), and 1991(a)(11).
- d. Respondent failed to report the full extent of earth to wood contacts, fungus infection damage, and inaccessible areas under the decking throughout the entire rear deck. Furthermore, he failed to include the entire rear deck as part of the diagram on the inspection report, as required and defined by California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1990(a)(3)(4), (b)(5), and (e).
- e. Respondent failed to report evidence of leaks and fungus infection/damage under the roman tub/shower that was visible from the subarea under the master bathroom and tub/shower floor with evidence of leaks to the tile in the shower and a weak tile bath floor. Respondent also failed to properly water test the shower pan and/or make a proper recommendation to water test the shower pan/roman tub, as required and defined by California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1990(b)(5),(e) and 1991(b)(12).
- f. Respondent failed to report form wood/form stakes embedded in the foundation under the house, as defined by California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1990(b)(3).
- g. Respondent failed to report earth to wood contacts at the base of the railing posts at the front porch staircase, and at the base of the subarea storage shelve posts that were embedded in the ground with tarpaper wrappings near the subarea entrance, as required and defined by California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1990(b)(4).
- h. Respondent failed to make a proper recommendation to correct the earth to wood contacts and fungus damaged decking at the attached rear deck, by improperly recommending to

separate portions of the deck from the structure, which would not correct the conditions, as required and defined by California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1990(a)(3)(4),(b)(4) and 1991(a)(2).

# Re-Inspection Report No. 14-476RI dated August 5, 2014 Section 8516:

i. Respondent failed to report if the inaccessible areas in the garage and below the wood deck below the roof were inspected or reinspected and if any conditions existed in those areas, as required and defined by California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1993(e).

## SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

# (Failure to Properly Treat Subterranean Termites)

48. Respondent's registration and operator's license are subject to discipline pursuant to Code section 8641, in that on the Knollwood project, Respondent failed to comply with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1991(a)(9), by failing to perform a proper and thorough treatment of subterranean termites at the interior and exterior of the front garage wall and storage room area with "Termidor", in that according to the manufacturer's recommendations and industry standards, it calls for a continuous barrier (both sides of the foundation) at the area of infestation as a minimum. Respondent failed to treat the interior and exterior of the foundation in the garage and storage room and along the exterior soil at the areas of infestation.

# THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

# (Failure to Complete the Work in a Quality and Workmanlike Manner)

49. Respondent's registration and operator's license are subject to discipline under Code section 8641, in that regarding the Knollwood project, Respondent failed to comply with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1937.14, in that he failed to properly repair or replace the barge rafter joints, and left debris of the repair on the property.

# FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

# (Fraud or Misrepresentation After Inspection)

50. Respondent's registration and operator's license are subject to discipline pursuant to Code section 8644, in that on or about August 5, 2014, regarding the Knollwood project,

#### EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

# (Failure to Comply with Reporting Requirements)

54. Respondent's registration and operator's license are subject to discipline pursuant to Code section 8641, in that Respondent failed to comply with Code section 8505.17(c), by failing to register with the El Dorado County Agricultural Department and report pesticide use and the pesticide applications performed on the Knollwood project.

# NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

# (Failure to Make Inspection Records Available)

55. Respondent's registration and operator's license are subject to discipline pursuant to Code section 8652, Respondent failed to make and keep pesticide records and field notes of inspections performed available for a period of not less than three years after completion of any work or operation for the control of structural pests or organisms.

## TENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

# (Failure to Properly Label and Store Pesticides)

56. Respondent's registration and operator's license are subject to discipline pursuant to Code section 8646, in that on or about March 10, 2015, Respondent failed to comply with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1983(a), by failing to adequately label each pesticide container that is stored, carried or transported. Furthermore, Respondent violated California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1983(c), by failing to keep all pesticides properly locked and/or attended.

# ELEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

# (Failure to Supervise Daily Operations)

57. Respondent's registration and operator's license are subject to discipline pursuant to Code section 8641, in that in 2014 and 2015, Respondent failed to comply with Code section 8610(c), by failing to supervise daily operations and employees, including De Garcia. Furthermore, Respondent failed to secure a licensed field representative or licensed operator to supervise a Branch office, in violation of Code section 8611 and California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1918.

#### PRIOR DISCIPLINE

# Operator's License No. OPR 9331 Company Registration Certificate No. PR 2644

58. On or about March 17, 2009, the Board issued Citation No. CF 09-61, to Respondent for violating Business and Professions Code sections and California Code of Regulations, title 16, sections 8635, 8638, 8636, 8516/1996.3/1997, 8514/8516, 8619/1996.1, 8519, 8517, 1937.14, and 8622. The Board assessed a fine in the amount of \$2,253. Respondent paid the fine.

# Operator's License No. OPR 9331

59. On or about March 17, 2009, the Board issued Citation No. CF 09-62, to Respondent for violating Business and Professions Code sections and California Code of Regulations, title 16, sections 8516/1990. The Board assessed a fine in the amount of \$100. Respondent paid the fine.

#### OTHER MATTERS

- 60. Code section 8620 provides, in pertinent part, that a respondent may request that a civil penalty of not more than \$5,000 be assessed in lieu of an actual suspension of 1 to 19 days, or not more than \$10,000 for an actual suspension of 20 to 45 days. Such request must be made at the time of the hearing and must be noted in the proposed decision. The proposed decision shall not provide that a civil penalty shall be imposed in lieu of a suspension.
- 61. Pursuant to Code section 8624, the causes for discipline established as to Company Registration Certificate Number PR 2644, issued to George Webb Termite & Pest Control, likewise constitute cause for discipline against Operator's License Number OPR 9331, issued to George K. Webb, who serves as the Qualifying Manager of George Webb Termite & Pest Control, regardless of whether George K. Webb had knowledge of or participated in the acts or omissions which constitute cause for discipline against George Webb Termite & Pest Control.
- 62. Pursuant to Code section 8654, if discipline is imposed on Company Registration Certificate Number PR 2644, issued to George Webb Termite & Pest Control, then George K. Webb, who serves as the Qualifying Manager of George Webb Termite & Pest Control, shall be prohibited from serving as an officer, director, associate, partner, qualifying manager, or responsible managing employee for any registered company during the time the discipline is